3/20/2013

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE COUNTY OF ST. LOUIS STATE OF MISSOURI

Paul Martin

ADAM PAUL,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Case 13SL-CC00699

Div. 33

THE CITY OF ELLISVILLE,

MISSOURI, et al.,

Defendants.

VOLUME I

THE DEPOSITION OF PAUL MARTIN

Taken on behalf of Plaintiff

March 20, 2013

Jo Ann Sturm, RPR, CSR, CCR REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTER ILLINOIS CSR NUMBER: 084-002267 MISSOURI CCR NUMBER: 716

STURM REPORTING SERVICES, INC. 2144 Gray Avenue St. Louis, Missouri 63117 (314) 645-8777

	Marcin 5/20/2013
Page 2	Page 4
1	Page 4 1 IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE COUNTY OF ST. LOUIS STATE OF MISSOURI 2 3 4 ADAM PAUL,) 5 Plaintiff,) 6) vs.) Case 13SL-CC00699 7 Div. 33
Bills from 5/25/12 9 Exhibit 5 80 Unidentified document 10 Exhibit 6 80 11 Unidentified document 12 Exhibit 7 81 Unidentified document 13 Exhibit 8 82 14 Unidentified document 15 Exhibit 9 83 Billings 81 Exhibit 10 84 17 Unidentified document 18 Exhibit 11 96 Document 19 Exhibit 12 96 Document 19 Exhibit 13 96 Document 21 Exhibit 13 96 Document 22 Exhibit 14 132 23 City Charter 24 Exhibit 15 133 Chapter 145 25	THE CITY OF ELLISVILLE, MISSOURI, et al., Defendants. 10 11 12 13 THE DEPOSITION OF PAUL MARTIN, produced, sworn, and examined on behalf of the Plaintiff, on March 20, 2013, between the hours of 10:22 a.m. and 4:27 p.m. on that day, at the law offices of Curtis, Heinz, Garrett & O'Keefe, P.C., 130 South Bemiston, Suite 200, Clayton, Missouri 63105, before JO ANN STURM, a Registered Professional Reporter, an Illinois Certified Shorthand Reporter and a Certified Court Reporter within and for the County of St. Louis, State of Missouri.
Page 3	Page 5
Exhibit 16	The Plaintiff, ADAM PAUL, was represented by Mr. C. John Pleban of the law firm of Pleban & Petruska, LLC, 2010 South Big Bend Boulevard, St. Louis, Missouri 63117. The Defendants, THE CITY OF ELLISVILLE, MISSOURI, et al., were represented by Mr. J. Patrick Chassaing of the law firm of Curtis, Heinz, Garrett & O'Keefe, P.C., 130 South Bemiston, Suite 200, Clayton, Missouri 63105. Also Present: Mr. John Maupin and Mr. Kevin Bookout Also Present: Mr. John Maupin and Mr. Kevin Bookout

Page 6 Page 8 1 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and 1 or more of the council individuals will, in fact, be 2 between counsel for the Plaintiff and counsel for the 2 called as witnesses during the course of this 3 3 Defendants that the deposition of PAUL MARTIN may be proceeding. 4 taken in shorthand by Jo Ann Sturm, a Certified Court 4 So for those reasons and perhaps others that 5 Reporter, and afterwards transcribed into typewriting, 5 we will supplement based upon the information that we 6 and the signature of the witness is waived by are slowly receiving from the City, we are objecting 6 7 agreement of counsel and the witness. 7 to the presence of those individuals on this record. 8 8 Anybody else want to say anything? 9 (Exhibits 1 and 2 were marked for 9 MR. CHASSAING: No. 10 10 MR. PLEBAN: John, do you want to say identification.) MR. PLEBAN: Before we begin, let me 11 11 something? identify who's all present here this morning. In 12 12 MR. MAUPIN: No. 13 addition to Paul Martin, who is to be deposed, his 13 MR. PLEBAN: I just want to give you guys a attorney, Pat Chassaing, is here as well, along with chance. That's all. And we have marked what John has 14 14 15 Mr. Bookout: is that correct? provided to us this morning as Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 15 16 MR. BOOKOUT: That's correct. 2, representing the only written communications, I 16 17 suppose, that you have received from the City of 17 MR. PLEBAN: And John Maupin as well as 18 Mayor Paul and myself. Ellisville in connection with your appointments 18 19 MR. CHASSAING: I'd like to take issue with 19 regarding this particular proceeding; is that correct? what you said. I represent the City. I'm not 20 20 MR. MAUPIN: That's correct. 21 Mr. Martin's attorney. 21 MR. PLEBAN: And there are no others, right? 22 MR. PLEBAN: John, what exactly is your role 22 MR. MAUPIN: None. * * * * * 23 to be here? 23 24 MR. MAUPIN: I've been appointed the hearing 24 PAUL MARTIN, 25 officer, but also been appointed to serve as the 25 of lawful age, being produced, sworn, and examined on Page 7 Page 9 1 1 attorney for the individual council members so I'm the part of the Plaintiff, and after responding "I do" 2 2 here observing the deposition of City employees, and I to the oath administered by the court reporter, 3 3 will also advise the council members of any of their deposes and says: 4 4 depositions taken. 5 MR. PLEBAN: So you're acting here today as 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION 6 both the hearing officer and a representative of the 6 BY MR. PLEBAN 7 7 council? Q Tell us your name for the record, please, 8 8 MR. MAUPIN: No, not as a hearing officer sir. today. No. Representative for the council members. 9 9 A Paul Martin. 10 I won't make any rulings on any evidence. I won't 10 Q Where do you reside? 11 involve myself in that at all. 11 A 247010 Clarjon, C-L-A-R-J-O-N, Drive, 12 MR. PLEBAN: All right. And Bookout is here 12 Ballwin, Missouri. 13 13 Q And you're a lawyer; is that correct? for what reason? 14 MR. CHASSAING: He is a representative of 14 A Yes, sir. 15 15 Q When were you licensed? 16 MR. PLEBAN: And we would object on the 16 A I believe it was 1984. record to the presence of both Mr. Maupin, as well as 17 17 And that's the State of Missouri? O 18 Mr. Bookout. Mr. Bookout, particularly, because I 18 Α 19 suppose there are other people who can represent the 19 0 Are you licensed in any other state? 20 City's interest other than this gentleman whose A Illinois. 20 deposition will be taken sometime later today or 21 21 O When was that? 22 sometime in connection with this proceeding. 22 Α Probably 1985. 23 As far as the presence of Mr. Maupin, I 23 And you practiced law continuously since 0 think it's difficult, if not impossible, to wear two 24 24 1984? 25 hats under the circumstances, particularly since one 25 A Yes.

	Page 10		Page 12
1	Q What was your employment in 1984?	1	A I really don't know.
2	A 1984, I was a clerk to then Chief Justice of	2	Q Approximately how many years?
3	Missouri Supreme Court Albert Renlin.	3	A I think it was probably here about seven
4	Q For how long?	4	years, too. No, more than that. Probably like ten.
5	A That was a year.	5	I know these numbers aren't adding up.
6	Q One year?	6	Q I realize they're approximate, that's fine.
7	A Yeah.	7	A Yeah.
8	Q And then after that, 1985?	8	Q And then after that, where did you go?
9	A Then I went to Gray & Ritter, personal	9	A Overland office. That was August of 2008.
10	injury firm downtown. Worked for them approximately	10	Q And when you say Curtis, Heinz, Garrett &
11	two and a half years.	11	Soule, you say this office, you're talking about the
12	Q And after that?	12	office that in which Keith Cheung is currently
13	A Moved to Evans & Dixon and worked for them	13	employed; is that correct?
14	for approximately a year, I believe.	14	A Yes.
15	Q And after that?	15	Q And when you were here for however many
16	A My memory's a little foggy. I believe after	16	years it was, and by "here," I mean with Curtis,
17	that I went to the City Counselor's Office, St. Louis	17	Heinz, Garrett & Soule?
18	City Counselor's Office.	18	MR. CHASSAING: Can we go off the record a
19	Q How long were you there?	19	minute?
20	A In the capacity as an employee and special	20	(Discussion off the record.)
21	counsel, I believe it was three or four years.	21	BY MR. PLEBAN
22	Q You said in your capacity as	22	Q When you first came to Curtis Heinz, do you
23	A An employee.	23	recall what the name of the firm was then?
24	Q Employee being?	24	A Curtis, Oetting, Heinz, Garrett & Soule.
25	A Associate.	25	Q And then over the course of your employment
	Page 11		Page 13
1	Q Associate counselor?	1	Page 13 with that firm, the named partners changed from time
2	Q Associate counselor?A Yes, assistant city counselor.	2	with that firm, the named partners changed from time to time?
	Q Associate counselor?A Yes, assistant city counselor.Q And you said special counsel?	2 3	with that firm, the named partners changed from time to time? A Yes.
2 3 4	 Q Associate counselor? A Yes, assistant city counselor. Q And you said special counsel? A Yes. 	2 3 4	with that firm, the named partners changed from time to time? A Yes. Q Is that correct?
2 3 4 5	 Q Associate counselor? A Yes, assistant city counselor. Q And you said special counsel? A Yes. Q For what? 	2 3 4 5	with that firm, the named partners changed from time to time? A Yes. Q Is that correct? A That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6	 Q Associate counselor? A Yes, assistant city counselor. Q And you said special counsel? A Yes. Q For what? A City had a program whereby they were 	2 3 4 5 6	with that firm, the named partners changed from time to time? A Yes. Q Is that correct? A That's correct. Q And during the period of time that you were
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q Associate counselor? A Yes, assistant city counselor. Q And you said special counsel? A Yes. Q For what? A City had a program whereby they were providing defense counsel to child abuse proceedings 	2 3 4 5 6 7	with that firm, the named partners changed from time to time? A Yes. Q Is that correct? A That's correct. Q And during the period of time that you were with this firm, and by "this firm," I'm talking about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q Associate counselor? A Yes, assistant city counselor. Q And you said special counsel? A Yes. Q For what? A City had a program whereby they were providing defense counsel to child abuse proceedings in the juvenile court. Now, that's not exactly right. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	with that firm, the named partners changed from time to time? A Yes. Q Is that correct? A That's correct. Q And during the period of time that you were with this firm, and by "this firm," I'm talking about where we are this morning taking your deposition, is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q Associate counselor? A Yes, assistant city counselor. Q And you said special counsel? A Yes. Q For what? A City had a program whereby they were providing defense counsel to child abuse proceedings in the juvenile court. Now, that's not exactly right. That's not right. I was doing collection work for the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	with that firm, the named partners changed from time to time? A Yes. Q Is that correct? A That's correct. Q And during the period of time that you were with this firm, and by "this firm," I'm talking about where we are this morning taking your deposition, is whatever the name of it is now, was Keith Cheung
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q Associate counselor? A Yes, assistant city counselor. Q And you said special counsel? A Yes. Q For what? A City had a program whereby they were providing defense counsel to child abuse proceedings in the juvenile court. Now, that's not exactly right. That's not right. I was doing collection work for the City. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	with that firm, the named partners changed from time to time? A Yes. Q Is that correct? A That's correct. Q And during the period of time that you were with this firm, and by "this firm," I'm talking about where we are this morning taking your deposition, is whatever the name of it is now, was Keith Cheung employed by that firm?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q Associate counselor? A Yes, assistant city counselor. Q And you said special counsel? A Yes. Q For what? A City had a program whereby they were providing defense counsel to child abuse proceedings in the juvenile court. Now, that's not exactly right. That's not right. I was doing collection work for the City. Q Did you do that while you were an employee, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	with that firm, the named partners changed from time to time? A Yes. Q Is that correct? A That's correct. Q And during the period of time that you were with this firm, and by "this firm," I'm talking about where we are this morning taking your deposition, is whatever the name of it is now, was Keith Cheung employed by that firm? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q Associate counselor? A Yes, assistant city counselor. Q And you said special counsel? A Yes. Q For what? A City had a program whereby they were providing defense counsel to child abuse proceedings in the juvenile court. Now, that's not exactly right. That's not right. I was doing collection work for the City. Q Did you do that while you were an employee, or is that after you left as an employee? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	with that firm, the named partners changed from time to time? A Yes. Q Is that correct? A That's correct. Q And during the period of time that you were with this firm, and by "this firm," I'm talking about where we are this morning taking your deposition, is whatever the name of it is now, was Keith Cheung employed by that firm? A Yes. Q And for the entire time that you were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Associate counselor? A Yes, assistant city counselor. Q And you said special counsel? A Yes. Q For what? A City had a program whereby they were providing defense counsel to child abuse proceedings in the juvenile court. Now, that's not exactly right. That's not right. I was doing collection work for the City. Q Did you do that while you were an employee, or is that after you left as an employee? A I left as an employee. That was as an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	with that firm, the named partners changed from time to time? A Yes. Q Is that correct? A That's correct. Q And during the period of time that you were with this firm, and by "this firm," I'm talking about where we are this morning taking your deposition, is whatever the name of it is now, was Keith Cheung employed by that firm? A Yes. Q And for the entire time that you were employed by the firm, was Keith Cheung employed by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Associate counselor? A Yes, assistant city counselor. Q And you said special counsel? A Yes. Q For what? A City had a program whereby they were providing defense counsel to child abuse proceedings in the juvenile court. Now, that's not exactly right. That's not right. I was doing collection work for the City. Q Did you do that while you were an employee, or is that after you left as an employee? A I left as an employee. That was as an independent contractor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	with that firm, the named partners changed from time to time? A Yes. Q Is that correct? A That's correct. Q And during the period of time that you were with this firm, and by "this firm," I'm talking about where we are this morning taking your deposition, is whatever the name of it is now, was Keith Cheung employed by that firm? A Yes. Q And for the entire time that you were employed by the firm, was Keith Cheung employed by the Curtis, Oetting, later Curtis Heinz
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Associate counselor? A Yes, assistant city counselor. Q And you said special counsel? A Yes. Q For what? A City had a program whereby they were providing defense counsel to child abuse proceedings in the juvenile court. Now, that's not exactly right. That's not right. I was doing collection work for the City. Q Did you do that while you were an employee, or is that after you left as an employee? A I left as an employee. That was as an independent contractor. Q And then after that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	with that firm, the named partners changed from time to time? A Yes. Q Is that correct? A That's correct. Q And during the period of time that you were with this firm, and by "this firm," I'm talking about where we are this morning taking your deposition, is whatever the name of it is now, was Keith Cheung employed by that firm? A Yes. Q And for the entire time that you were employed by the firm, was Keith Cheung employed by the Curtis, Oetting, later Curtis Heinz A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q Associate counselor? A Yes, assistant city counselor. Q And you said special counsel? A Yes. Q For what? A City had a program whereby they were providing defense counsel to child abuse proceedings in the juvenile court. Now, that's not exactly right. That's not right. I was doing collection work for the City. Q Did you do that while you were an employee, or is that after you left as an employee? A I left as an employee. That was as an independent contractor. Q And then after that? A This office. Well, no, I'm sorry, Utoff, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	with that firm, the named partners changed from time to time? A Yes. Q Is that correct? A That's correct. Q And during the period of time that you were with this firm, and by "this firm," I'm talking about where we are this morning taking your deposition, is whatever the name of it is now, was Keith Cheung employed by that firm? A Yes. Q And for the entire time that you were employed by the firm, was Keith Cheung employed by the Curtis, Oetting, later Curtis Heinz A Yes. Q Garrett & O'Keefe?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Associate counselor? A Yes, assistant city counselor. Q And you said special counsel? A Yes. Q For what? A City had a program whereby they were providing defense counsel to child abuse proceedings in the juvenile court. Now, that's not exactly right. That's not right. I was doing collection work for the City. Q Did you do that while you were an employee, or is that after you left as an employee? A I left as an employee. That was as an independent contractor. Q And then after that? A This office. Well, no, I'm sorry, Utoff, Graber, Bobinette & O'Keefe.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	with that firm, the named partners changed from time to time? A Yes. Q Is that correct? A That's correct. Q And during the period of time that you were with this firm, and by "this firm," I'm talking about where we are this morning taking your deposition, is whatever the name of it is now, was Keith Cheung employed by that firm? A Yes. Q And for the entire time that you were employed by the firm, was Keith Cheung employed by the Curtis, Oetting, later Curtis Heinz A Yes. Q Garrett & O'Keefe? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Associate counselor? A Yes, assistant city counselor. Q And you said special counsel? A Yes. Q For what? A City had a program whereby they were providing defense counsel to child abuse proceedings in the juvenile court. Now, that's not exactly right. That's not right. I was doing collection work for the City. Q Did you do that while you were an employee, or is that after you left as an employee? A I left as an employee. That was as an independent contractor. Q And then after that? A This office. Well, no, I'm sorry, Utoff, Graber, Bobinette & O'Keefe. Q And how long were you with them?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	with that firm, the named partners changed from time to time? A Yes. Q Is that correct? A That's correct. Q And during the period of time that you were with this firm, and by "this firm," I'm talking about where we are this morning taking your deposition, is whatever the name of it is now, was Keith Cheung employed by that firm? A Yes. Q And for the entire time that you were employed by the firm, was Keith Cheung employed by the firm, was Keith Cheung employed by the Curtis, Oetting, later Curtis Heinz A Yes. Q Garrett & O'Keefe? A Yes. Q And did you have occasion to work on matters
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Associate counselor? A Yes, assistant city counselor. Q And you said special counsel? A Yes. Q For what? A City had a program whereby they were providing defense counsel to child abuse proceedings in the juvenile court. Now, that's not exactly right. That's not right. I was doing collection work for the City. Q Did you do that while you were an employee, or is that after you left as an employee? A I left as an employee. That was as an independent contractor. Q And then after that? A This office. Well, no, I'm sorry, Utoff, Graber, Bobinette & O'Keefe. Q And how long were you with them? A Approximately seven years.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	with that firm, the named partners changed from time to time? A Yes. Q Is that correct? A That's correct. Q And during the period of time that you were with this firm, and by "this firm," I'm talking about where we are this morning taking your deposition, is whatever the name of it is now, was Keith Cheung employed by that firm? A Yes. Q And for the entire time that you were employed by the firm, was Keith Cheung employed by the Curtis, Oetting, later Curtis Heinz A Yes. Q Garrett & O'Keefe? A Yes. Q And did you have occasion to work on matters with Keith Cheung from time to time?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Associate counselor? A Yes, assistant city counselor. Q And you said special counsel? A Yes. Q For what? A City had a program whereby they were providing defense counsel to child abuse proceedings in the juvenile court. Now, that's not exactly right. That's not right. I was doing collection work for the City. Q Did you do that while you were an employee, or is that after you left as an employee? A I left as an employee. That was as an independent contractor. Q And then after that? A This office. Well, no, I'm sorry, Utoff, Graber, Bobinette & O'Keefe. Q And how long were you with them? A Approximately seven years. Q Then after that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	with that firm, the named partners changed from time to time? A Yes. Q Is that correct? A That's correct. Q And during the period of time that you were with this firm, and by "this firm," I'm talking about where we are this morning taking your deposition, is whatever the name of it is now, was Keith Cheung employed by that firm? A Yes. Q And for the entire time that you were employed by the firm, was Keith Cheung employed by the Curtis, Oetting, later Curtis Heinz A Yes. Q Garrett & O'Keefe? A Yes. Q And did you have occasion to work on matters with Keith Cheung from time to time? A I'm sure I did. I can't recall anything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Associate counselor? A Yes, assistant city counselor. Q And you said special counsel? A Yes. Q For what? A City had a program whereby they were providing defense counsel to child abuse proceedings in the juvenile court. Now, that's not exactly right. That's not right. I was doing collection work for the City. Q Did you do that while you were an employee, or is that after you left as an employee? A I left as an employee. That was as an independent contractor. Q And then after that? A This office. Well, no, I'm sorry, Utoff, Graber, Bobinette & O'Keefe. Q And how long were you with them? A Approximately seven years. Q Then after that? A This office, Curtis, Heinz and Curtis,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	with that firm, the named partners changed from time to time? A Yes. Q Is that correct? A That's correct. Q And during the period of time that you were with this firm, and by "this firm," I'm talking about where we are this morning taking your deposition, is whatever the name of it is now, was Keith Cheung employed by that firm? A Yes. Q And for the entire time that you were employed by the firm, was Keith Cheung employed by the Curtis, Oetting, later Curtis Heinz A Yes. Q Garrett & O'Keefe? A Yes. Q And did you have occasion to work on matters with Keith Cheung from time to time? A I'm sure I did. I can't recall anything specifically.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Associate counselor? A Yes, assistant city counselor. Q And you said special counsel? A Yes. Q For what? A City had a program whereby they were providing defense counsel to child abuse proceedings in the juvenile court. Now, that's not exactly right. That's not right. I was doing collection work for the City. Q Did you do that while you were an employee, or is that after you left as an employee? A I left as an employee. That was as an independent contractor. Q And then after that? A This office. Well, no, I'm sorry, Utoff, Graber, Bobinette & O'Keefe. Q And how long were you with them? A Approximately seven years. Q Then after that? A This office, Curtis, Heinz and Curtis, Heinz, Garrett & Soule.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	with that firm, the named partners changed from time to time? A Yes. Q Is that correct? A That's correct. Q And during the period of time that you were with this firm, and by "this firm," I'm talking about where we are this morning taking your deposition, is whatever the name of it is now, was Keith Cheung employed by that firm? A Yes. Q And for the entire time that you were employed by the firm, was Keith Cheung employed by the Curtis, Oetting, later Curtis Heinz A Yes. Q Garrett & O'Keefe? A Yes. Q And did you have occasion to work on matters with Keith Cheung from time to time? A I'm sure I did. I can't recall anything specifically. Q And Mr. Chassaing, who is here this morning,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Associate counselor? A Yes, assistant city counselor. Q And you said special counsel? A Yes. Q For what? A City had a program whereby they were providing defense counsel to child abuse proceedings in the juvenile court. Now, that's not exactly right. That's not right. I was doing collection work for the City. Q Did you do that while you were an employee, or is that after you left as an employee? A I left as an employee. That was as an independent contractor. Q And then after that? A This office. Well, no, I'm sorry, Utoff, Graber, Bobinette & O'Keefe. Q And how long were you with them? A Approximately seven years. Q Then after that? A This office, Curtis, Heinz and Curtis, Heinz, Garrett & Soule. Q How long were you with them?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	with that firm, the named partners changed from time to time? A Yes. Q Is that correct? A That's correct. Q And during the period of time that you were with this firm, and by "this firm," I'm talking about where we are this morning taking your deposition, is whatever the name of it is now, was Keith Cheung employed by that firm? A Yes. Q And for the entire time that you were employed by the firm, was Keith Cheung employed by the Curtis, Oetting, later Curtis Heinz A Yes. Q Garrett & O'Keefe? A Yes. Q And did you have occasion to work on matters with Keith Cheung from time to time? A I'm sure I did. I can't recall anything specifically. Q And Mr. Chassaing, who is here this morning, was he employed by that same firm at all times
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q Associate counselor? A Yes, assistant city counselor. Q And you said special counsel? A Yes. Q For what? A City had a program whereby they were providing defense counsel to child abuse proceedings in the juvenile court. Now, that's not exactly right. That's not right. I was doing collection work for the City. Q Did you do that while you were an employee, or is that after you left as an employee? A I left as an employee. That was as an independent contractor. Q And then after that? A This office. Well, no, I'm sorry, Utoff, Graber, Bobinette & O'Keefe. Q And how long were you with them? A Approximately seven years. Q Then after that? A This office, Curtis, Heinz and Curtis, Heinz, Garrett & Soule. Q How long were you with them? A Until 2008.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	with that firm, the named partners changed from time to time? A Yes. Q Is that correct? A That's correct. Q And during the period of time that you were with this firm, and by "this firm," I'm talking about where we are this morning taking your deposition, is whatever the name of it is now, was Keith Cheung employed by that firm? A Yes. Q And for the entire time that you were employed by the firm, was Keith Cheung employed by the Curtis, Oetting, later Curtis Heinz A Yes. Q Garrett & O'Keefe? A Yes. Q And did you have occasion to work on matters with Keith Cheung from time to time? A I'm sure I did. I can't recall anything specifically. Q And Mr. Chassaing, who is here this morning, was he employed by that same firm at all times material?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Associate counselor? A Yes, assistant city counselor. Q And you said special counsel? A Yes. Q For what? A City had a program whereby they were providing defense counsel to child abuse proceedings in the juvenile court. Now, that's not exactly right. That's not right. I was doing collection work for the City. Q Did you do that while you were an employee, or is that after you left as an employee? A I left as an employee. That was as an independent contractor. Q And then after that? A This office. Well, no, I'm sorry, Utoff, Graber, Bobinette & O'Keefe. Q And how long were you with them? A Approximately seven years. Q Then after that? A This office, Curtis, Heinz and Curtis, Heinz, Garrett & Soule. Q How long were you with them?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	with that firm, the named partners changed from time to time? A Yes. Q Is that correct? A That's correct. Q And during the period of time that you were with this firm, and by "this firm," I'm talking about where we are this morning taking your deposition, is whatever the name of it is now, was Keith Cheung employed by that firm? A Yes. Q And for the entire time that you were employed by the firm, was Keith Cheung employed by the Curtis, Oetting, later Curtis Heinz A Yes. Q Garrett & O'Keefe? A Yes. Q And did you have occasion to work on matters with Keith Cheung from time to time? A I'm sure I did. I can't recall anything specifically. Q And Mr. Chassaing, who is here this morning, was he employed by that same firm at all times

Page 14 Page 16 1 Q And did you likewise have occasion to work 1 Q Have you had any other professional dealings 2 with him? 2 with him? 3 A Yes. 3 A I believe I've run into him down at the lake 4 4 Q How about John Maupin, have you ever had a at a professional conference. Beyond that, nothing. 5 professional relationship with John Maupin? 5 Q In your capacity as the city attorney and A Not really. When I became the Ellisville 6 6 his capacity as the city attorney for Ladue? 7 city attorney, John was handling a condemnation case, 7 A Not that I recall. 8 I believe, for the City, and I ended up taking over 8 Q What kind of things did you run into? 9 that litigation. 9 A I'm sorry? 10 So to the extent that we had a transfer of 10 Q What kind of events did you run into him at 11 information, whatever happened at that particular 11 the lake? 12 time, that was the extent of it. 12 A I believe that was a Missouri Municipal 13 Q Back up then. You said, and I didn't 13 Attorney's Conference. I don't even know if he was 14 understand your first part, when you became city 14 going to the conference, but that's why I was there, 15 15 attorney, is that what you said? and I think I ran into him. 16 A Yes, for Ellisville. 16 Q Have you ever had occasion to socialize with 17 Q That was what year? 17 John? A I think it was 2004. 18 18 A No. 19 19 Q All right. And in 2004 -- who was your Q Have you had occasion to socialize with 20 predecessor as city attorney, by the way? 20 **Keith Cheung?** A It would have been Don Anderson. A When I was here, we had firm events that I 21 21 22 22 O And in 2004, at that point in time, you guess could be characterized as social. I've never 23 indicated that John Maupin was handling a matter for 23 gone out with Keith. I went to his party celebrating, the City? 24 I think, his wife's cancer remission. 24 25 A Yes. 25 O Do you recall when that was? Page 15 Page 17 1 A I really don't. I would guess about four 1 Q What kind of a matter was it that he was 2 handling for the City of Ellisville? 2 years ago. 3 3 A I don't really recall. It was some kind of Q Have you ever had occasion to socialize with litigation. Some kind of either condemnation file or 4 anybody else in the Curtis, Heinz, Garrett & O'Keefe 4 5 a land use dispute of some sort. 5 firm? 6 Q Was he handling that matter as special 6 A Outside the firm functions, I don't believe 7 7 counsel? SO. 8 8 A Yes. Q I'm primarily talking about since you've 9 9 Q And you said then when you became the city left? 10 attorney, you took that matter over? 10 A No. A Yes. Q You recommended the appointment of John 11 11 12 O So John withdrew in whatever form was 12 Maupin and Keith Cheung to serve as counsel in 13 13 necessary to withdraw, and then you entered an connection with the preliminary resolution concerning 14 appearance; is that correct? 14 the removal of Mayor Adam Paul; is that correct? 15 A Correct. 15 A Oh, yes, sure. 16 Q So your contact with John during that period 16 Q And I'm assuming that you made contact with 17 of time -- that would have been in 2004 sometime? 17 him telephonically, each of them? 18 A I believe so. 18 A Yes. 19 19 O Your contact with him was minimal; is that a Q Let's talk about Keith Cheung first. When 20 20 did you first call him about this? fair statement? 21 A I'm not sure of a specific date. 21 A Yes 22 Q And so he brought you up to speed, I guess, 22 **Q** Approximately? 23 on the condemnation case or whatever case he was 23 A I think it would have been February 8th, 24 24 handling? maybe. 25 25 A Whatever case it was, yes. Q Of 2013, I'm assuming?

Page 18 Page 20 1 A Yes. It could have been the next week. I 1 felt like they could go ahead. 2 really don't remember. 2 Q And did he ask you for any more details? 3 3 Q And what was that conversation? MR. CHASSAING: Let's get a point of order 4 A I explained what the situation was, what I 4 clarified on this. I think at some point in time --5 anticipated what was going to happen, was asking if he 5 well, there's some debate in the legal community when 6 6 was interested in taking on the role. an attorney/client relationship begins. I think it's 7 7 O What did you tell him you anticipated was clear that it definitely began when the council 8 8 approved his retention, okay, but I also am concerned going to happen? 9 9 that it might begin even sooner than that when someone A I told him that I anticipated the council 10 was going to pass a removal resolution and was going 10 on behalf of the City is talking to an attorney about to proceed from there. an engagement, and I don't want to have you come back 11 11 12 Q You have to keep up your voice. You told 12 later and say I've waived the attorney/client 13 him that you anticipated that the council was going to 13 privilege as it relates to Mr. Cheung. 14 14 pass a resolution to remove the mayor? So I'm going to make a record objection to 15 15 A Yes. further conversations about any details of the 16 Q Did you tell him any more information other 16 communications between Mr. Martin and Mr. Cheung. 17 than you anticipated that removal? 17 MR. PLEBAN: And for the record, my position A No. 18 18 would be that ship sailed because there was a waiver, 19 Q What did you ask him to do? 19 a post conversation, post this conversation as is 20 A I asked him if he was interested and if he 20 reflected in the Murray motion and ultimate passage, 21 21 was willing to take on the role of the special which we've discussed a couple of days ago. 22 prosecutor. 22 MR. CHASSAING: But that waiver we discussed 23 23 a couple of days ago was a waiver as to the city Q What did O'Keefe say? 24 A He said he would have to check with the firm 24 attorney, Mr. Martin, and not as to Mr. Cheung, and if 25 and get back to me. 25 that were to have been that expansive, I think it Page 19 Page 21 1 would have said that. So I disagree with you. 1 Q Did he ask any questions about the removal 2 2 BY MR. PLEBAN process? 3 3 Q Okay. You can answer. A Could have. I don't remember. 4 A What was the question? 4 Q Did you have occasion to take any notes with 5 Q What other conversation that you had with 5 respect to your conversation? 6 6 A No, I did not. Keith Cheung when he called you back one or two days 7 7 Q And I'm assuming the conversation was very afterwards as it relates to his employment as the 8 8 brief then? special counsel for the impeachment proceedings? 9 9 A I don't know. I mean, it wasn't an A I thought I answered that question, didn't 10 hour-long conversation. 10 1? 11 11 Q Well, did you outline for him any of the Q Go ahead and do it again. 12 12 reasons that there would be this removal process? A He said that they could do the work. A I don't really recall whether I did or not. 13 Q I understand that. Did you have any further 13 14 Q Did he have occasion to get back to you 14 conversation with him, though, about the particulars 15 15 of the impeachment process? then? 16 A Yes. 16 A I'm sure I did. Can I ask, let me get my 17 17 dates straight because I'm not sure that February 8th Q And how long did it take him to get back to 18 18 date is correct. Well, let's just leave it at that. you? 19 I'm not sure the February 8th date is correct. 19 A I don't recall. 20 20 Q Okay. What date do you think it is? Q A day, an hour, two days, a week? What's A I don't know. I don't remember. your best estimate? 21 21 22 A It was definitely not sooner than a day. 22 MR. CHASSAING: For the record, he did 23 23 Might have been two days. answer previously it might have been the next week.

24

25

BY MR. PLEBAN

Q You said -- you said the 8th or the

24

25

O And what was that conversation about?

A He said he talked to the firm and that they

Page 22 Page 24 1 following week, correct? 1 got to do something, and that's when I started acting. 2 2 A I think I did say that, right. Q So Mr. Pirrello called you on February 8th, 3 3 Q And while you're not certain as to the exact told you that can't take it anymore, and is that when 4 4 date, was your conversation with Keith Cheung before you talked to him about Dan Bruntrager and Keith 5 or after the Murray resolution or the Murray motion 5 Cheung? 6 6 was passed? A Probably not. Probably would have been a 7 A That's what I can't remember independently 7 couple of days later. And that "can't take it 8 8 anymore," those are my words. I don't recall exactly right now. 9 9 Q Did you talk to any other lawyers other than what Matt said. 10 10 Keith Cheung about providing representation? Q What did you understand that to mean, "can't A For the special prosecutor position, no. take it anymore"? 11 11 12 Q Yes. Did you -- before you talked to Keith 12 A That the council was --13 Cheung, did you confer with any of the council members 13 MR. CHASSAING: Wait a minute. For the 14 regarding the appointment of a special prosecutor? record, I think the prior answer was "we can't take it 14 A I think I did. 15 15 anymore." You've abbreviated it somewhat. I don't know if that's going to be significant, but I just 16 Q And with whom did you converse? 16 17 17 A I think it was Matt Pirrello. wanted to make a record that you haven't fed back to 18 O What was that conversation? 18 him exactly what he said. 19 A Basically talking about options that I 19 BY MR. PLEBAN Q After "we can't," whatever it was that 20 mentioned to Keith and Dan Bruntrager, and there was a 20 21 third person who I forgot who that was. 21 you've paraphrased by the way, right? 22 Q Are you thinking? 22 A Yes. A No. 23 23 Q Those are your words, not Pirrello's? 24 24 Q I thought you said -- you talked to Pirrello A My words, yes. 25 25 O After whatever statement he made, what did about Keith and perhaps Dan Bruntrager; is that Page 23 Page 25 1 you understand that to mean? 1 correct? 2 A That counsel's patience with the mayor had 2 A Yes. 3 3 lapsed and that they wanted to move forward with Q And why was it you selected Mr. Pirrello to 4 removal proceedings. 4 talk to about this? 5 5 Q Did you ask him about that? A Because he was the person who essentially 6 set the ball in motion. 6 A Did I ask him to clarify? 7 7 O What does that mean? O Yeah, uh-huh. 8 8 A After the February 6th meeting with the A No. I think I had an understanding exactly 9 incident with Mr. Srote. 9 what he meant. 10 10 Q Mr. what? Q How did you have that understanding? 11 A Srote, S-R-O-T-E. 11 Because this has been going on for a long Α 12 Q Matt called me -- for clarification he was 12 time. 13 the individual that was disrupting the meeting? 13 Q What's been going on? 14 MR. CHASSAING: Object to form. 14 A The mayor's actions and the council's 15 15 frustration. Argumentative. 16 THE WITNESS: Mr. Srote was in attendance at 16 Q What action are you talking about? 17 the meeting and he was part of the chaos. 17 A Pretty much everything that's in the 18 BY MR. PLEBAN 18 resolution. O Was he disrupting the meeting in your 19 19 Q Did you discuss anything else with Pirrello 20 20 on February 8th? iudgment? A In my judgment, he was not disrupting the 21 A I don't recall discussing anything in 21 22 meeting, but he was being obnoxious. He was part of 22 particular with him. 23 the entire situation. So I believe it was two days 23 Q And after you had that conversation with after that, which would have been the 8th, Matt called 24 Pirrello on February 8th, what were you supposed to 24 and said essentially we can't take it anymore. We've 25 25

3/20/2013 Paul Martin

Page 28

Page 29

Page 26 1 A I don't know if I was supposed to do 1 A I don't have a specific recollection of what 2 anything. I can tell you in general terms what I did. 2 I did, but I advised Matt about how to bring the issue 3 3 Q Well, let me back up. Pirrello calls you to the council's attention, generally speaking. 4 4 and says, We can't take it anymore, or words to that O So what did you tell him? 5 effect, he initiates that phone call with you, 5 A I was concerned about the public's reaction, 6 6 correct? and I told him that this should be something that was 7 7 A Yes, yes. generated by someone other than a council member. 8 Q And you have a very short conversation, I'm 8 Q What does that mean? 9 9 assuming? A That means I suggested that he find someone 10 10 A I don't know how long it was. to file a charter violation complaint other than a Q And then when you hang up the telephone from 11 11 council member. 12 him, did he tell you that he wanted the mayor 12 Q So did you recommend as to how he was 13 impeached during that conversation? 13 supposed to do that? 14 A I don't know that he specifically said that. 14 A Yes. 15 I took the conversation as the council's patience has 15 Q And what did you tell him? 16 A That's exactly what I told him. I think it expired on this, and we want to move forward with 16 17 removing the mayor. 17 would be better if you had somebody who could file an 18 Q And did you ask him how the council's 18 independent charter violation complaint, and that if 19 patience had expired on this? 19 that complaint wasn't expansive enough to address all 20 A No. 20 of the mayor's actions, then it could be expanded upon 21 21 Q Why not? at a later day. 22 A Because I lived with it for the last ten 22 Q I got that part. Did you advise him as to months or whatever it was. how he should go about finding that person? 23 23 24 24 Q Well, how did you know what he was talking 25 about? 25 Q Did you discuss with him how that person Page 27 1 1 A Because I talked to other council members. would be located? 2 2 I don't know what you're getting at. A No. 3 3 Q Well, he tells you, We can't take it Q Did he ask you how the person could you 4 4 anymore, correct? located? 5 5 A Something like that. A No. 6 Q Words to that effect? 6 O When did that conversation occur? 7 A Uh-huh. 7 A I don't really remember. 8 8 Q Not just him, he was talking about the Q Was that within a couple of days after 9 plural, correct? 9 February the 8th? 10 10 A It could have been February the 8th. I just A Yes, I believe he was. 11 Q And you say that you had talked to other 11 don't remember, but, yes. Somewhere around that time. 12 council members individually or together? 12 Q What was your concern about the public A Individually. 13 13 reaction? 14 14 Q Who had -- what other council members did A Well, I suppose there were two concerns. I 15 you talk to individually prior to February the 8th? 15 was concerned about public backlash against the 16 A Over the course of ten months, probably all 16 council, and I was also concerned about public 17 17 intimidation. of them. 18 18 Q Now, after you end your conversation with Q Public backlash, what does that mean? A People coming to council meetings and 19 Pirrello on February the 8th, what is the next thing 19 20 20 essentially venting against whatever individual that you do in connection with Mayor Paul's impeachment proceeding? 21 council member might have started the process. 21 22 A To the best of my recollection, I basically 22 Q And what does public intimidation mean? 23 analyzed the situation and came up with a -- an 23 A Intimidation against council members who outline of how to achieve that goal. 24 might be reluctant to go forward on their own. 24 Q So let me see if I get this. When Pirrello 25 25 Q What exactly does that mean?

calls you on February the 8th, the council and yourself had ten months worth of issues with the mayor, right?

A Ten months might be an -- yes.

Q And so those issues were not going to be pursued, if I understand your testimony correctly, and instead somebody -- Pirrello was going to go out and find somebody to file a complaint alleging a charter violation, is that how that was supposed to work?

MR. CHASSAING: I object to the form of the question. It misstates his prior testimony. BY MR. PLEBAN

Q You can certainly clarify.

A I would say that those issues had not been pursued because of -- well, I can't speak for council members, but they were reluctant to pursue those issues for their own reasons over the course of time.

Q So my point is, you talked to Pirrello, it was ten months of issues with Adam Paul, and you recommend that Paul go out and find somebody else to, I guess, abandon those other issues, and find somebody who will file a complaint, a noncouncil member against Adam Paul alleging a charter violation. Is that how it's supposed to work?

MR. MAUPIN: I think you misspoke. I think

Q You have a conversation --

- A I think I must have.
- Q Yeah. I don't know. You don't have a clear recollection of something that happened, I guess, a month ago relative to the discussion about removing the mayor and getting somebody else to file a charter violation?

Page 32

Page 33

A I'm just answering your questions to the best of my ability.

Now, to the extent that -- I'm going to make sense that I would add an idea. Now, did I -- yes, I think that's a fair statement. I knew exactly what it was.

Q What was it?

A The initial complaint about Adam directing the removal of Katie James and the complaint about Adam directing the removal of Mr. Srote.

Q And had you already talked to Katie James before you talked to Pirrello?

A I didn't talk to Katie James.

Q And so did you recommend that Pirrello go and solicit Katie James to make those charters?

A I don't know if I recommended it.

Q What did you tell him?

A To the best of my recollection, I said, This

Page 31

Page 30

1.5

you said Paul instead of Matt.

THE WITNESS: I understand what you were aving.

BY MR. PLEBAN

- O You had the conversation with Matt Pirrello?
- A Ves

Q And Matt Pirrello was supposed to go out and find somebody, right?

A Yes. The situation with the council developed over the course of these ten months. Matt Pirrello said, again, my words, We can't take it anymore, we have to do something. What do we do? And I don't think it was in the same conversation, but I thought about it and I said, To avoid public outcry, you should -- or, To deflect public attention from the council, you should -- I don't know even if I said "should" -- it would be helpful, maybe, to have an independent party file a charter violation, and we can get the process started as a result of that complaint and expand on the process at a later time.

Q And at the time that you made this recommendation to him, did you have any idea what that charter complaint was going to be?

A Frankly, I don't have a clear recollection of that.

would be a possible way to help deflect attention away from the council to get this process started without the council having to initiate it directly.

Q When was the next time -- after you gave Pirrello that advice, what was the next time you heard from him regarding your advice?

A I don't know, but it must have been -- he either volunteered the possibility of Katie James doing that or he talked to her over the weekend. I don't know.

Q Wait a minute. You say he volunteered the possibility of Katie James -- I thought you told him?

A I do not remember talking about Katie James. I remember talking about, you know, this -- doing this in a way that would deflect attention away from the council. Now, whether he said Katie James could do this or I said Katie James could do this, I don't really remember.

Q And with respect to -- is it Mr. Stole, S-T-O-L-E?

A I don't know who that is.

Q Well, the individual that, to use my words, was disrupting?

A Srote, S-R-O-T-E.

Q Did you talk to him during your conversation

Page 34 Page 36 1 1 anybody on the council or otherwise including, but not with him on February the 8th about Mr. Srote? 2 2 limited to the mayor, about that incident before your 3 Q When he called you back, did he tell you he 3 conversation with Pirrello on February the 8th? had discussed the matter with Katie James? 4 4 A I guess there's two answers to that 5 A I don't recall if that was telephone 5 question. One, why I didn't talk to people about 6 6 conversation or an email, but, yes, at some point. filing charter violation complaint before that, I may 7 O Forgetting the motive, the communication? 7 have. I don't recall. Two --8 A At some point, he told me that Katie James 8 Q I thought you just said you didn't? 9 was going do that. 9 A Well, during the course of those nine months 10 Q Now, directing the removal of Katie James, 10 might there have been a conversation someplace, sure. 11 when was that alleged to have occurred? I didn't talk to anybody specifically about it, not 11 12 A That was May 2nd. 12 that I recall. 13 Q May 2nd of 2012? 13 Q You're a lawyer. I'm asking you questions. Α Yes. 14 MR. CHASSAING: I object to you making 14 15 Some nine months before? O 15 comments about Mr. Martin being a lawyer. Just ask A Whatever it is, yes. 16 questions. You don't need to make speeches, number 16 17 Q So that I'm clear, you're recommending to one. Number two, I think he's trying his best to 17 18 Pirrello that Katie James file a complaint about a respond to your questions as fully as he's able and 18 charter violation that occurred some nine months 19 19 you interrupted him. 20 prior, correct? 20 MR. PLEBAN: Are you done? 21 A I don't know if it was a recollection, but 21 MR. CHASSAING: I'm finished with that 22 that was the discussion, yes. 22 objection. 23 Q Have you ever discussed that with Pirrello 23 BY MR. PLEBAN before February 8th, 2013? 24 24 Q Now, as I was saying, you're a lawyer so A No, I don't think so. 25 25 let's, I guess, get some of the ground rules here. Page 35 Page 37 1 1 Q Have you ever discussed that issue with I'm going to ask you some questions as it relates to 2 anyone else prior to February 8th? 2 the issues surrounding impeachment of Adam Paul. 3 3 Anytime you don't understand a particular question 4 Q Well, if you were advising Pirrello to that I asked you or you haven't heard the question 4 5 that I've asked you, I want you to stop me, ask me to 5 contact Katie James to file a charter violation of an 6 alleged incident that occurred nine months before, why 6 repeat the question or rephrase the question in an 7 7 effort to have you fully hear and understand what I'm didn't vou discuss that with somebody --8 asking you; is that agreeable? 8 MR. CHASSAING: I object. 9 9 BY MR. PLEBAN A Sure. 10 Q In the event that you don't stop me and ask 10 Q -- prior to February 8th of 2013? 11 me to repeat or rephrase the question, I will assume 11 MR. CHASSAING: Have you finished your 12 12 that you heard the question, that you understand it, question? 13 and that you're giving a full and complete response to 13 MR. PLEBAN: I did. 14 the question I've propounded. Is that agreeable? 14 MR. CHASSAING: I object. It misstates his 15 15 testimony. You can answer. 16 Q I did interrupt you with respect to your BY MR PLEBAN 16 17 second point. 17 O Go ahead. 18 A Yes. 18 A I'm not sure if I advised Matt to do that. 19 What was that? 19 We discussed it and the question is why didn't I talk

A Second point was that there was no

they wanted to pursue this, to pursue any of it.

A Prepare legislation, provide advice on

Mr. Martin, as the city attorney?

indication from the council prior to February 8th that

Q What are your duties and responsibilities,

20

21

22

23

24

25

20

21

22

23

24

25

to someone before February 8th?

Q My question is this, Mr. Martin: If you're

talking about having someone file a charter violation

against the mayor, and that charter violation involves

someplace nine months before, why didn't you talk to

Katie James and her having been removed allegedly from

Page 38 Page 40 1 pending issues, represent the City in litigation, 1 violation, but is it something that is really that 2 2 provide advice to individual members who ask important. 3 3 questions, provide advice to staff pending issues. Q Well, you thought it was important enough to 4 4 Q Do you consider it part of your duties and recommend to Pirrello or discuss at least with 5 responsibilities to bring to the attention of the 5 Pirrello getting Katie James involved, didn't you? 6 6 council issues and instances of, in your mind, in your A Yes. As a way to get the removal process 7 7 opinion, charter violations? started. 8 A Yes. 8 Q But you didn't believe prior to your 9 9 Q And so I'm assuming that when you told February 8 conversation, although it was a charter 10 10 Pirrello or had a conversation with Pirrello about violation, that it was an impeachable offense? 11 11 Katie James --A Well, by itself, no. 12 A Yes. 12 Q Why not? 13 Q -- and about having Katie James file a 13 A I just didn't think a court would uphold 14 that kind of impeachment action, and as far as 14 complaint directly against Mayor Adam Paul regarding 15 15 her removal nine months before, that you in your mind conversations that occurred, closer in time after the 16 16 at least considered that there was a basis to pursue incident, my recommendation was to just stand down and 17 17 that allegation or that charter violation issue? let the mayor get acclimated and see if he could grow 18 18 A On its face, it's a charter violation. into the job. 19 19 Q And then my question is: Consistent with Q Did you have a conversation -- when you 20 your duties to bring that to the attention of the 20 talked to Pirrello on February the 8th, did you have a 21 21 council, why didn't you within that nine-month period? conversation with him about the removal of Mr. Strote 22 A I did not bring it to the council as a 22 (sic) beyond suggesting that the complaint be filed in 23 that context as well? 23 whole. I did speak with people individually in 24 different contexts. 24 A Srote. 25 25 Q So you're telling me that you spoke to Q How do you spell it? Page 39 Page 41 1 1 A S-R-O-T-E. individual council members about the removal of Katie 2 2 Could you repeat the question or read it James from the meeting nine months before? 3 3 A I think that's a fair statement. back? 4 4 Q And with whom did you speak? Q Yes. I'll repeat it. 5 5 A I don't know. You said that when you were talking to 6 Q You can't give me one council person's name 6 Pirrello, you talked to him about the removal of Katie 7 7 that you spoke to other than Pirrello on February 8th? James some nine months prior. 8 A I had conversations with council members all 8 A Yes. 9 9 the time. Q And the removal of Mr. Strote, correct? 10 10 A Yes. Q That's not what I asked. Can you give me 11 one name other than Pirrello that you spoke to about 11 Q Did you talk about the specifics of the 12 the removal of Katie James nine months prior? 12 removal of Mr. Strote with Pirrello on February the 13 13 A No, I really can't. 8th? 14 Q Did you speak to Pirrello before that, about 14 A I don't understand what you mean by that. 15 the Katie James issue? 15 Q Well, you're telling Pirrello, Hey, look, we 16 A I'm sure I did. 16 kind of -- we have to be mindful of the public 17 To try to help with your question, I don't 17 reaction, we kind of go do the end run around that 18 recall who I spoke with, but over the course of those 18 public reaction thing, correct? 19 19 nine months, probably immediately after the incident A Pretty much. 20 occurred, I am certain that I talked with people and 20 Q All right. And so we can use a charter 21 basically said I don't think this is some place you 21 violation to start the ball rolling, and that charter 22 want to go. I don't think this an impeachable 22 violation can be the removal of Katie James some nine offense. 23 23 months before, and the removal of Mr. Srote two days 24 In reaction to a question, What about this, 24 before, right?

25

A Yes.

25

isn't this a charter violation? Yes, it's a charter

Page 42 Page 44 1 Q Did you give him any specifics as to what 1 appointed. 2 2 you were talking about with respect to the removal of Q How long was she on the council? 3 3 Mr. Srote? A I really don't know. 4 4 A I'm sorry, I still don't understand what you Q Had you had -- prior to your conversation 5 mean by that. We discussed that as being an element 5 with Pirrello, had you ever had any conversations with 6 6 of the complaint. Katie James about Adam Paul? 7 7 O But how did you discuss with him how that in A I talked with Katie at some point after that 8 8 your judgment was a charter violation? May 2nd meeting and she told me what happened. 9 MR. CHASSAING: Referring now to the --9 Q What did she tell you happened? 10 10 MR. PLEBAN: Pirrello. A She told me that she went up to talk to the THE WITNESS: Srote. 11 11 mayor about how he handled the meeting and he needed 12 MR. PLEBAN: Ron Srote. 12 to be more in control of the public and that he 13 BY MR. PLEBAN 13 responded by raising his voice and saying something 14 like, Don't tell me how to run my F'ing meetings, this 14 Q Srote's removal, how was that a charter 15 15 violation? is my F'ing seat. A Same reason. Directing an officer of the 16 16 Q All right. And what did you say to Katie 17 17 city, ordering an officer to do something. James when she told you that? 18 18 O All right. So let me back up. A Really? I don't know. 19 19 When you're talking to Pirrello, you talked Did you ask her why she was telling you Q 20 to him about Mayor Paul's directing an officer of the 20 that? 21 21 City to do something, i.e., the removal of James nine A Just a conversation. 22 months before and the removal of Srote two days before 22 Q Did you ask her why she was telling you 23 as charter violations? 23 that? 24 24 A Yes. A No, I don't think so. 25 25 Q In other words, you specified that? Did you recommend to her that she file any Page 43 Page 45 1 A Yes. 1 kind of a charter violation at that point? 2 2 Q Now, after he, being Pirrello, communicated A I don't recall doing that. 3 3 with you, whether it was by email or telephonically, Q You're not saying you didn't, you don't have 4 4 that he had found Katie -- or talked to Katie James a present recollection? 5 5 A I don't think I did, but I don't recall. and she agreed to file this complaint, is that what he 6 told you? 6 Q After she told you that information, did you 7 7 A Something to that effect, yes. discuss that with anybody else? 8 8 A I discussed it with Kate, I believe, Kate Q What about Srote, how was that going to 9 happen? She was going to do both? 9 Demeter. 10 10 A Yes. Q City clerk? 11 Q Did you recommend that Mr. Pirrello go to 11 A Yes. Q Why did you ask her? 12 Mr. Srote and have him file his own complaint? 12 13 13 A Because I supposed she was right there and I A We didn't talk about that. 14 Q After you talked to Pirrello and he told you 14 talked to Dawn Anglin, A-N-G-L-I-N. 15 that Katie James was on board, did you have occasion 15 Q She's a council person? 16 to talk to Katie James? 16 A Yes. 17 A No. 17 Q Why did you talk to the city clerk about it? 18 18 A Curiosity. I wanted to know what their Q Katie James was a former council person; is 19 that correct? 19 observations were. 20 A That's correct. 20 Q Were you doing an investigation? 21 O When was she on the council? 21 A I wouldn't say so. A She was on the council when -- you know, I 22 22 Q So you just happened because you were 23 really don't have a good recollection of the dates. 23 curious you talked to Kate, the city clerk? 24 She may have been on the council when I was appointed 24 A I don't really remember. 25 25 and perhaps not. Shortly after or when I was Q When did you talk to Kate, the city clerk?

Page 46 Page 48 1 A I don't remember that either. Must have 1 about Keith Cheung? 2 2 A Yes. We're talking about discussing this been shortly after the incident. 3 3 Q Day later, two days later? with Pirrello? 4 Q With Pirrello, right. 4 A It might have been the same day, it might 5 have been a couple days later. 5 A Yes. 6 6 Q And you talked to Miss Anglin, A-N-G-L-I-N? Q Do you know whether or not he knew Keith 7 7 A Uh-huh. Cheung? 8 8 Q When did you talk to her? A The answer to your question is, no, I do not A May have been the same day, it may have been 9 know. I do not think he did. 9 the next week or a week after. I don't know. 10 10 Q And he mentioned the name of, did you say, O What did you tell her? 11 11 Dan Bruntrager? A Just asked her what was going on. I don't A No. I think I mentioned Bruntrager. 12 12 13 really remember the context of the conversation. I 13 Q And why did you mention the name Dan just know I talked to her. 14 14 Bruntrager to him? 15 15 Q Why did you talk to her? A Because Bruntrager represented Matt in the A I really don't know. Just something that we 16 16 recall suit, and I thought he would be a good fit for the job, but I also felt like that was an association 17 were talking about. 17 18 18 Q Did she bring it up or did you bring it up? that was too close to Matt. 19 A I don't have the faintest idea. 19 Q So you were discussing or recommending that 20 Q Did you talk to Adam Paul about it? 20 his lawyer, Pirrello's lawyer, be appointed as the 21 A I don't believe so. I don't know. 21 special prosecutor? 22 22 O Why wouldn't you talk to him about that? A It was a discussion. A I said I don't know if I talked to him about 23 23 Q Did Dan Bruntrager represent Pirrello or 24 24 that. Neal? 25 25 Q I asked why you wouldn't talk to him about A It was Dan, to the best of my knowledge. I Page 47 Page 49 1 it? 1 don't know if Neal had anything to do with it. 2 A You're asking me to speculate why I wouldn't 2 Q During the conversation that you had with 3 3 do something that I don't --Pirrello about a special prosecutor, did you also have 4 4 Q You said you don't remember. I'm asking a a conversation with him about who would be the hearing 5 5 follow-up question. Is there any reason why you officer in this case? 6 wouldn't talk to him about that? 6 A I don't believe so. 7 7 A I can't think of any reason why I wouldn't Q When did that happen? 8 8 talk to him about it. A Probably around the same time as -- I'm 9 O So after all this discussion with Pirrello 9 sorry, I don't think I'm answering your question, but 10 I think what you want to know is when did I recommend 10 about Katie James, and after he tells you that Katie 11 James is on board, is that when you have the 11 Mr. Maupin; is that correct? 12 conversation with him about appointing Keith Cheung? 12 Q Let me back up. You had the conversation 13 A It was sometime after that. I don't know 13 about the prosecutor with Pirrello? 14 14 exactly when it was. A Yes. 15 Q And that was a -- would have been a verbal 15 Q At least in the first instance? conversation that you had with him rather than an 16 16 A Yes 17 email conversation, correct? 17 Q Before we leave that, did you have the 18 MR. CHASSAING: You mean an oral as opposed 18 conversation about Keith Cheung with any other council 19 to written? Everything's verbal. 19 member other than Pirrello? 20 THE WITNESS: It was a telephone 20 A No, I don't think so. 21 conversation. 21 Q Did you have a conversation with the City 22 22 manager over there about Keith Cheung? BY MR. PLEBAN 23 23 Q During that verbal telephone conversation A I think I probably did, but I don't have a 24 that you had with him, did you -- you say that he 24 clear recollection of that. 25 25 discussed you recommended Keith Cheung or you talked Q All right. And when you finished your

Page 50 Page 52 1 conversation with Pirrello about Keith Cheung, had you 1 understand the recall issue, certain members of the 2 2 both agreed that Keith Cheung would be the special community were seeking to recall Mr. Pirrello; is that 3 3 prosecutor or at least that would be the name vou correct? 4 4 would be submitting to the council? A I believe that's true. 5 A Yes. 5 Q And then Mr. Dan Bruntrager on behalf of 6 6 Q All right. And then sometime afterwards, Mr. Pirrello filed a lawsuit; is that right? 7 7 there was a conversation about who would be appointed 8 8 (Discussion off the record.) as the hearing officer, correct? 9 A With Pirrello, no, I --9 (Exhibit 3 was marked for 10 10 Q No, I'm not there yet. That's where I want identification.) to get to eventually here. But sometime after your BY MR. PLEBAN 11 11 12 conversation with Pirrello, there was some discussion 12 Q I've handed you, sir, what's been marked as 13 about who would be the hearing officer, correct? 13 Exhibit 3 for purposes of this record and ask you 14 A I don't recall frankly any discussion about 14 whether or not you can identify that. 15 that. I might have had discussion with Kevin about 15 A This is a petition that was filed by Matt 16 it. I don't remember. 16 Pirrello. This is the recall petition. 17 Q Kevin Bookout. That was going to be my next 17 Q And the style of that petition is Matthew 18 question. Did you talk to Pirrello about it? Did you 18 Pirrello versus Julia Dolans? 19 19 talk to any council members about who you would be A Several others, yes. 20 recommending to be the hearing officer? 20 Q That includes the City of Ellisville, 21 21 Missouri; is that right? 22 22 A Yes, it does. Q How did you select Keith Cheung to be the 23 23 special prosecutor? Q According to the document, that lawsuit was 24 A Well, he was a lawyer I knew and respected 24 filed on -- what's the date there? 25 and he's with the municipal law firm with a lot of 25 A August 28th, 2012. Page 51 Page 53 1 depth and experience, and I thought they would be a 1 Q When had -- when was Adam Paul sworn in as 2 good fit for the job. 2 the mayor, do you recall? 3 3 Q Did you consider any conflicts that Keith A I would guess April 18th, 2012. 4 Cheung may have had? 4 Q The election was the first Tuesday in April; 5 A I think Keith -- that was part of what Keith 5 is that correct? 6 was doing when he initially said, I have to talk to 6 A Correct. 7 7 the firm, we'll get back to you. Q And then the results have to be certified 8 8 Q Did you consider Keith's background when you and sent to the City and then the swearing in occurs 9 9 made that recommendation that he be appointed as a after that, correct? 10 special prosecutor? 10 A Correct. A To the extent that I know his abilities as a 11 11 Q So some four months after Adam Paul was 12 lawyer, yes. 12 sworn in, Pirrello files his lawsuit, correct? 13 13 Q Did Keith Cheung's law firm, Curtis, Heinz, A Yes. 14 Garrett & O'Keefe, did they have any involvement in 14 Q Do you recall when this recall petition was 15 the Pirrello recall litigation? 15 first circulated regarding Mr. Pirrello? 16 A Kevin O'Keefe, I believe, wrote a -- an 16 A You're not referring to Exhibit 3? 17 opinion on the question of the legality of the city 17 Q I'm not referring to Exhibit 3. I'm 18 charter. 18 referring to the petition to recall him signed by 19 19 Q And his opinion was what? whomever is supposed to sign it. 20 A I haven't looked at that in a long, long 20 A And when it was circulated, you're talking 21 time, but essentially I think he concluded that a 21 about being circulated among the people who he need 22 court would probably find that the charter violations 22 the signatures for? 23 violated the Missouri constitution. 23 Q Well, not him. I'm assuming somebody else

24

25

was moving to recall.

A Right. You're talking about circulation,

O And as I understand the recall issue, and

we'll get to that in greater detail later, but as I

24

25

Page 54 Page 56 1 other than the petition. 1 know, you can't recall people simply for voting on a 2 Q Of the petition to recall, not the lawsuit. 2 measure. You need to have cause, and at some point, 3 A Right. I am not -- I am not aware that the 3 maybe the same day, maybe a week later, I don't know 4 4 petition was ever circulated. what it was, Matt raised the same issue and I looked 5 5 Q Are you aware of the circumstances under into it. 6 6 which this lawsuit was then filed if a petition was Q Well, you say that Matt, you're referring to 7 7 never circulated? Matt Pirrello, raised the same issue that you can't 8 A Yes. 8 recall somebody without cause, is that what he said? 9 9 Q And what were those conditions? A I don't recall what he said. 10 A The petitioners had filed the application or 10 O Or words to that effect? whatever document they call it in the charter A That was -- that's my recollection, there 11 11 12 initiating the recall process, I think it's called a 12 was a discussion. 13 petitioner's affidavit, and the city clerk had 13 Q Was this a private conversation that he was 14 responded to that by providing the petitioners with 14 having with you? 15 blank forms, circulation forms. And at that point, I 15 A I don't recall. 16 do not know whether the petitioners actually began Q If it was a public conversation, it would be 16 17 circulation. I just don't have any idea. 17 included in minutes of the meeting, wouldn't it? 18 Q Do you remember when that process began with 18 A Oh, it was not a conversation that occurred 19 the petition being filed or the application being 19 during the meeting. It was not during a public 20 filed? 20 meeting. 21 21 A No, I do not. Q Do you recall whether it was conversation 22 22 Q I'm assuming it was sometime before the suit that occurred during an executive session or closed 23 23 was filed on August the 28th? meeting? 24 A I would assume that, too. 24 A I don't recall. 25 Q Do you know whether or not it was before or 25 You don't recall whether or not it was a Page 55 Page 57 1 after the time that Adam Paul was sworn in as mayor? 1 private conversation that he had with you? 2 A Oh, it was after. The Exhibit B to the 2 A I really don't. I just don't recall. 3 petition appears to be the committee affidavit, 3 Q And on that basis, together -- that basis 4 petitioner's committee affidavit that, if I recall 4 being the conversation that you had with Pirrello or 5 correctly, starts the process off, and that's dated 5 comment that Pirrello made, as well as the comment 6 August 16, 2012. 6 from the citizen, you decided to do some legal 7 7 Q So some 12 days later, Pirrello files his research? 8 8 lawsuit, correct? A I think that's a fair statement. 9 A Apparently. 9 Q And would this be legal research that you 10 Q Were you consulted on that lawsuit prior to 10 did for which you would billed the City separately 11 the time that Mr. Pirrello filed it? 11 beyond your retainer agreement? A I advised the City on what I thought was the 12 12 A This was -- if I recall correctly, this was 13 legality of the recall petition before Pirrello filed 13 research that I billed to the TIF file. 14 the lawsuit. 14 Q Why? 15 Q When did you advise him on the legality of 15 A Because it was directly related to the TIF, 16 the petition? 16 and I didn't think that the City should have to pay 17 A I do not know when that was. 17 for the work. 18 Q Did you initiate that or did somebody ask 18 Q What do you mean it was related to the TIF? 19 A It wouldn't have happened but for the TIF. you to provide that opinion? 19 20 A I don't recall anybody asking for the 20 Q How did you come to that conclusion? 21 21 A It was an assumption I made. opinion. 22 Q What would have prompted you to do the 22 Q Based upon what? 23 research if nobody asked you? 23 MR. CHASSAING: I'm going to make a record 24 A Best of my recollection, someone in the 24 objection here that I think you are straying pretty 25 25 audience during public comment basically said, you far afield from the matters and the subject of the

resolution from removal, and I have tried to abide your questioning up to this point. I'm not going to instruct anybody not to answer, but I really think you're -- you're outside of left field and out on the parking lot and the ballpark on this, but you're the one that has expressed a lot of concern about taking many depositions, and I don't think we'll get done before the hearing starts.

MR. PLEBAN: For the record, it doesn't surprise me that you think I'm way out in the parking lot on the issue of Sansone paying for legal research on a recall petition. That doesn't surprise me at all. But it also --

MR. CHASSAING: Who's on trial, Chet? Who's being removed, is it the mayor or Mr. Martin?

MR. PLEBAN: Let me explain that to you, Pat, so that you clearly understand.

MR. CHASSAING: Don't patronize by explaining to me.

MR. PLEBAN: You asked me the question. I'm going to answer you.

MR. CHASSAING: It's rhetorical.

MR. PLEBAN: It's not rhetorical as far as I'm concerned.

MR. CHASSAING: The petition is to remove

Other than that, I don't think the necessity applies here, frankly, for a whole bunch of reasons contrary to the opinion of Mr. Martin and others, but in any event --

Page 60

Page 61

MR. CHASSAING: Rule of necessity. MR. PLEBAN: You know what that is, right?

MR. CHASSAING: Yeah, but I don't know that you touched on the rule of necessity whatsoever in questioning Mr. Martin, number one. And number two, do you want to talk about the passage of time between when you've asked for things and when they're occurring? I think we should also let the record reflect that we've attempted to do that on repeated occasions and have run into situations. You know, I don't question the situations, per se, but Ms. Petruska, for instance, told us she couldn't respond to us because you would have to make the decision and you were about to get on a plane to go out of town, and we wouldn't hear back from you until the following week, Monday or whatever, when you got back in town. So to imply in any way that passage of time is the responsibility of the City is inappropriate and not the whole story.

So I sent innumerable emails trying to get things scheduled, sometimes established and, you know,

Page 59

Page 58

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

your client.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

MR. PLEBAN: Let me answer your question, rhetorical or otherwise. There are a variety of issues in this case that impact the motivation of this council and the motivation of this witness to be involved in this, not the least of which is his financial interest in the outcome of this.

So to the extent as well as -- as well as issues that relate to TIF and to Wal-Mart and all of those that surround this particular mayor and have surrounded him from day one, I think certainly my questions are fair, and I think my questions are reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

Now, in terms of whether or not we can complete or not complete these depositions, I don't know, but I know this. I know that two weeks ago, we had been asking for times to take depositions and subpoenas. I know that now is the first time we've had the opportunity to do that and it is what it is. I don't think -- as I said on when we had the conversation with John Maupin, I don't think there's any absolute necessity that this case be tried on March 27th, other than for what I believe are inappropriate and unlawful reasons by your client.

don't try and lay it on the City, okay?

MR. PLEBAN: Here's what we'll do. I'll bring those emails. I'll make them part of the record so there's no misunderstanding. The conversations you had with Lynette Petruska occurred on Friday. Friday. We had been looking for this for a two-week period. I'm not going to argue about it. Because it's documented. I'll make it a part of the record, and you can argue about whatever you want to argue about them.

MR. CHASSAING: If you want to make speeches, I'll respond to them.

MR. PLEBAN: Are you opposed to moving the case off the 27th of March?

MR. CHASSAING: I don't think -- the charter doesn't permit it.

MR. PLEBAN: I think it does.

MR. CHASSAING: Why are we taking that up now because we're going to be taking a deposition?

MR. PLEBAN: You raised it.

MR. CHASSAING: On the petition for removal. THE WITNESS: Why don't we make this simple.

MR. CHASSAING: Hold on. I'm going to raise issues that need to be raised, and when you start jumping over several tracks or going out in the

Page 62 Page 64 1 ballpark parking lot, aren't you here to discover the 1 the assumption that the recall was based upon issues 2 facts relating to the resolution for removal. 2 pertaining to the TIF? 3 MR. PLEBAN: That's certainly what I'm 3 A Just public comments that were made 4 trying to do, and you raised the whole question about 4 throughout the course of the consideration of the TIF 5 the deposition process and about the timing of the 5 project and after. 6 6 deposition process, and I'm just following up on it. Q Did somebody say that they were recalling 7 That's all I'm saying. 7 people because of the TIF? 8 MR. CHASSAING: We have a short difference 8 A Because of votes that were cast in support 9 of opinion. 9 of the project, yes. 10 MR. PLEBAN: So I'm going to do the best I 10 Q Now, let me back up and understand back in can. Believe it or not, we do have one or two cases 11 11 2012, you were paid monies for your legal service by in our office and clients we have to be concerned 12 12 the City of Ellisville, correct? 13 about. 13 A Yes. 14 MR. CHASSAING: Do you really? I'm glad 14 O And break that down for me. You had a you're not the only one. 15 15 retainer agreement with them? 16 MR. PLEBAN: You might find that hard to 16 17 believe. 17 Q And how much was that per month? 18 MR. CHASSAING: I'm glad you're not the only A I believe it's 2,725. 18 19 one. 19 Q And what does that include? 20 BY MR. PLEBAN 20 A Contacts with clients, meeting attendance. 21 Q Now, back that up and see what the last 21 22 question was that I asked. 22 Q Council meetings in addition to what else? 23 (The reporter read the requested 23 A Planning and zoning meetings. 24 material.) 24 Q Anything other --25 25 Board of Adjustment meetings. Page 63 Page 65 1 BY MR. PLEBAN 1 Q Those aren't regular meetings, though, 2 Q You can answer the question, please. 2 right? 3 A What was the question again? It was an 3 A Board of Adjustment, no. 4 assumption based on what? 4 O P and Z are regular meetings? 5 Q You indicated that it was an assumption that 5 A Yes 6 you made that the recall was based upon the TIF and 6 Q Twice a month? 7 that's why you billed it to the TIF, and I'm asking 7 A Once a month. 8 you what basis you had to make that assumption. 8 O What else? 9 A Because I wasn't aware of any reason why 9 A Oh, it also includes prosecution. 10 anybody would want to recall the council members, but 10 So the retainer fee is inclusive of your for they're both in support of the project. 11 11 duties of city attorney and prosecutor? 12 O Well, was the recall petition directed to 12 A Yes. 13 all the council members who voted in favor of the TIF 13 O You wear both hats? 14 or just Mr. Pirrello? 14 A Uh-huh. A Initially, I think it the discussion and the 15 15 O Yes? talk -- petition itself. I'm sorry, did you limit 16 16 A Yes. that question to the petition itself? 17 17 Q How long have you worn both hats? 18 Q The petition, not the lawsuit, the petition 18 A I don't know. 19 for removal, was it directed just to Mr. Pirrello or 19 Beyond the retainer, what are you paid? 20 directed to all the council members who voted in favor 20 \$175 an hour for work on beyond the Α 21 of the TIF? 2.1 retainer. 22 A It was directed to two other council members 22 O For what? 23 in addition to Mr. Pirrello, to the best of my 23 Work beyond the retainer. I think my 24 recollection. 24 associate bills out at 150 and for projects where a 25 25 third party is paying, reimbursing the city, I believe Q And do you have any other reason for making

Page 66 Page 68 1 the contract says market rate, but I don't know that, 1 A Right. 2 I don't remember. 2 Q Did you -- did you do the legal research 3 3 before Kevin O'Keefe did his legal research on the Q Market freight? 4 4 A Rate. issue? 5 Q Work beyond the retainer would include what? 5 A Yes. A Defending the city in litigation, preparing 6 6 Q Why did Kevin O'Keefe then have to do legal 7 legislation, preparing legal opinions. 7 research if you had already done it? 8 8 A The mayor requested a second opinion and I Q On the legal opinions, how does that work? 9 9 thought that was a fine idea. Do you have to ask the council for their approval for 10 10 you to conduct legal research and render legal Q Did you share your legal opinion with 11 opinion, or can you do that by self-initiating without 11 Mr. Pirrello? 12 their approval? 12 A I shared it with everyone. 13 A I think that I can do it by self-initiation. 13 Q So am I to assume that you shared it then 14 Q Are there times when you request their 14 with Mr. Pirrello? 15 approval before you do any legal research or render 15 A Yes. 16 any legal opinion? 16 Q Why did you share it with Pirrello? A Probably. 17 17 A He was a member of the council. 18 Q What determines whether you do or don't 18 Q He was also suing the council, wasn't he? 19 19 I shared it with him before the suit was request their approval? Α 20 A I don't know. 20 filed 21 21 Q Who could I ask or would know? Q So you know that you did this legal research 22 A I'd say it depends on the circumstances. I 22 before the suit was filed? 23 don't know what the circumstances might be. If I'm 23 A I know I looked at it before the suit was 24 confident that the council would want the work done, I 24 filed. I don't know exactly what the timing was. 25 do the work. If I'm not confident, then I ask. 25 Q I'm asking you, sir, when you shared your Page 67 Page 69 1 1 Q The legal research that you did in opinion with Pirrello, was that before or after the 2 connection with the recall issues pertaining to 2 suit was filed? If you don't know, you don't 3 3 Pirrello, did you ask the council whether or not they 4 4 wanted you to do that work? A To the best of my recollection, I issued an 5 A I think it was recall pertaining to all the 5 opinion before the suit was filed. 6 council members and, no, I did not. At least I don't 6 Q You may not know the answer to this. You 7 7 recall it being done. issued a legal opinion saying that the recall section 8 8 Q Why not? Why didn't you ask them? of the city's charter was unconstitutional. Do you A Because I thought it was an issue essential 9 9 have any idea why Pirrello filed his lawsuit then? 10 to the city and the city's interest, and I assumed 10 A Because I suppose that these people were 11 they would want me to do the work. 11 pursuing recall regardless of the illegality of the 12 12 Q You were doing legal research on removal charter. 13 relating to all council members? 13 Q Do you recognize any portion of your legal 14 A Recall in general. I shouldn't say in the 14 opinion set forth in Exhibit 3? 15 context of the Ellisville city charter. 15 A Well, it's the theory. 16 Q And after you concluded your legal research, 16 Q Do you recognize that as your theory? 17 you shared that opinion with the council? 17 A This is consistent with what I concluded. 18 18 Q Did you have occasion to tell Dan Bruntrager A Yes. Q Was that before or after Mr. Pirrello filed 19 19 that you had rendered a legal opinion that indicated 20 20 that that section of the charter regarding recall this suit that you shared that opinion with the

21

22

23

24

25

petitions was unconstitutional?

O How was it resolved?

A I do not know.

A Did I tell Dan? I don't believe so.

Q How long was that lawsuit pending?

council?

A I do not recall.

unconstitutional?

Q When -- I'm assuming that your opinion was

that it was -- that section of the charter was

21 22

23

24

25

Page 70 Page 72 1 A It went to court, there was a hearing on the 1 that is connected to the recall lawsuit or any recall 2 2 motion for preliminary relief, and the lawyer for the issue. Let me expand it. 3 3 petitioners, to the best of my recollection, basically A (Witness drawing on exhibit.) Okay. said that petitioners didn't want to be involved in 4 4 Q You've indicated in red those areas where 5 the lawsuit and that led to a discussion about 5 the work associated with recall issues was performed, 6 6 disposing of the case. I don't recall exactly what correct? 7 the order that was issued was. 7 A Yes. And those are the initial recall 8 Q That the petitioners, you're referring to 8 petitions against -- I think it's identified on the 9 the defendants in this case? 9 bill that this is not the Pirrello issue. Anglin and 10 10 A Yes, the --11 Q That Julia Dolan, Vincent McGrath, Mary Ann 11 Q Was this the first research that you did, 12 Dust, Sandra McGrath, Patty Murphy? 12 and this is your May billing statement of 2012. Is 13 13 this the first research that you did in connection A Yes. 14 with recall issues? 14 Q Didn't want to be involved any longer? A They didn't want to be involved in the 1.5 15 A Yes. I think so. Q Now, according to Exhibit 2 here or Exhibit 16 beginning. 16 17 Q How do you know that? 17 3, rather, the actual Pirrello lawsuit, the petitions 18 A They said so. They resented the fact that 18 or the petitioner's affidavits are attached to this they were. They spoke up at a public meeting. 19 lawsuit as Exhibit -- looks like Group Exhibit B; is 19 20 Whether that occurred before or after the lawsuit was 20 that right? disposed of, I don't remember. 21 21 A It appears so. 22 22 Q When you discussed issues relating to that Q And it looks as though those are signed by 23 lawsuit with the council, was Mr. Pirrello present for 23 the individuals pursuing the recall in August, is that 24 those discussions? 24 the 16th or something, August 16th? 25 A Yes, probably. No, I think that he left 25 A Yes. One is August 16th and one is Page 71 Page 73 after the lawsuit was filed. 1 1 August 17th, I believe. Two are August 17th. 2 2 Q He left? Q So you were doing legal research three 3 A He was excused from the council meetings, 3 months before those petitions? A That's helpful. The initial research had to 4 from the closed sessions after the lawsuit was filed. 4 5 5 do with, if I recall correctly, the timing of a Q Did you consider having separate independent 6 counsel represent the city in connection with that 6 recalled election and the -- what the petitioners 7 7 needed to do -- an analysis of what the petitioners lawsuit? 8 could do regarding the recall of Anglin and Pieper. 8 A At the time, no. 9 9 O Why not? Q This is what now? I'm not following. 10 A I don't know. I didn't consider it. 10 MR. CHASSAING: Read the answer back, 11 Q And then, ultimately, Sansone paid the cost 11 please. See what we got. 12 12 of that litigation; is that correct? (The reporter read the requested A I assume so. I don't know. 13 material.) 13 14 (Exhibit 4 was marked for 14 BY MR. PLEBAN 15 identification.) 15 O What does all that mean? 16 BY MR. PLEBAN 16 A There's two different recall efforts. The 17 17 first one was Anglin and Pieper. There was a lot of Q Let me hand you what's been marked as 18 Exhibit 4. Ask you if you recognize that, sir. 18 work about analyzing the timing and process for recall 19 A Looks like my bills from May 25th, 2012. 19 to determine whether Anglin and Pieper could be --20 Reflects research billed to the City, generally. 20 could stand for an election before their terms came to 21 O On the recall suit? 21 a close A I don't know about the recall suit, on the 22 22 Q So, in other words, your question that you 23 recall memo. 23 looked into was whether or not their terms would

expire before there could be a recall?

A Yes. I think that's a reasonable way to put

24

25

24

25

Q I'm going to give you a red pen. Can you

mark off on those bills any -- any charge that's made

Page 76 Page 74 1 1 the city's general file. What I was thinking at the it. 2 2 Q And what was your conclusion in that regard? time, I don't know. 3 3 A My conclusion was that under -- the best of Q Why though -- why then would you say that 4 4 my recollection, if we're talking about the same the Pirrello research that you did had to be billed to 5 thing, my conclusion was that the Missouri election 5 TIF, but not Anglin and Pieper? 6 6 laws and the City charter could not converge to permit A I don't have a good answer for that. I 7 a recall election of those two individuals on a timely 7 don't know. I just came to the realization that those 8 8 costs were all attributable to the TIF and that the basis. 9 9 Q So, in other words, your conclusion was you City shouldn't be paying for those costs as a result. 10 10 couldn't get the recall done before they left office? Q When did you come to that realization? A Apparently when I was doing the Pirrello 11 A There were time limitations in the charter 11 12 and statutory limitations on when elections could be 12 research. 13 13 Q Did you go back and have Sansone pay for the held. 14 **Anglin and Pieper research?** 14 Q Anglin is -- she's reached her -- she cannot 15 15 run again, she's reached her term limit, correct? A No. no. 16 A I believe that's true. 16 Q Why not? 17 Q But Pieper's running again, correct? 17 A Didn't think to. 18 18 (A short recess was taken.) 19 19 BY MR. PLEBAN Q He's standing for reelection in April, 20 right? 20 Q Let me show you, again, Mr. Martin, the 21 A Yes. 21 billing statement marked as Exhibit 4, and I'll ask 22 O Why couldn't he be recalled? 22 you, you can divide up your bills by category, 23 A The charter says something about you can't 23 correct? be recalled within six months of the end of your term 24 24 A Yes. 25 I think it is, and based on when the petitioners 25 So I'm going to show you just a second, but Page 75 Page 77 1 1 started talking about recalling Anglin and Pieper, I a retainer services, general and prosecution and TIF, 2 looked at what that prohibition would have an effect 2 right? 3 on the ability to have a recall election, I think, and 3 A Yes. 4 4 came to the conclusion that there wasn't a possible Mr. Pleban, before you go on, I do not see 5 election date by which the Anglin and Pieper could be 5 how my motivations are remotely relevant to the 6 removed before the expiration of that six months or 6 question of whether your client violated the charter, 7 7 before the beginning of that six-month period. and I'm not going to answer any more questions about 8 8 Q And that legal research that you performed, my bills or anything other than what's at issue. 9 did you do that with the council's consent? 9 Q So you're going to refuse to answer any 10 10 A I don't recall. question that I have as it relates to any billing 11 Q Did you share that legal research, Anglin 11 statements that you have, any duties that you have as 12 and Pieper, after you did it? 12 the city attorney and the like; is that right? A I'm sure I shared it with the entire 13 13 MR. CHASSAING: That's not what he said, I 14 council, which would include Anglin and Pieper. 14 don't think. 15 Q Was that your work that you did on that, was 15 THE WITNESS: I think it was pretty clear. 16 that billed to TIF? 16 BY MR. PLEBAN 17 17 A No, it was not. Q No, I don't think you were, actually. Okay. 18 Q Why wasn't that billed to TIF? 18 We'll see how far we get. A Honestly, I don't know. 19 19 I'm going to hand you Exhibit 4 and ask you 20 20 whether or not your billing statements are divided Q Well, did you recommend that it be billed to TIF? 21 into categories? 21 22 22 A I'm not going to talk about my billing A No, that was completely my decision. 23 Q It was your decision, whether or not it 23 statements. 24 should be billed to TIF? 24 Q And I'm going to ask you whether or not on 25 A Well, I record the time, I recorded it in 25 the billing statement No. 4 here, issues discussed

Page 78 Page 80 1 before, whether you have the recall issues under the 1 Q And did he offer you any advice? 2 category of billing to the general account of the City 2 3 3 of Ellisville? O Did he offer you any advice? 4 4 A Question is irrelevant and it has no 5 reasonable relation to the discovery of any admissible 5 (Exhibit 5 was marked for 6 6 evidence. identification.) 7 7 Q Are you represented by a lawyer here, BY MR. PLEBAN 8 8 Mr. Martin? Q I hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 5. 9 9 A No, sir, I am one. Ask you to identify that. Q Do you want a lawyer? 10 10 A No. A No. I'm making an objection, and I'm not 11 11 O No what? going to respond to these questions. 12 12 A No, I'm not going to identify it. 13 Q You're not going to make objections, you're 13 (Exhibit 6 was marked for to answer the questions, okay? 14 identification.) 14 A No, sir. 15 15 BY MR. PLEBAN Q Hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 6. 16 Q You're not going to answer the question, but 16 17 17 you're not going to make objections. Ask you if you can identify that. A I can make an objection. I represent A I'm not going to identify it. 18 18 19 19 MR. CHASSAING: Can we interrupt for a myself. 20 Q You're here pro se; is that right? 20 minute, the marking of exhibits? A What difference does it make? 21 21 MR. PLEBAN: Is this on the record? 22 Q Are you here pro se? 22 MR. CHASSAING: It's on the record. 23 A I'm not answering any more questions 23 MR. PLEBAN: She didn't know that. 24 MR. CHASSAING: I'm just asking, directing 24 relating to this. Q Are you here pro se? You're representing 25 my comments to you. 25 Page 79 Page 81 1 1 MR. PLEBAN: Did you say it was on the yourself, is that what you're telling me? 2 2 A (Witness shrugs shoulders.) record? 3 3 Q What does that mean? MR. CHASSAING: On the record, that's fine. 4 A It means I'm not answering any more 4 I just asked if you mind if I interrupted you so I can 5 questions along this line. 5 make a statement that he's indicated pretty clearly he 6 6 Q Did Sansone pay your bills? does not intend to answer more questions about his 7 A No. 7 billing records and he's even given us reasons. 8 8 Q Did Sansone pay the City's bills with Rather than identify them month by month, 9 9 respect to recall issues? why don't you just expedite the process a little bit 10 A I'm not going to answer. 10 and mark them all as a single exhibit and ask him if Q Independently of the amount that you billed 11 he's going to answer any more questions about it. 11 the City, have you received any money from Sansone? 12 Then we can go on to other topics. 12 13 A No. 13 MR. PLEBAN: Thanks. Go ahead and mark 14 Q You're going to answer that one, I guess. 14 that 15 (Exhibit 7 was marked for 15 A Sure. identification.) 16 Q Did you confer with anybody during the 16 17 break, Mr. Martin? 17 BY MR. PLEBAN 18 A I told Mr. Chassaing what I was going to do. 18 Q Hand you what's been mark as Exhibit 7. Ask 19 19 Q Did you have a conversation with him? if you we can recognize that. 20 A I just said I told him what I was going to 20 MR. CHASSAING: Mr. Pleban, hang on a minute. Is it your plan to mark each month's 21 21 do. 22 22 Q Did you have a conversation beyond telling statement with separate exhibit labels and then ask 23 him what you were going to do? 23 him the question? A To an extent about how it might affect the 24 24 MR. PLEBAN: I'm going to take the 25 25 proceeding, yes. deposition the way I want to do it.

Page 82 Page 84 1 MR. CHASSAING: I just asked. 1 (Exhibit 10 was marked for 2 2 MR. PLEBAN: I'm going to do it the way I identification.) 3 BY MR. PLEBAN 3 want to do it. 4 4 MR. CHASSAING: You do it the way you want Q Show you Exhibit 10. 5 to do it, but I'm suggesting there's a more expedient 5 MR. CHASSAING: Try not to throw the 6 way to do it so you can ask questions and get answers. 6 exhibits, okay? 7 MR. PLEBAN: You made lots of suggestions 7 MR. PLEBAN: What? 8 8 MR. CHASSAING: Don't toss the exhibits. here today. I appreciate that. 9 MR. CHASSAING: Apparently not. 9 MR. PLEBAN: Please, spare me, will you? MR. PLEBAN: I didn't say I adopted it. 10 Spare me, spare me, spare me. 10 MR. CHASSAING: Just asking you to be (Exhibit 8 was marked for 11 11 12 identification.) 12 polite. That's all. 13 BY MR. PLEBAN 13 BY MR. PLEBAN 14 14 Q Exhibit 10, are you going to refuse to Q I hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 8. 15 answer that question as well? 15 I ask if you recognize that. A Exhibit 8 has no relation to whether your 16 16 A Same answer. 17 17 client has violated the Ellisville city charter and You indicated that you recommended that John not going to lead to the discovery to any information 18 Maupin be appointed as a hearing officer in this case, 18 19 in that process so I'm not going to answer the 19 correct? 20 question. 20 A Correct. 21 21 Q Are you determining what's relevant to the Q And when did you do that? 22 issue of Mayor Paul's impeachment? 22 A When I submitted the draft resolution to the 23 23 A I suppose in this case, I am. clerk for consideration by the council. MR. CHASSAING: May I voir dire the witness? 24 O When was that? 24 25 MR. PLEBAN: No. 25 A I do not know. Page 83 Page 85 1 BY MR. PLEBAN 1 Q Do you have a month? 2 Q Just as you determine how to bring these 2 February sometime. I don't know when I got A 3 3 allegations against Mayor Paul through Katie James? to it. 4 A I don't understand that question. 4 Q And did you -- how did you provide the name 5 Q You made the determination as to how you 5 to the clerk? 6 6 were going to orchestrate this -- let me finish, how A It was included in the resolution that I 7 you were going to orchestrate these allegations that 7 provided to the clerk. 8 you prepared against Mayor Paul, didn't you? 8 O And how did you come to select John Maupin? 9 9 A You have my testimony. You can draw your MR. CHASSAING: I think this has been asked 10 own conclusions. 10 and answered, but -- it hasn't? Go ahead and answer. 11 THE WITNESS: Because of John's previous 11 Q What did you say? You didn't orchestrate it? 12 relationship with the City and because of his 12 13 13 reputation as a lawyer. I thought he would be a good A I'm not going to answer that question. 14 Q You're not going to answer that one either? 14 gentleman, good fit. 15 BY MR. PLEBAN 15 16 MR. PLEBAN: Can't wait for the public 16 Q You mean his previous dealings with the City hearing. Mark that as a group. I can't wait for the 17 17 back in 2004? 18 public hearing. 18 A Yeah. 19 (Exhibit 9 was marked for 19 Q And his reputation as a lawyer, is that what 20 identification.) 20 vou said? 21 BY MR. PLEBAN 21 A Yes. 22 Q Exhibit 9. Group Exhibit 9. 22 Q What do you know about his reputation as a 23 A Same response. 23 lawyer? 24 Q Not going to answer? 24 A Just that it's a sterling one. 25 A Not going to identify the billings. 25 Q How do you know that?

Page 86 Page 88 1 A Talking to other people. 1 Q Slash J. Maupin and J.Mulligan, M-U-L-L-I-G-A-N, Re: IDA litigation, semi-colon, 2 O Who? 2 A I don't know, I talked to Howard Papner. 3 3 research and EM/K. What's EM/K? 4 4 Q Did you recommend anyone else other than A Email, maybe Kevin Bookout. 5 John Maupin? 5 Q I'm sorry. EM/K, period, Bookout and M 6 6 A No. Pirrello, Re: Same. What does that mean? 7 7 A That I emailed Matt and Kevin about whatever Q Do you know if the council considered 8 8 anybody else other than who you recommend? the subject matter was. I have a better recollection 9 9 of the IDA litigation if you'd like an answer to that. 10 10 Q Both prosecutorially and for hearing Q You said you have a better recollection of 11 the IDA litigation? 11 officer? A Yes. 12 A Right. Everything people recommended, that 12 13 was the only people that the council considered. 13 O What's that? 14 Q I'm going to show you Exhibit 7 and ask you 14 A Litigation really isn't a good description. 15 if John Maupin is referenced on that bill. That's 15 The City had an industrial development authority. It discovered that the director of the IDA had embezzled 16 your February 2012 bill, isn't it? 16 17 17 A It's dated March 5, 2012. funds and the question presented was what to do about 18 Q For services in February 2012, right? 18 it, and I talked to those lawyers back in February of 19 A Yes, yes. 19 2012 about that particular situation and whether they 20 Q What's that about? 20 would be interested in pursuing an action against the 21 21 A I don't know. I don't remember. estate. The gentleman subsequently committed suicide. 22 22 Q So you at least had contact with John Maupin Q What did John Maupin have to do with all 23 since February of 2012, right? 23 that? A I have had contact with John Maupin. 24 A I called him up and asked him if he would be 24 25 interested in representing the City for that purpose. 25 Q At least since February of 2012, correct? Page 87 Page 89 1 1 A In February of 2012, yes. O Was he? 2 Q Can I see that please? And according to 2 A No, the City never really took any action on 3 3 your bill, you had contact with him on February 16th, it. 4 2012; is that right? 4 Q Well, did he indicate that he would be 5 A You're looking at the bill. I assume that's 5 willing to represent the City? 6 6 correct. A I don't really remember. I think so. 7 7 Q Did you have the approval of the city Q Take a look. 8 8 council when you did that? A Yes. 9 9 Q What does -- what do the initials capital E A I don't have a clear recollection of whether 10 capital M small S, what does that mean? 10 I had the approval of the city council, the express A Emails. 11 11 approval of the city council. 12 12 Q Between yourself and John Maupin? Q Did you talk to anybody who was on city 13 A And John Maupin and John Mulligan regarding 13 council at the time? 14 IDA litigation. 14 A I don't have the faintest idea. I talked to Q What's IDA litigation? 15 15 Kevin O'Keefe -- Kevin Bookout and Matt, obviously, 16 A IDA is a general acronym standing for 16 and I believe that that was -- I'm almost positive it industrial authority. I cannot think of what -- I 17 17 was discussed in closed session, but I can't tell you 18 think that's what it was. 18 that I have a clear recollection of that. 19 19 Q I don't know what your answer just was. Was Q According to that, an article that appeared 20 it --20 in the St. Louis Post-Dispatch yesterday, the City of 21 21 Ellisville paid you more than \$3,702,000 for work that A It means I don't recall clearly. 22 Q That's your answer. It says -- the whole 22 you performed over the past five years; is that 23 line reads 02/16/2012 EMs and TCs. I assume those are 23 accurate? 24 telephone conferences? 24 A I don't have any idea. 25 25 A Conferences. Q According to an article in the St. Louis

Page 90 Page 92 1 Post-Dispatch, in 2012, you received nearly 115,000 1 A I think that's an accurate quote. 2 2 from the City of Ellisville; is that accurate? Q And what notes were you keeping on Mayor 3 3 A I don't have any idea. Paul? 4 4 A Instances of charter violations. Q So you're not disputing that figure, you're 5 saying you don't know. You haven't added those 5 O And where are those notes now? 6 A Well, notes would be a -- not an accurate --6 numbers; is that correct? 7 7 that is what I said. I didn't actually take notes. I A That's correct. 8 8 Q And for the year 2012, did some 50,000 of had a file. 9 9 Q So what's in the file? those fees come from Sansone? 10 A Mr. Pleban, we're back on the same track A Electronic file, a list of the things that 10 11 the mayor did. 11 again. 12 Q Electronic -- a what? 12 Q Yes, we are. 13 A I'm refusing to answer questions again. 13 A An electronic file. 14 O Of --Q Let me ask you this, Mr. Martin. You 14 A Basically what ended up in the resolution. drafted these -- the resolution No. 02-27-13-80, 15 1.5 16 Q And these are things on your computer; is 16 correct? 17 that correct? 17 A Yes. 18 A Yes. 18 Q And the item 1B is that Mayor Paul attempted 19 Q And in the form of what, notes on your 19 to affect your replacement as the city attorney; is 20 computer? 20 that correct? 21 A Basically, a list, chronological list. 21 A That is correct. 22 Q Of your notes; is that what's on there? I'm 22 O You drafted that, right? 23 trying to understand what's on there. A Yes, I did. 23 24 A Of entries that I transcribed. I don't know Q And that would be the same position that 24 25 what characterization of notes. 25 you're holding as city attorney that paid you some Page 91 Page 93 1 1 \$115,000 last year; is that correct? Q This is -- I was --2 2 A Whatever the number is, yes, that's correct. MR. CHASSAING: Excuse me. Was your answer 3 3 Q Is that the same city attorney position that finished? 4 paid you some \$3,702,000 for the preceding five years? 4 BY MR. PLEBAN 5 Q I'm trying to find out --5 Whatever that number is. 6 6 A If that number's accurate, that's correct. MR. CHASSAING: Were you finished? 7 7 On April 18th, 2012, did you make a BY MR. PLEBAN 8 presentation to the council touting the merits of the 8 Q -- what that meant when you said: I was 9 9 Wal-Mart project? taking notes. 10 A I wouldn't say touting the merits of the 10 A Essentially. 11 11 Wal-Mart project. MR. CHASSAING: Oh, okay. 12 12 Q What would you say? THE WITNESS: Making a list of the actions 13 A I would say concerning the Wal-Mart project. 13 of the mayor that I felt violated the charter or posed 14 Q What did you say about the Wal-Mart project? 14 some kind of misfeasance in office. 15 A There -- I would have to take a look at the 15 BY MR. PLEBAN 16 document again and, basically, correction of 16 O So these were your impressions that you 17 misinterpretations or misrepresenting that have been 17 would go and make an entry into the computer? 18 made. 18 A What do you mean by "impressions"? 19 19 Q I'm just trying to understand, Mr. Martin, Q Misinterpretation who made? 20 A The public. 20 what's on your computer? 21 Q According to the St. Louis Post-Dispatch 21 A I'm trying to understand what you mean by 22 article from yesterday, which would have been the 19th 22 "impressions." 23 of March, 2013, you were quoted as saying that you 23 Q That's fair. If you saw an issue relating 24 were taking notes on Mayor Paul. Did you tell the 24 to the mayor, what would you do with that? Tell me 25 25 the steps you would take. Post that?

Page 94 Page 96 1 A I would add it to my list on the computer. 1 MR. PLEBAN: Of course not. Of course not. 2 Q So you'd go into your computer and you'd 2 Why would it be? 3 3 type out your -- what I refer to as notes or your MR. CHASSAING: Can we go off the record a 4 4 impressions of what happened by event, by day, that minute? 5 sort of thing? 5 (Discussion off the record.) A Yes. 6 6 (Exhibit 11 was marked for 7 7 identification.) O And where are those notes or where are those 8 8 documents or where are those impressions now? BY MR. PLEBAN 9 9 A Well, on my computer for the most part. Q I'm handing you Exhibit 11. I want you to 10 10 Q And have you shared those documents or those take however long it takes you to look through those documents and tell me are those all of -- well, before 11 notes with anyone else? 11 12 A No. 12 you do that. Hold on. Let me mark that. 13 13 Q And you use those notes? (Exhibit 12 was marked for 14 A Excuse me. They're different documents. I 14 identification.) shared a document with Matt Pirrello in, I think it 15 15 BY MR. PLEBAN 16 was December last year, which were essentially a 16 Q I hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 12. Have you ever seen that before? 17 compilation of what I had accumulated. 17 Q So in answer to my question, are you telling 18 18 A Yes. 19 me that you shared what I am referring to as notes on 19 O When did you see that? 20 your computer with Matt Pirrello? 20 A I don't recall. 21 A That's probably a reasonable way to say it. 21 Q You don't recall how long ago you saw that? 22 Q Well, how would you say it? 22 23 A I thought I just said it. 23 (Exhibit 13 was marked for 24 Q I didn't understand what you said. That's identification.) 24 25 why I'm asking you. You either showed it to him or 25 Page 95 Page 97 1 1 BY MR. PLEBAN you didn't. 2 2 A I had a document that I would add from time Q Let me show you what's marked as Exhibit 13. 3 3 to time when I felt that the mayor did something that Do you see that? 4 4 was inappropriate. A No. I have not seen that. 5 5 Q In your opinion? Q Okay. Now, did you have a hand in gathering 6 6 A That I felt was inappropriate. I guess the information that was requested in Exhibit 12? 7 that's necessarily my opinion. 7 A No. 8 8 Q I don't know that it is. That's why I'm Q So nobody came to you and asked you for 9 9 asking the question. You could have been recording emails? 10 something somebody else said. I don't know what were 10 A I think -- well, the answer to that's no. 11 11 in these notes. That's why I was asking the question, Q So that I'm clear, how did you see Exhibit 12 12 okay? 12 then? Under what circumstances if nobody came to 13 13 A That was the base document and I shared you to ask you for your emails? 14 something with Matt that included all of that 14 A I think I might have received it from your 15 15 office, or I might have received it from Mr. Cheung. 16 Q Did you print it out for him or did you 16 I don't recall. I think it was your office. 17 17 bring your computer to him or how did this happen? Q And so did you provide any emails to Mr. Cheung, Mr. Chassaing, Mr. Bookout, whoever, in 18 A I think I emailed it to him. 18 19 MR. PLEBAN: Do we have that email? 19 connection with Exhibit 12? 20 MR. CHASSAING: I don't know. 20 A No. 21 MR. PLEBAN: I don't. At least -- well, 21 O You did not? 22 22 let's do this. A No. 23 23 MR. CHASSAING: What was the date? Q So Exhibit -- I want you to look through 24 MR. PLEBAN: Let's do this. Mark those. 24 Exhibit 11. Are you telling me that's the first time 25 25 THE WITNESS: It's not in those. that you've seen those documents in Exhibit 11?

Page 100 Page 98 1 A No, not at all. 1 Q Did you see that information? We've 2 2 Bates-stamped it 0001 -- Paul 00001 to Paul 00112. Q And so do you know whether or not all of the 3 3 documents that are requested in Exhibit 12 are You can have a copy of this if you want it. Those 112 4 4 contained in Exhibit 11? documents in that form, all right, in the form that it 5 5 was produced to us yesterday, when did you first see A Oh, I would say in light of the -- in light 6 6 of Mr. Maupin's ruling yesterday, I would say the that? 7 7 answer to that would be no. A I think Kate started compiling this 8 8 information last week. She was copying me on it if O Of course not. Well, we know what's not 9 9 included in there, the one that you showed Pirrello, she got it done. 10 10 which are all your notes that you were kind enough to Q And how do you know that? 11 A How do I know that she was copying me on it? 11 talk to the Post-Dispatch about, right? 12 A I tried to talk to the Post-Dispatch about 12 Q How do you know she was compiling it last 13 what was in the resolution. In essence, I found out 13 week? Did she tell you that? 14 about Mr. Maupin's ruling yesterday, which I haven't 14 A She started copying me on the information, 15 and I might have even told her to get started. I 15 seen until today. I have started compiling those 16 16 emails. don't recall. 17 17 Q When are we going to get those? Q Why wouldn't you get started then is my 18 18 A I'm about halfway. question? 19 19 Q How many are there? A Because I knew that Mr. Cheung had filed 20 A I don't have any idea. I didn't categories 20 objections to your subpoena duces tecum, and I wasn't them in a separate file so I have to scroll through 21 sure what was going to be necessary, if anything. 21 22 all of my emails that I have from -- the way I've been 22 Q Do you know what the city clerk did to 23 23 doing it from the time Adam was elected to date. determine how she went about the process of gathering 24 Q When did you start compiling those? 24 that information? 25 A Yesterday afternoon. 25 A I assumed she got that information from her Page 99 Page 101 1 1 Q Why did you start compiling them yesterday own files. 2 afternoon when you knew about Exhibit 12 before that 2 MR. CHASSAING: Do you know or are you 3 3 time? A Because there was a ruling indicating that I 4 4 THE WITNESS: I do not know. 5 should probably do that. 5 BY MR. PLEBAN 6 Q How did you find out about that ruling? 6 Q Do you know whether she contacted individual 7 A I was told that all attorney/client 7 members of the city council? 8 privileged documents were going to be produced. 8 A I do not know. 9 9 Mr. Maupin called and told me that I guess immediately Q Did she ask you to provide her with 10 prior to his actual release of the order, but I have 10 information regarding your emails? 11 not seen the order. 11 A I don't think so. 12 Q Who called you? 12 O You don't know? A Mr. Maupin. 13 13 A I don't --14 Q And told you what? 14 Q You don't know whether or not she asked you 15 A Told me that this was going to be his order 15 that question? 16 on your objections. 16 A I don't recall her asking me that question. 17 Q When did --17 Q You said that you shared your -- what you 18 A I'm sorry, on your opinion. 18 called in the Post article, and I'm now calling your 19 19 Q And when did that happen? notes in your computer, you shared those with 20 A I think it was yesterday. 20 Pirrello? 21 Q You said you didn't see Exhibit 11 so no one 21 A A form of them, yes. 22 from the City shared that information with you? 22 Q A form of them -- what form of them? All of 23 A No, I didn't say that. I thought you asked 23 your notes, part of your notes?

A At the time, Matt and I, I can't remember

the exact discussion, but there was a discussion about

24

25

24

25

whether I had not seen it and I said, Absolutely, I've

seen that. I've seen that information.

Page 102 Page 104 1 potentially removing the mayor and he wanted to know 1 stop, start another question. But don't talk over 2 how that would be done, how that could be done, and 2 each other, please. THE WITNESS: I didn't start keeping these 3 what it would look like. So I prepared a document for 3 by which -- to demonstrate what it would look like and 4 notes with the very first event. I started keeping 4 5 I was assuming that he was going to be one who was 5 the notes sometime later after several events 6 6 filing the document. occurred. 7 Q Was this before or after the plan to have 7 BY MR. PLEBAN 8 8 Katie James file a complaint? Q All right. Do you recall what the first 9 9 A That was back in December. event was that you recorded? 10 A I really don't, but it was my guess it would 10 O So in December of 2012 is when discussions 11 be the Katie James incident. 11 that involved you first began with respect to the 12 12 impeachment of Adam Paul, is that what you're telling Q That was in May or April, rather? 13 us? 13 A It was in May. 14 Q Or April? 14 A I think those discussions began before that. 15 A No, it was on May 2nd. 15 Q Well, tell me when they first began. 16 A I can't tell you exactly. Q So this guy's in office in less than a month 16 17 and you're keeping notes on him? Q What's your best recollection of when they 17 18 A That's not what I said. 18 first began? 19 19 A Sometime after he was elected, obviously, O Is that what you're telling us? 20 A Not what I said. 20 and after he had -- I will say a couple of months 21 Q I thought you said the first event that you experience and the problems began. 21 22 recorded was Katie James in May, right? 22 O So he was elected in April. Seated in 23 A I did not say that. I said Katie James April. So we're talking now June? 23 2.4 incident was the first event. 24 A Could have been June, could have been July. 25 Q When did you record it? 25 I don't think it was May. Page 103 Page 105 1 1 Q Well, do your notes reflect this? When did A I don't know. 2 you start keeping notes? 2 Q I thought you told me you recorded these 3 A I don't know. 3 events close in time to when the event occurred? 4 4 O Other than notes --A No. I qualified that by saying, but I did 5 5 not start beginning -- beginning to record the events A Somewhere around. 6 Q -- notes reflect when you started keeping 6 until a couple of months, perhaps three months, 7 7 perhaps four months, into the mayor's term. And then them? 8 8 I went backward and included what I knew. A No, I don't think so. 9 **Q** Do they reflect dates? 9 O You reconstructed? 10 A The --10 A I -- I don't know what exactly 11 O Notes? 11 reconstruction means, but --12 12 A The document itself? Q You didn't take the note contemporaneous 13 13 O The events. with the event in time? 14 A Dates of the events, yes. 14 A It was not contemporaneous. 15 Q So I'm assuming that an event happens --15 O Close in time when it occurred? 16 A Yes. 16 A Yes. 17 17 Q -- and then you go to your computer, and you Q You reconstructed it based on your 18 record it that day or the next day or close in time to 18 recollection? 19 19 the event when it occurs, correct? MR. CHASSAING: I object to the 20 A Yes, but --20 argumentative nature of the question. That's not what MR. CHASSAING: Can I interrupt? On the 21 I consider to be a reconstruction. 21 22 record, it's very obvious it's both of you are 22 MR. PLEBAN: That's what I consider. 23 stepping over each other from what I am seeing 23 MR. CHASSAING: I want to make an objection 24 produced here, and I just ask both the witness and the 24 for the record. 25 questioner complete the question, stop, get an answer, 25 MR. PLEBAN: He can correct it.

Page 106 Page 108 1 BY MR. PLEBAN 1 A Why wouldn't I have a conversation with the 2 2 council as a whole? I don't think the council as a Q Is that what you did? 3 3 A I agree -- I do not agree that that's -whole was interested at the time of pursuing anything. 4 Q Well, how would you know that if you didn't 4 that reconstruction is an appropriate term. I 5 recorded the events a couple of months, maybe more, 5 have a conversation with them? 6 6 some of the events, after they occurred. A I had conversations with them individually. 7 7 Q Did you keep notes on other council persons? O And so, what, you took the pulse 8 8 individually of whether or not they were interested in A No. 9 9 O You said no? charter violations -- wait a minute. Let me finish. 10 10 A No. You took the pulse of council members 11 O Why not? individually to determine whether or not they wanted 11 to pursue charges against Adam Paul, but you didn't 12 A I wasn't aware that any of the other council 12 13 persons were violating the charter. 13 want to do it as a group; is that what you're saying? 14 Q So but had they violated the charter, you A What I'm saying is that the charter 14 15 15 would have kept notes on them, is that what you're violations, the primary charter violations we're 16 talking about were already known to the council, and I 16 telling us? 17 did not get any sense of the council when I spoke with 17 A I don't know if it would have been a single 18 them individually that they wanted to proceed with a 18 violation if I would have written something down, but 19 over the course of time, if there were multiple 19 removal action. 20 20 violations, I think the answer would be yes. Q My question, sir, is: Why would you want to 21 21 Q Why would you record that? talk to them individually, but not as a group? 22 A Because I represent the City and that's my 22 A I just didn't think it was necessary. 23 23 job as the City's attorney. Q Is that because you knew that if you talked to them as a group, you would alert Adam Paul to what Q Well then, when you were recording these in 24 24 25 June or July, whatever you started, what did you do 25 you were doing? Page 107 Page 109 1 A Mr. Paul knew what he was doing. 1 with them other than put them in your computer? 2 A That was pretty much it. 2 Q No, what you were doing, keeping the book on 3 3 O Why wouldn't you -- if it was your job to him; isn't that why you didn't talk to the council, report these things as the city attorney, why wouldn't 4 4 generally? 5 5 A I think that might be a fair statement. you have taken steps to do something about it instead 6 of just putting it in your computer? 6 Q Well, why wouldn't you go talk to Adam Paul 7 A I think there were conversations that 7 then about these? 8 8 A Why would I? occurred. 9 9 O With whom? Q Why don't you answer my question, okay? I 10 A Council members. 10 ask the questions, you give the answers. MR. CHASSAING: I think that's an answer. 11 Q Well, did you have a conversation with the 11 12 12 council as a whole? THE WITNESS: I agree. A I don't recall. 13 BY MR. PLEBAN 13 14 Q Why wouldn't you have a conversation with 14 Q You agree what? 15 the council as a whole? 15 A I think that's an answer. MR. CHASSAING: Are you finished with your 16 16 O Why would I? 17 17 Yes. answer? Α 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. 18 Q Why would you talk to your mayor about 19 19 BY MR. PLEBAN issues that you had with him, is that what you're 20 Q Why wouldn't you have a conversation with 20 asking me? Is that the question you're asking me? 21 the council as a whole? 21 A I talked --22 A I don't recall --22 Q Is that the question you're asking me? Why 23 O I heard that. 23 would I talk to my mayor about charter violations that 24 A -- whether I did or not. 24 I perceive that he was doing? Is that your question? 25 25 MR. CHASSAING: You're arguing with the O I heard that.

	Paul M	Martin	3/20/2013
	Page 110		Page 112
1	witness. He stated he can't think of a reason why he	1	A I suppose there are two reasons. Number
2	would.	2	one, no one else asked and, number two, I assumed if
3	MR. PLEBAN: I'm not	3	Matt wanted to take it any further, he would do that.
4	MR. CHASSAING: Yes.	4	Q Did you believe he was going to share your
5	MR. PLEBAN: I'm not close to arguing with	5	notes with the other members of the council?
6	the witness.	6	A I didn't have any idea.
7	MR. CHASSAING: Yes, you are.	7	Q Did you ask him whether or not he was going
8	MR. PLEBAN: I'm not close.	8	to share
9	MR. CHASSAING: You're raising your tone of	9	A No.
10	voice.	10	Q Let me finish.
11	MR. PLEBAN: I'm getting tired of the games.	11	A Sorry.
12	The mental and verbal gymnastic games that I'm playing	12	Q He was going to share your notes with the
13	with this guy who has a financial interest in the	13	other members of the council?
14	outcome of all of this. I'm getting real tired of	14	A No.
15	that.	15	Q Why not?
16	MR. CHASSAING: You got a question?	16	A It didn't occur to me.
17	MR. PLEBAN: He's an embarrassment to the	17	Q What did you think he was going to do with
18	profession what I see here.	18	those notes?
19	MR. CHASSAING: I move to strike.	19	A I thought he was going to file the
20	MR. PLEBAN: Move all you want. It's an	20	charter complaint of a charter violation.
21	embarrassment to the profession.	21	Q On his own?
22	MR. CHASSAING: Let's take a break. I'm	22	A Well, that's what I thought.
23	taking a break.	23	Q Did he tell you that he had any notes of his
24	MR. PLEBAN: That's a good idea.	24	own?
25	(A short recess was taken.)	25	A No.
	Page 111		
1		1	
1	BY MR. PLEBAN	1 2	Q When you gave him your notes, did you have a
2 3	Q Mr. Martin, you indicated you shared these	3	lengthy conversation with him about what those notes meant?
4	notes with Mr. Pirrello, and the notes that I'm	4	Meant? A No.
5	referring to are what I'm calling notes, and these were the item on your computer as they relate to Adam	5	
6	Paul. Why did you choose to share those with	6	Q Did he ever come back to you anytime after
7	Mr. Pirrello?	7	you originally gave him the notes to ask you to explain the notes?
8	A Because we had a discussion. I'm assuming	8	A No.
9	we had a discussion about moving forward with some	9	Q After you gave him those notes in December
10	kind of removal proceedings, and said that to do that,	10	of 2012, when was the next conversation that you had
11	somebody needed to start it off, somebody needed to	11	with Mr. Pirrello about removing Adam Paul as the
т.т	somebody needed to start it on, somebody needed to	++	with Mr. Fifteno about removing Adam Fadi as the

somebody needed to start it off, somebody needed to file a complaint. And he asked what would that look

Q And how long was that conversation before the conversation dealing with Katie James filing the complaint?

like, and I prepared something and sent it to him.

A It was in December, I think.

Q You did say that. I'm sorry. Yes. All right. Did you share that information, the notes that you had, with any other members of the council?

A I don't believe so.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q You're not sure?

A I don't believe so. I don't think so.

Q Why wouldn't you share it with other members of the council?

with Mr. Pirrello about removing Adam Paul as the mayor?

A As far as I know, it was February 8th.

Q And between December and February 8th, you never made any inquiry of Mr. Pirrello as to what he was doing with the notes or how he wanted to proceed?

A No.

Q Why not?

A I don't know. I suppose I was waiting to see if he wanted to proceed.

Q When you talked to him in December of 2012, did he indicate to you that the entire council was displeased with Adam Paul?

A Perhaps. I don't recall that he did.

Q On February the 20th, council person -- is

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3/20/2013 Paul Martin

Page 114 Page 116 1 that council woman, council person? What is it, 1 the recommendation on the 18th as well or before? 2 2 A They didn't make the recommendation until council women? 3 MR. CHASSAING: All are welcome. 3 the 18th. And I provided them with alternate 4 recommendations before. I don't know if it was on the 4 BY MR. PLEBAN 5 Q Council member, Michelle Murray, made a 5 18th or over the weekend or week before, but I gave 6 6 motion that you prepare a preliminary resolution with them two alternate recommendations. 7 7 the removal of the mayor. Do you recall that? O And so then was it on the 18th that right 8 8 after the decision from the charter review commission 9 9 was rendered that you had the communication with Q Did you prepare that motion for her? 10 10 A Yes. Michelle Murray about the motion to impeach the mayor? 11 A I don't know if it was on the -- did you say 11 O Why? 12 12 A I was asked to -- frankly, I don't really the 18th? 13 remember if it was a discussion. I don't remember 13 O Yes. whether I was asked to do it or I volunteered to do A I don't think it happened on the 18th. 14 14 15 15 it. Q Was Michelle Murray at the charter review Q When were you asked to do it or when did you 16 16 commission hearing? 17 volunteer to do it? 17 A She sat on the commission. 18 18 A Well, given the time frame, sometime, I Q Okay. And you were present as well? 19 assume, a couple of days before the motion was made. 19 20 When was the motion made? 20 Q And prior to the commencement of that 21 21 Q February 20th. hearing, did you have any conversations with the 22 A That's what I would assume. 22 members of that commission? 23 2.3 Q Sir, how did that come about that you were A Only through the email when I said that I 24 asked to do it or you volunteered to do it? 24 sent out with the recommendations attached. 25 25 A I don't know. I think my emails might be Q That night when everybody was present, you Page 115 Page 117 1 1 helpful in that regard. didn't have any conversations with them behind closed 2 Q Was that before or after the Katie James 2 doors? 3 3 complaint was filed? A No. 4 4 A I think they would have been after. Q Did you know how they were going to rule? 5 Q Was that before or after the Charter Review 5 A I expected them to rule the way they did. 6 Committee heard the Katie James complaint? 6 Did I know how they were going to rule? No. 7 A I think it was after. I think it would have 7 Why did you expect them to rule the way they 8 8 occurred either on the 20th or the 19th. did? 9 9 Q Did you know what was going to happen with A I concluded a brief opinion saying I really 10 the Katie James complaint before February 20, 2013? 10 don't think failure to remove somebody is a -- an 11 A The question isn't terribly specific. The 11 impeachable offense that's going to be upheld by a 12 12 February 18th, I believe, if I recall correctly, the court. So I recommend that you consider strongly 13 charter enforcement commission met and made a 13 whether or not you want to go there. 14 recommendation to the council. Based on that 14 Q Why did you give them that opinion or why 15 recommendation, yes, I expected that the council 15 did you give them any opinion? 16 wasn't going to pursue that. 16 A I just felt it was appropriate at the time. 17 Q And you knew that when? 17 Q Did you also tell them, and by "them," I'm 18 A After the charter commission made its 18 referring to the Charter Review Committee, did you 19 19 recommendation. also tell the member of the Charter Review Committee 20 20 Q Do you recall when they made the which, by the way, were who? Murray?

A Murray, Reel and Anglin, I believe.

Q Did you also -- did you also tell Murray,

Reel and Anglin, members of the Charter Review

Committee, that you had a conversation with Pirrello

on February the 8th in which you recommended that

21

22

23

24

25

21

22

23

24

25

recommendation?

believe.

A They made the recommendation on the 18th, I

recommendation on the 18th, you were -- you knew of

Q And so you then -- after they made their

Page 118 Page 120 A What should this motion look like here -- I 1 Katie James be picked to file this complaint? 1 2 A No, I don't think so. 2 responded here -- here's a motion, and then it was 3 3 O Why not? tweaked a little bit to insure that the staff, and I 4 A I don't know. It didn't --4 could prepare the resolution and the lease, just 5 Q Do you know why you didn't tell them that? 5 wouldn't be any question about the contents of the 6 6 A I didn't think it was necessary. resolution being a violation of the Sunshine Law or 7 7 O You didn't think that was relevant, their attorney/client privilege. 8 8 knowledge that you had orchestrated this along with Q I didn't understand the last part. It 9 Pirrello? 9 was -- hold on. 10 10 A No. A Tweaked. 11 Q But you thought it was necessary that you 11 O Yeah, tweaked how? 12 advise them that this wasn't an impeachable offense? 12 A Added a couple of clauses saying that staff 13 13 is directed to cooperate notwithstanding the Sunshine A Yes, apparently so. 14 Q And so whether you -- you had a -- well, you 14 Law or attorney/client privilege. 15 had some communication with Murray. It wasn't the 15 Q With whom did you consult on that tweaking 16 very night that you were with her whether they ruled 16 process? 17 the way they did on the charter review commission on 17 A I think it was Matt in the course of that 18 the 18th? 18 email I was describing earlier. 19 19 A No, I don't think I had a conversation with Q Why are all these conversations happening 20 Murray. 20 with Pirrello, do you know? 21 Q And you had a conversation with her, though, 21 A The answer to that is, no, I really don't 22 22 know. Assume. and I'm not saving verbal? 23 23 A No. O What? 24 Q I'm talking about communication. 24 A Matt had been there for six years, he was 25 A Right. No, no. I don't think I did. I had 25 the leader, and he was taking the point on this I Page 119 Page 121 1 1 a conversation with Matt and Matt apparently had the suppose is the way to put it. 2 conversation with Shelly. 2 Q How come Murray wound up reading the motion 3 3 Q Shelly being Murray? as opposed to Pirrello, if you know? 4 A Yes. 4 A I don't know. 5 Q Okay. Let me back up. When did that occur? 5 Q Was Roze Accup supposed to read that motion? 6 A Probably the 19th or the 20th. I don't 6 A I don't know. 7 7 remember. Q Were you involved in any conversation in 8 8 Q So on February 19th or the 20th, you get a which Roze Accup was supposed to read the motion? phone call from Pirrello? 9 9 A No. 10 A Yes. I'm sorry. I don't know if it was a 10 Q When did you first see the complaint filed 11 phone call. I think it was an email. 11 by Ms. James? 12 Q And since we don't have the email here, what 12 A Monday, the 11th. 13 was stated in that? 13 Q Of February? 14 A It was basically what should the motion look 14 A February. I think that's accurate. 15 like, I think, and I drafted the motion. 15 Did you help draft that? 16 O So it was a motion to -- the motion that was 16 Α Yes. 17 read by Murray on the 20th, is the motion you gave to 17 O You did? 18 Pirrello on the 19th or the 20th, correct? 18 Yes. 19 A I believe that's true. 19 Q Who did you show it to besides Kate James? 20 Q And tell me the conversation that you had 20 I didn't show it to Kate James. 21 with Pirrello in that regard. 21 Q Who did you show it to, period? 22 A It was almost positive it was an email 22 Mr. Pirrello. 23 conversation, and I don't think there was a telephone 23 Q And he gave it to Katie James, to your 24 conversation about it. 24 knowledge? 25 O And what was the nature of it? 25 A I assume so.

3/20/2013 Paul Martin Page 122 Page 124 1 Q It came back signed by Katie James or a 1 A No. 2 2 purported signature of Katie James? Q When Michelle Murray made the motion on 3 3 A Right. February 20th to have you draft a preliminary 4 4 Q Why would you draft that? Why would you do resolution of an impeachment, had that already been 5 that? 5 drafted? 6 6 A The council was faced with a situation of A No. 7 7 actions by the mayor that violated the charter, and I Q Did you have any conversation with Michelle 8 think they wanted to -- I'm assuming that they wanted 8 Murray before she made that motion about the motion? 9 to move forward with that. Pirrello called me and 9 A I don't think so. 10 said, How do we do this? And I said, To deflect 10 Q So you drafted the motion, you gave it to public criticism from the council, perhaps we do it 11 11 Pirrello, and then the next thing you knew about the 12 through a charter complaint violation filed by Katie 12 motion was when Murray was making the motion at the 13 James, and after that, I basically diagrammed how it 13 February 20 meeting? A Yes. 14 could get done. 14 15 15 Q Well, I got the whole part about how you Do you know how Murray was supposed to know 16 16 orchestrated all of this. My question to you, sir, what you were going to put into the impeachment 17 17 is: Why would you draft a document that was going to resolution? 18 18 be signed by the person who was not a member of the A Other than her observations of what took 19 19 council and not an employee of the City of Ellisville place over the last ten months. 20 but rather a resident? 20 Q Did she ever share those with you? 21 A We had discussions at different times, 21 MR. CHASSAING: I object to the term 22 "orchestrated," but -- it's argumentative. 22 specifically, about what was going to be in the 23 23 THE WITNESS: I think it's fair to say it resolution? No, she did not. 24 was in response to the discussion that Matt and I had. 24 Q Did you have any conversation with anybody 25 25 before he publicized those -- that first resolution? Page 123 Page 125 1 1 BY MR. PLEBAN Did you have discussions with anybody regarding the O Why wouldn't you just let her draft her own 2 contents of that resolution before you publicized it? 2 3 3 complaint, whatever it might be? A Yes. 4 4 A The -- I don't know why I wouldn't have done O With whom? 5 something. The fact that I did do it as a result of 5 A I drafted it, and I believe I sent it to 6 the conversation that I had with Matt. Whether it was 6 Kate, Kevin, Matt, Tom Felgate and asked that it be 7 a voluntary act on my part, whether he requested it, I 7 forwarded to Kelly Murray with the request that they 8 8 don't know. review that very, very carefully, make sure everything 9 9 Q Did you tell the rest of the council that in it was accurate and if she saw any discrepancies to 10 10 you were drafting this complaint for Katie James? let me know. 11 A No. 11 Q So you sent the draft resolution to the city 12 Q Why not? 12 clerk, the city manager, the chief of police, Pirrello A Matt wanted it right away, and I assumed 13 and Murray before --13 14 that he was talking to the rest of the council. At 14 A Kelly Murray, not Shelly Murray. 15 that point, I assumed that the council wanted to move 15 Q Who is Kelly Murray? 16 forward and that they were basically showing us the 16 A He is a lieutenant captain, lieutenant of 17 way how to do that. 17 the police department. 18 18 Q Why did you include him? Q Did you tell Adam Paul that you were A He was the one who sniffed, for lack of a drafting a complaint for Katie James? 19 19 20 20 better way to put it, the bottles that Adam discarded A No.

after one of the public meetings. And he was the one

who said that there's alcohol in this bottle.

A Pardon me?

O Vodka?

Q Vodka, is that what he told you?

21

22

23

24

25

21

22

23

24

25

Q Why not?

Q You don't?

would be.

A In retrospect, I didn't think about it then.

In retrospect, I don't see what the purpose of that

Page 126 Page 128 1 A He did not say vodka. 1 Q You put out a document on the 18th, you 2 2 Q What did he say? publicized a document on the 18th that you didn't have A He just said alcohol. 3 3 the council look at: is that correct? 4 4 Q Did you ask him what kind of alcohol? A That's correct. 5 A No. 5 Q Didn't you just tell me that the council was 6 6 Q He told you alcohol? aware of a variety of these things that occurred? 7 7 A Yes. 8 8 Q That you claim that they witnessed those Q Was it this Kelly Murray who brought it to 9 9 your attention? firsthand; is that correct? 10 10 A I don't know who brought it to attention. A Council members witnessed things like the 11 issue with the city attorney, the issue with the O In any event, you questioned Kelly Murray? 11 12 A Kelly Murray was in the kitchen with a group 12 relocation expert from Sansone. I do not know to what 13 of other people. 13 extent council members were aware of the issues with 14 Kate Demeter. I believe -- I believe -- I don't 14 Q You asked Kelly Murray about the incident, 15 15 you did, personally? remember about the publication information. A Yes. 16 16 Q So it's fair to say that the council members 17 17 O And he said he smelled alcohol? were witnesses to some of the allegations that you 18 18 made in the preliminary resolution of impeachment, 19 19 O But you didn't ask him what kind of alcohol correct? 20 it was? 20 A I think that's accurate, yes. 21 21 Q So they were witnesses to at least part of 22 22 the resolution, correct? Q And you never asked the mayor anything about 23 that? 23 A Yes. 24 24 A No, I did not. Q So why wouldn't you give it to them so that 25 25 Q So you sent this resolution to all of these they can comment on the accuracy of what you were Page 127 Page 129 1 1 individuals that you've listed here, but you didn't recording? 2 2 A The things that the council as a whole was send it to the council? 3 3 A Correct. aware of were documented by Adams' own emails and they 4 4 observed those things personally. So when was the first time that council saw 5 5 it? Q You made the suggestion in February to 6 A I'd have to check my emails. I do not know 6 Pirrello, on February the 8th, that Katie James filed 7 7 for sure, but I think it was the Saturday -- well -this complaint alleging charter violations. Why did 8 8 well, maybe they did see it. I don't know. I'm you not -- and when you drafted that complaint for 9 sorry. I sent out an email on Saturday, I believe, to 9 Katie James, why didn't you include all these other 10 Kate and whether I copied council, I don't remember. 10 allegations? 11 11 O So when would the council have seen it? A Katie wouldn't have been privy to those 12 A The latest would have been distributed by 12 allegations, I suppose. 13 Kate on the following Monday. 13 Q Well, was Katie privy to the allegation 14 Q For the Wednesday meeting, so the 18th? 14 relating to Srote? 15 Yes. I believe that's correct. 15 A Srote, yes. She wasn't there, but she heard 16 Q All right. And when was it published? 16 about it. 17 What do you mean by "published"? Α 17 Q Well, so she had no direct knowledge of the 18 Q Made available to the public. 18 complaint that you wrote to have her sign regarding A It would have been made available to the 19 19 Mr. Srote, whatever this guy's name is, correct? 20 public through Kate on the 18th. 20 A I don't know what Katie's knowledge was, 21 Q So you put out a document on the 18th that 21 but --22 you didn't have the council look at for accuracy? 22 O Well, you wrote --23 A I put out a document on the 18th that those 23 A As far as I know, yes. 24 people who had firsthand knowledge of the petition, 24 You wrote the charge for it, didn't you? 25 had reviewed it for accuracy. 25

3/20/2013 Paul Martin

Page 130 Page 132 1 Q So you wrote a charge for a lady that wasn't 1 conclusion that the Charter Enforcement Commission was 2 2 even present during that meeting? not the only people by which the council could 3 3 A Yeah, I don't think she was present. consider impeachment. 4 4 Q Did you prepare a legal memorandum to that Q You wrote a charge for a lady who wasn't 5 even present during the meeting? 5 effect? 6 6 A That's true. A No, I did not. 7 7 Q And you wrote the charge for a lady who Q What's your authority for saying that? 8 wasn't present during the meeting without ever talking 8 A My analysis of the chart. 9 9 to the lady? Q What allows you to bypass the Charter 10 10 A I was talking to Matt. **Enforcement Commission?** O You didn't talk to Katie James about that A The Charter Enforcement Commission was 11 11 12 meeting, did vou? 12 adopted, I don't know, in 2002. It's not an exclusive 13 A I didn't talk to Katie James at all. 13 vehicle to pursue forfeiture of office. Section 3.6 14 14 Q So back to my original question. Why didn't of the charter predated the Charter Enforcement 15 15 you include all of these other allegations so that Commission and has a provision for forfeiture of 16 Katie James could make the complaint against the mayor 16 office of the Charter Enforcement Commission -- the 17 so you could deflect public opinion away from the 17 ordinance implementing the Charter Enforcement 18 council? 18 Commission discusses complaints of charter violations. 19 A I don't know why I didn't do that. I can 19 What we were dealing with Adam's situations, not only 20 tell you that the way I saw this unfolding was to have 20 charter violations, but other allegations of 21 21 the chatter violation complaint bring the issue to the misfeasance in office. 22 22 (Exhibit 14 was marked for public's attention of people by which the complaint 23 could get in front of the council and then the council 23 identification.) 24 could raise the issue of what about all of these other 24 BY MR. PLEBAN 25 25 allegations. Q Handing you what's marked as Exhibit 14 and Page 131 Page 133 1 Q So if I understand your testimony correctly, 1 ask you to identify that. 2 you had the opportunity when you were drafting the 2 A It appears to be a copy of the Ellisville 3 3 complaint for Katie James to include these other city charter. 4 4 allegations so that the Charter Review Commission Q Is that a current copy of the Ellisville 5 5 could likewise review them, investigate them, pass city charter to the best of your knowledge? 6 upon them and make a recommendation with council as a 6 A To the best of my knowledge, yes. 7 7 (Exhibit 15 was marked for whole, but you opted not to do that? 8 8 identification.) A I didn't consider that possibility, but 9 obviously, I did not do that. 9 BY MR. PLEBAN 10 Q Handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 10 Q So on these other allegations that you've 11 15. Ask you if you recognize that copy? 11 included beyond just the Katie James allegation about 12 a meeting that occurred nine months ago and beyond the 12 A It appears to be Chapter 145 of the 13 Ellisville city code regarding charter enforcement. 13 allegation with respect to Mr. Stote? 14 Q Okay. 14 MR. CHASSAING: I think he should change his 15 THE WITNESS: Can we take a quick break? 15 name to Stote. 16 MR. PLEBAN: Sure. 16 BY MR. PLEBAN 17 (A short recess was taken.) 17 O S-T-O-T-E? 18 BY MR. PLEBAN 18 A S-R-O-T-E. O I showed you Exhibits 14 and 15. Can you 19 19 Q Srote. Beyond the allegations contained in 20 identify 15? 20 Srote and Katie James allegations, you bypassed the 21 A I think I already have, but it's Chapter 145 21 charter review commission on the others by design? 22 22 of the C code. A I don't think that's necessarily a fair way 23 Q Now, you indicated that the provision for 23 of stating it. 24 the Charter Enforcement Commission that alleged

violations of the city charter as a necessary

25

24

25

Q How would you say it then?

A I would say that the -- I reached the

3/20/2013 Paul Martin

Page 134 Page 136 1 condition precedent would not have to go through the 1 A In substance, that's it. 2 2 charter enforcement, is that your position? Q Let me show you what's been marked as 16 and 3 3 A Yes, sir. ask you if you recognize that. 4 A Yes. 4 Q Can you tell me based upon those documents 5 you have in front of you, the charter and the charter 5 Q What is that, please? 6 A That is the Katie James complaint. 6 enforcement, Chapter 145 of the code, what you're 7 7 pointing to in reliance upon that statement? Q Is that the complaint that you drafted? 8 A Okay. A couple of things. Section 3.6. 8 A Yes. 9 Code entitled Judge Qualifications. 9 Q Let's talk about the resolution that you 10 MR. CHASSAING: You said "code." Did you 10 drafted, the preliminary resolution that you drafted 11 11 mean charter? in this case, that was pursuant to the motion made by 12 12 THE WITNESS: Charter, thank you. Entitled Michelle Murray on February 20th of 2013. You've had 13 Judge of Qualifications provides for a means by which 13 occasion to review that, have you not, sir? 14 the council can act to remove someone for forfeiture 14 A Not recently. Of course, I have seen it. 15 15 of office. It's not limited to charter violations. O Do you want to take a minute to take a look 16 at it? 16 Section 12 point -- I'm sorry, not 12. 12.10 of the 17 17 code was adopted nine years after 3.6, and I interpret A Well, if you have specific questions, I'm it as an addition to Charter 3.6 and a means by which 18 18 sure that I can --19 individual members, I'm sorry, individual residents 19 MR. PLEBAN: Let me mark it. 20 can file charter violation complaints for the council 20 (Exhibit 17 was marked for 21 21 to review. identification.) 22 22 BY MR. PLEBAN In addition to that, Section 2.1 of the 23 23 charter -- I'm sorry, let me back up. So the second Q That's the final one I gave you. 24 section that was adopted in 2002 seems to be 24 MR. CHASSAING: Is that marked? 25 25 supplementary --Page 135 Page 137 1 BY MR. PLEBAN 1 BY MR. PLEBAN 2 2 Q That would be 1210? O The final. 3 3 A Yeah, 1210. A This is the final executed draft of the 4 amended preliminary resolution. 4 Q All right. 5 Q I marked it as final resolution, the date on 5 A Is supplementary to 3.6 and does not require 6 or limit the council's consideration of an action 6 that, but disregard my handwriting. Do you recognize 7 under 3.6 for forfeiture of office, and Section 2.1 of 7 that as the final resolution? 8 8 the charter specifically states that the powers of the A Yes, appears to be so. 9 9

City shall be liberally construed. The specific mention or lack of mention of particular power in this charter shall not be construed as limiting powers of the City.

So, in other words, the City is supposed to have all of the powers available to it as a charter city and can act consistent with that broad range of powers.

> (Exhibit 16 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. PLEBAN

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Q Anything else that you're relying upon to support your position or your interpretation?

A No, I don't think so.

Q Let me show you what's been marked -- well, take a look if there's something else I need to know

Q And the original resolution contained --(Exhibit 18 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. PLEBAN

Q Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 18. Ask if you recognize that.

A It is not a signed copy, but it appears to be the draft preliminary resolution that was presented to the council.

> (Exhibit 19 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. PLEBAN

Q Now, that Exhibit 18 was the original resolution and then that was amended one time, and let me show you Exhibit 19. Disregard the handwriting at the bottom and the top. Is that the first amendment to Exhibit 18?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 140

1 A It appears to be, but I do not recall. 1 reason for impeachment. 2 2 O And then Exhibit 17 is the final resolution? Q Why didn't you share the original charges 3 3 A Yes. with Mr. Cheung before you published them? 4 4 O How did it come about that -- well, strike A I did share the original charges with 5 that. 5 Mr. Cheung when I was asking him if he would be 6 6 Did the -- was the original resolution, was interested and willing to be the special prosecutor. 7 7 I said, This is a draft of the resolution I'm that amended twice to your recollection? 8 8 A It was only amended by the council once. preparing. Basically these are the things you can 9 9 expect. So I did. There was --10 10 Q Well, did you ask him for his input at that Q I'm not talking about a vote now. 11 time when you shared those with him? A Yes. 11 12 A No, that was purely, This is what you'll be 12 Q Was the document revised, forgetting the 13 vote for the moment, was the only document -- here you 13 getting into. Are you willing to take the job? 14 Q Did you ask him for his input later on 14 can see if you want. Was the original document 15 regarding the propriety of the charges? 15 revised twice? 16 16 A I think the original document, which is A After the preliminary resolution was 17 adopted, yes, we met and discussed it. 17 Exhibit 18, was revised and presented to the council, Q You met? 18 18 and I believe Exhibit 19 is that document, and then 19 A Met and discussed it. 19 through the council discussion at the meeting, there 20 Q Well, I'm trying to understand why you 20 was a second revision, which ended up to be the final 21 wouldn't do that before it was adopted rather than 21 amendment, and that is Exhibit 17. 22 after it was adopted. 22 Q So with respect to Exhibit 19, how did 23 A Well, I don't know that there's really a 23 Exhibit 19 come to be amended? How did that happen? 24 strong answer for that or a good answer for that. 24 A Let's see. I have to figure out what the 25 There isn't a bad answer, either. I don't know. I 25 amendments are. Okay. Exhibit 18 --Page 139 Page 141 1 Q The original? 1 gave him what I thought he needed to do to make 2 A The original was revised by deletion of 2 initial determination, and once he said that they 3 the -- what I will lump together as the alcohol 3 would be interested in doing that, I had enough 4 4 references in subsection F and also by -- this is 1F. information to go to council with the resolution that 5 5 Also by removal of the profanity allegations, I think, I had. 6 in that same section, and I think -- might have been 6 Q How did Exhibit 17 wind up as the final? 7 7 more, but that's I think all there was. A In addition to those two changes, there were 8 8 a couple of other changes that I believe I Q And how did it develop that the amendment 9 9 was made? Did you get a phone call? Did you do it on self-initiated, and I'm trying to remember what those 10 10 your own? How did it come to pass that this amendment were. 11 11 Q I think kicking my partner out of the occurred? 12 12 meeting might have been one of them. A I shared the document with Mr. Cheung and he 13 A Actually, that was included in the -- in 13 reviewed it and -- I don't know if it was a phone call 14 Exhibit 19. That was one of the revisions for there 14 or we were having a conference, one or the two. He 15 and then that was deleted from Exhibit 17. 15 said, really, these alcohol allegations aren't -- I'm 16 Q Was that Mr. Cheung's recommendation or 16 sorry. Concluded that the alcohol allegations didn't 17 vours? 17 establish any deficiency in the execution of the 18 A I think it was a mutual conclusion. 18 mayor's office and, therefore, didn't constitute an 19 So which one did you self-initiate? 19 impeachable offense. 20 A I don't recall. I think there was some 20 Q So the alcohol and the profanity did not 21 small issue that was discussed, and I think I 21 constitute those items? 22 articulated it at the meeting, but I do not recall 22 A Well, with regard to the profanity --23 right now what it was. I think it may have been the 23 essentially, yes. The profanity was -- the conclusion 24 relocation of paragraph I6 to --24 was that the profanity was really a part of his 25 25 Q What page? control of means rather than being an independent

Page 138

3/20/2013 Paul Martin

Page 142 1 A To Section G. Page 8 of 19. And there was 2 also, I'm sorry -- there was also the addition of 3 Mayor Paul's recordings of the chief of police and 4 city clerk. That was in 19. That's probably what I 5 was thinking of. 6 Q Anything else? 7 A Not that I can think of. I'm sorry. I did 8 find --9 Q Go ahead. 10 A Exhibit 19 included mayor's recording of the 11 closed session meetings and that was dropped from 12 Exhibit 17. 13 Q In the original -- if you look at the 14 original charges, okay, if look at those are -- what 15 did I say that was, Exhibit 18? A Eighteen. 16 Q Look at Section E4. 17 18 A Okay. 19 Q It says: On April 18, public meeting in the 20 Ellisville elementary auditorium, Mayor Paul ordered 21 Lieutenant Kelly Murray of the Ellisville Police 22 Department to remove Miss Katie James from the 23 premises without provocation, cause or excuse? 24 A Right. 25 Q Was that not part of Katie James' original Page 143 1 complaint? 2 A Yes, but the date's wrong on 18. 3 Q I know the date's wrong, but wasn't that 4 part of her original complaint? 5 A Yes. 6 O So if the charter review or Charter 7

vote on that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Yes.

Q And why is it in the original charges if they vote to dismiss it?

A I don't know that they dismissed it.

Q They didn't do anything with it, but if you anticipated that they were going to follow the recommendation, as a matter of fact, somebody said at the meeting, You got three votes from the Charter Review Commission who say that there's no probable cause here, the mayor, obviously, is going to cast his vote so you're not going to win the vote anyhow?

A Right.

Q Why is it in the original charges?

A I can't tell you why. I didn't -- I didn't think about it when it was put in. That's one reason.

Q You didn't think about something that you put in the charges?

A Well, no. I thought about it, but I did not think about what the Charter Enforcement Commission was doing. I also don't think that, as I said, there was any final action taken on it by the council. I think it's still within the council's purview to consider it if they so desire. I don't think they're

Page 145

Page 144

Enforcement Commission already ruled on that, why is that in here? Why was that in here at all?

A Well, the Charter Enforcement Commission is only recommending body. It got to the council and the council really didn't -- I don't think the record is clear what action the council took on that.

Q The record is clear, they didn't take any action. And my question is: Do you know why?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Why would --

A I just think it was something that was overlooked during the meeting.

Q Well, but you knew when you were drafting these that what the recommendation of the charter review commission was, didn't you, when you were drafting the original resolution?

A I expected -- I had an expectation of what it would be, yes.

Q Did you expect that the council was going to

foreclosed from doing that.

Q Why didn't you recommend -- if that's the case, if the council can decide these things without the necessity of going through the Charter Enforcement Commission as you indicated as your opinion, why didn't you just recommend to Pirrello that he just had Katie James go directly to the council? Why would you have her go through the Charter Review Commission at all?

A Because I think that Section 1210 of the charter was designed for citizen complaints about charter violations. I don't think the citizen can go, I wouldn't --

Q I thought you just said a citizen could go pursuant to 1210, could go directly to the council?

A I didn't say the citizen go directly to the council. I said the council had the purview to consider whatever it wanted to consider outside of Section 1210.

Q Who is the complainant? If Katie James was the complainant on the original complaint that went in front of the Charter Enforcement Commission, who is the complainant on the rest of it that we have now on Exhibit 17?

A The people who voted for the resolution.

Page 146 Page 148 1 Q Now, the first charge on Exhibit 17 is 1 earlier, I started compiling that list sometime after 2 2 Adam was elected, two or three months after Adam was that -- we're just going to work off of the final 3 3 amended copy, okay? elected when I realized that this might become an 4 4 issue. This is the document that I prepared and sent A Right. I've got it. 5 5 to Matt in December. There is --Q By the way, the time that you spent here 6 6 today, are you being compensated in any respect for O That's a different --7 7 that time? A Yes. 8 8 **Q** -- document that the list? A I wasn't going to bill for it. 9 9 Q The first allegation says that Mayor Paul A Yes. 10 unlawfully disclosed the confidences of the City 10 Q So we'll call that the Pirrello list, all 11 without the knowledge or permission of the city 11 right? 12 council to unauthorized persons in violation of --12 A All right. 13 13 this is the city code, and then under A, subparagraph Q We've got a list of the potential charges, 14 14 two, it says: On a date unknown, but prior to May 2, the Pirrello list. What else? 15 2012, Mayor Paul disclosed confidential legal opinion 15 A There is the document of my notes of my 16 from the city attorney concerning the legality of the 16 conversation of May 2nd. Q Okay. 17 citizen-initiated referendum on the Wal-Mart TIF 17 18 ordinance. Do you see that? 18 A I can't recall anything else in there, but 19 19 A Yes, sir. there might be a -- probably a copy of the 20 20 resolutions. Q What evidence do you have of that? 21 A It was a telephone conversation I had with 21 Q Those resolutions? 22 22 the mayor on May 2nd. A Right. 23 Q And what did he tell you? 23 Q Seventeen, 18 and 19? A I have notes that I prepared, but I didn't 24 A Yes, but I'm horrible when it comes to 24 25 bring those notes with me. But you'll get a copy of 25 revisions. I don't know that I've properly recorded Page 147 Page 149 1 all three of them. 1 those as well. 2 Q All right. Anything else? 2 Q Are those the notes in your computer? 3 3 A It wasn't in my computer. This was a A Not that I can think of. 4 4 separate document. Q So we've got a list of the potential 5 5 Q Whoa, whoa, whoa. Hold on. I need to charges, we've got the Pirrello list, we've got your 6 figure out what all you have. You told me that you 6 notes of the May 2nd conversation that you claim to 7 7 have -- when I'm talking about notes, all right, that have had with the mayor and you've got possibly a copy 8 8 you put into your computer, all right, and you of the resolutions? 9 maintained that log, all right. Do you have other 9 A Yes. 10 documents in your file that you maintained? 10 Q Anything else? Is that it? 11 A Yes. 11 A I can't think of anything else. 12 Q Tell me about what all is in this file, 12 Q And you're going to print out all that 13 okay? 13 stuff, right? 14 A Well, I don't really remember everything 14 A Yes. Well, yes. 15 that's in the file. There's a document --15 Q In addition to the emails? 16 Q I don't want to interrupt, but let me ask 16 A I suppose that you could be entitled to the 17 this. Where is the file now? 17 original list, too, sure. 18 A It's in my computer. 18 Q All right. Now, tell me as best you can 19 Q So when you say "file," you're not talking 19 recall, what this conversation was with the mayor that 20 about a file like the manila file folder? 20 you had on May the 2nd. 21 A Correct 21 A I think it was May the 2nd. That's what my 22 Q So tell me everything that you can recall 22 notes indicated. The mayor called and asked me

something. I don't recall what it was. I think it

had something to do with the TIF, and then we went

into a broader conversation. I suggested to him --

23

24

25

23

24

25

generically that's in that file.

A There is a list of potential charges that I

compiled over the course of time and, as I said

Q Whoa, what's the broader conversation? What did he ask you?

A Well, let me go on. That's what I'm going to talk about.

BY MR. PLEBAN

Q All right.

A In the context of the conversation, which I really don't recall that with specificity, I suggested to him that he should consider being leader and addressing the public who were intent on the referendum or maybe it was the recall, I don't really remember, and explain to the public that it just wasn't legally possible, and that the TIF ordinance had been -- the TIF project had been approved and everyone should just stand down. It was a very politically charged atmosphere. I was trying to get to a point where the council could move forward, hopefully, without dissention and ongoing public criticism and futile public effort over recall or referendum or whatever the subject matter of the conversation was.

And in the course of that conversation, according to my notes, he said: That confidential memo really fanned the flames for recall. And he then went on to restate his objections to the TIF.

Q That was on May the 2nd?

A I think it was May the 2nd. That's what my notes indicate. I don't have an independent recollection of whether it occurred on May the 2nd.

Q Why were you making a note about this mayor, about some comment that he made less than a month after he was in office?

Page 152

Page 153

A So that I had a record of what the conversation was in the case that I would ever need to disclose it or included it in something like this.

Q If you made a record on May the 2nd of that conversation, why wouldn't you make a record of the Katie James incident then at the same time?

A Katie James incident was witnessed by others. I wanted to make sure that I put down in writing my recollection of that telephone conversation as quickly as I could so I wouldn't forget about it ten months later or whatever it is.

Q So you made a note of the Katie James incident, what did you tell me, in June or July?

A Yes

Q So was that the entirety of the conversation as best you recall it that you had with Adam Paul on May the 2nd?

A Yes.

Page 151

Page 150

1.5

At some point, I asked him how did that confidential memorandum fan the flames to recall? He responded immediately, I did not give that memorandum to anyone. So that led me to believe that, in fact, that's exactly what happened.

Q Any other evidence that you have to support that charge?

A That's it.

O That's it?

A I believe so.

Q So after -- well, strike that. You had a conversation with Adam Paul on this issue less than a month after he was sworn into office; is that correct?

A I believe so, yes.

Q And the conversation that you recorded, that you just recounted, did you record that conversation on May the 2nd?

A No.

Q When did you record that conversation?

A Well, when you say record --

Q Put it into -- make notes, putting it into your computer.

A I think that was on -- that was on the date that the conversation occurred. It was immediately after

Q So you thought that he had disclosed confidences of the City?

A Yes.

Q Would that be confidences of, what, from the Sunshine Law, closed sessions?

A It would have been a written legal opinion.

Q So when you had this discussion with him and he says that confidential memo really fanned the flame for a recall, did you say to him, Adam, did you give that opinion out? Did you say that to him?

A Well, I followed up with, What do you mean that the confidential memorandum really fanned the flames for recall?

Q Did you say, Did you give that opinion out?

A No.

Q Why wouldn't you ask him that question?

A Because I don't believe he would be truthful.

Q So you don't ask questions of people that you don't believe will be truthful with you; is that your practice?

A I'm talking about in this particular situation.

Q I'm just asking if that's your practice generally, sir? Is that your practice, you didn't

Page 154 Page 156 1 think he would be truthful with you? That's your 1 BY MR. PLEBAN 2 2 practice, you don't ask questions of people you don't Q And did you ask him what memo he was talking 3 3 think are going to be truthful with you? about? 4 4 A I don't know it has any application in my A There was only one memo that I'm aware of. 5 practice other than this particular instance. 5 I think it had to do with the illegality of the 6 6 Q Before Adam Paul you said was seated on referendum effort and he referred specifically to a 7 7 confidential memo. April 18th? 8 A Yes. 8 Q My only question is, sir: Did you ask him 9 Q Did you know this guy? 9 what memo he was talking about? 10 10 A No, I did not. 11 11 Q Had you ever met him before? Q Why not? 12 A No. 12 MR. CHASSAING: Objection. This has been 13 Q Did you ever see him at council meeting? 13 asked and answered. Why won't you move on to A I saw him at a TIF commission meeting. I do 14 something else? 14 not know. I think he attended one or two council. 15 BY MR. PLEBAN 15 16 16 meetings. Q Go ahead. Why not? 17 17 Q Before the 18th of April, did you ever talk A Because there was only one memo that could 18 to him? 18 have been. 19 19 A I don't recall. Q When was that memo distributed? O Did you ever do any investigation as to his 20 20 A I would have to -- it's in the emails. It 21 21 background? would have been before this May 2nd meeting. 22 A No. 22 Q I figured that. How much before the 23 23 Q So fair to say that when you were having May 2nd? 24 24 A Probably between the April 18th meeting and this conversation with Adam Paul on May the 2nd, there 25 25 the May 2nd meeting at some point. was very little you knew about him, right? Page 155 Page 157 1 1 A That's correct. Q And that would have been, I'm assuming, 2 2 Q Yet you concluded that he wasn't going to be distributed during closed meeting? 3 3 honest with you with respect to the question of A I think I would have done it by email. 4 4 Q And do all of your legal opinions say not to whether or not he put out confidential information, 5 5 right? release it to anybody? 6 A I concluded from the question that I asked 6 A I don't even think it says that. I think it 7 7 says that this is a closed record under Section 600, about, how did this fan the flames for recall, and his 8 you know, 121.1 of the Sunshine Law. 8 immediate denial was sharing the memorandum without me 9 even bringing that up, that that is, in fact, exactly 9 MR. CHASSAING: Hold your voice up. 10 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 10 what occurred. After that point, I didn't see the 11 BY MR. PLEBAN 11 need to inquire any further. 12 12 Q Did you ever explain to Adam Paul what that Q Surely you told him as a brand-new mayor of 13 13 less than a month that it's inappropriate to give out meant? 14 A No. 14 legal opinions? 15 Q Did Adam Paul ever ask you for a legal 15 A The legal opinion had a banner on it: This 16 orientation? 16 is a closed record. Did I point out the city code not A No. 17 17 to share this information? No, I did not. 18 O At no time? 18 Q I didn't ask you that. Surely you told him 19 A He never asked me for anything. 19 it's not appropriate to give out legal opinions? 20 Q You know he took a polygraph on that issue, 20 MR. CHASSAING: Objection. Argumentative 21 are you aware of that? 21 form of the question and to the extent that it's 22 MR. CHASSAING: Objection. Don't even 22 actually already been answered, I object to it being 23 respond to questions about a polygraph. 23 answered again. You can go ahead. 24 Chet, what are we doing here? So what if he 24 THE WITNESS: I don't recall telling him 25 answered a polygraph conducted by a guy you do 25 that

	raul I		3/20/2013
	Page 158		Page 160
1	business with all the time. Who cares?	1	MR. CHASSAING: I'm not here answering the
2	MR. PLEBAN: How do you know who conducted	2	question.
3	the polygraph?	3	MR. PLEBAN: You just made the statement.
4	MR. CHASSAING: I think I know more about	4	Do you know this guy?
5	this than you give me credit about.	5	MR. CHASSAING: Make your record.
6	MR. PLEBAN: How do you know about the	6	MR. PLEBAN: Are you in the habit of making
7	polygraph?	7	statements that aren't factually supported, because
8	MR. CHASSAING: I'm not	8	you made several of them today.
9	MR. PLEBAN: Now that you made this	9	MR. CHASSAING: Mr. Maupin
10	ridiculous statement, once again	10	MR. PLEBAN: I'm going to tell you I don't
11	MR. CHASSAING: Another ridiculous	11	know this man. If you have I never met the man, I
12	statement?	12	don't know the man, I didn't line up the polygraph.
13	MR. PLEBAN: Yes, I'm going to tell you how	13	If you have some information to support your reckless
14	it is.	14	statement, put it on the record.
15	MR. CHASSAING: Thanks.	15	MR. CHASSAING: Are you finished?
16	MR. PLEBAN: I never met the man.	16	MR. PLEBAN: I'm waiting on you to put it on
17	MR. CHASSAING: It's 3:32 for the record.	17	the record.
18	MR. PLEBAN: You can tell time.	18	MR. CHASSAING: Are you finished?
19	Congratulations.	19	MR. PLEBAN: Have at it.
20	MR. CHASSAING: We're going to get a special	20	MR. CHASSAING: Are you finished?
21	master. Stay on the record. You know what?	21	MR. PLEBAN: Uh-huh.
22	MR. PLEBAN: You have the hearing officer	22	MR. CHASSAING: Mr. Maupin, I don't think we
23	sitting right there.	23	should be spending time asking Mr. Martin or any other
24 25	MR. CHASSAING: Could you ask him to move on? I'm making a record. Could you ask him to move	24 25	witness about their knowledge whether or not the mayor or somebody submitted themself to the polygraph test
23	on? Thi making a record. Could you ask init to move	23	of somebody submitted themself to the polygraph test
		I	
	Page 159		Page 161
1		1	
1 2	on?	1 2	and whether that has any effect on Mr. Martin's
1 2 3	on? MR. PLEBAN: I'm going to make my record.	l	and whether that has any effect on Mr. Martin's knowledge or any other witness that might testify
2	on?	2	and whether that has any effect on Mr. Martin's
2 3	on? MR. PLEBAN: I'm going to make my record. I'm going to make my record. MR. CHASSAING: Don't ask me to come back for more of this deposition.	2 3	and whether that has any effect on Mr. Martin's knowledge or any other witness that might testify during the depositions. It is a waste of time and
2 3 4	on? MR. PLEBAN: I'm going to make my record. I'm going to make my record. MR. CHASSAING: Don't ask me to come back	2 3 4	and whether that has any effect on Mr. Martin's knowledge or any other witness that might testify during the depositions. It is a waste of time and it's argumentative in the rawest form, and I think we
2 3 4 5	on? MR. PLEBAN: I'm going to make my record. I'm going to make my record. MR. CHASSAING: Don't ask me to come back for more of this deposition. MR. PLEBAN: I'm not going to ask for anything.	2 3 4 5	and whether that has any effect on Mr. Martin's knowledge or any other witness that might testify during the depositions. It is a waste of time and it's argumentative in the rawest form, and I think we need to move on. MR. PLEBAN: Are you done? Because because I have to say that there will be polygraph
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	on? MR. PLEBAN: I'm going to make my record. I'm going to make my record. MR. CHASSAING: Don't ask me to come back for more of this deposition. MR. PLEBAN: I'm not going to ask for anything. MR. CHASSAING: If you want to go after a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and whether that has any effect on Mr. Martin's knowledge or any other witness that might testify during the depositions. It is a waste of time and it's argumentative in the rawest form, and I think we need to move on. MR. PLEBAN: Are you done? Because because I have to say that there will be polygraph evidence during the course of this trial.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	on? MR. PLEBAN: I'm going to make my record. I'm going to make my record. MR. CHASSAING: Don't ask me to come back for more of this deposition. MR. PLEBAN: I'm not going to ask for anything. MR. CHASSAING: If you want to go after a theatrical stunt like that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and whether that has any effect on Mr. Martin's knowledge or any other witness that might testify during the depositions. It is a waste of time and it's argumentative in the rawest form, and I think we need to move on. MR. PLEBAN: Are you done? Because because I have to say that there will be polygraph evidence during the course of this trial. MR. CHASSAING: You can try what you like.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	on? MR. PLEBAN: I'm going to make my record. I'm going to make my record. MR. CHASSAING: Don't ask me to come back for more of this deposition. MR. PLEBAN: I'm not going to ask for anything. MR. CHASSAING: If you want to go after a theatrical stunt like that MR. PLEBAN: That's about the fifth time you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and whether that has any effect on Mr. Martin's knowledge or any other witness that might testify during the depositions. It is a waste of time and it's argumentative in the rawest form, and I think we need to move on. MR. PLEBAN: Are you done? Because because I have to say that there will be polygraph evidence during the course of this trial. MR. CHASSAING: You can try what you like. MR. PLEBAN: Do you know that I'm not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	on? MR. PLEBAN: I'm going to make my record. I'm going to make my record. MR. CHASSAING: Don't ask me to come back for more of this deposition. MR. PLEBAN: I'm not going to ask for anything. MR. CHASSAING: If you want to go after a theatrical stunt like that MR. PLEBAN: That's about the fifth time you told me about theatrics. It's the fifth time you've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and whether that has any effect on Mr. Martin's knowledge or any other witness that might testify during the depositions. It is a waste of time and it's argumentative in the rawest form, and I think we need to move on. MR. PLEBAN: Are you done? Because because I have to say that there will be polygraph evidence during the course of this trial. MR. CHASSAING: You can try what you like. MR. PLEBAN: Do you know that I'm not permitted because I'll give you some cases that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	on? MR. PLEBAN: I'm going to make my record. I'm going to make my record. MR. CHASSAING: Don't ask me to come back for more of this deposition. MR. PLEBAN: I'm not going to ask for anything. MR. CHASSAING: If you want to go after a theatrical stunt like that MR. PLEBAN: That's about the fifth time you told me about theatrics. It's the fifth time you've done it. You made the statements, but you don't give	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and whether that has any effect on Mr. Martin's knowledge or any other witness that might testify during the depositions. It is a waste of time and it's argumentative in the rawest form, and I think we need to move on. MR. PLEBAN: Are you done? Because because I have to say that there will be polygraph evidence during the course of this trial. MR. CHASSAING: You can try what you like. MR. PLEBAN: Do you know that I'm not permitted because I'll give you some cases that support my position. Do you have cases that once
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	on? MR. PLEBAN: I'm going to make my record. I'm going to make my record. MR. CHASSAING: Don't ask me to come back for more of this deposition. MR. PLEBAN: I'm not going to ask for anything. MR. CHASSAING: If you want to go after a theatrical stunt like that MR. PLEBAN: That's about the fifth time you told me about theatrics. It's the fifth time you've done it. You made the statements, but you don't give me a chance to respond. I'm going to respond.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and whether that has any effect on Mr. Martin's knowledge or any other witness that might testify during the depositions. It is a waste of time and it's argumentative in the rawest form, and I think we need to move on. MR. PLEBAN: Are you done? Because because I have to say that there will be polygraph evidence during the course of this trial. MR. CHASSAING: You can try what you like. MR. PLEBAN: Do you know that I'm not permitted because I'll give you some cases that support my position. Do you have cases that once again, you open your mouth, do you have kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	on? MR. PLEBAN: I'm going to make my record. I'm going to make my record. MR. CHASSAING: Don't ask me to come back for more of this deposition. MR. PLEBAN: I'm not going to ask for anything. MR. CHASSAING: If you want to go after a theatrical stunt like that MR. PLEBAN: That's about the fifth time you told me about theatrics. It's the fifth time you've done it. You made the statements, but you don't give me a chance to respond. I'm going to respond. MR. CHASSAING: Go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and whether that has any effect on Mr. Martin's knowledge or any other witness that might testify during the depositions. It is a waste of time and it's argumentative in the rawest form, and I think we need to move on. MR. PLEBAN: Are you done? Because because I have to say that there will be polygraph evidence during the course of this trial. MR. CHASSAING: You can try what you like. MR. PLEBAN: Do you know that I'm not permitted because I'll give you some cases that support my position. Do you have cases that once again, you open your mouth, do you have kind of like the attorney/client privilege that you wasted all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	on? MR. PLEBAN: I'm going to make my record. I'm going to make my record. MR. CHASSAING: Don't ask me to come back for more of this deposition. MR. PLEBAN: I'm not going to ask for anything. MR. CHASSAING: If you want to go after a theatrical stunt like that MR. PLEBAN: That's about the fifth time you told me about theatrics. It's the fifth time you've done it. You made the statements, but you don't give me a chance to respond. I'm going to respond. MR. CHASSAING: Go ahead. MR. PLEBAN: Once again, the reckless	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and whether that has any effect on Mr. Martin's knowledge or any other witness that might testify during the depositions. It is a waste of time and it's argumentative in the rawest form, and I think we need to move on. MR. PLEBAN: Are you done? Because because I have to say that there will be polygraph evidence during the course of this trial. MR. CHASSAING: You can try what you like. MR. PLEBAN: Do you know that I'm not permitted because I'll give you some cases that support my position. Do you have cases that once again, you open your mouth, do you have kind of like the attorney/client privilege that you wasted all of our time with on Wednesday at 200 bucks an hour.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	on? MR. PLEBAN: I'm going to make my record. I'm going to make my record. MR. CHASSAING: Don't ask me to come back for more of this deposition. MR. PLEBAN: I'm not going to ask for anything. MR. CHASSAING: If you want to go after a theatrical stunt like that MR. PLEBAN: That's about the fifth time you told me about theatrics. It's the fifth time you've done it. You made the statements, but you don't give me a chance to respond. I'm going to respond. MR. CHASSAING: Go ahead. MR. PLEBAN: Once again, the reckless statement that you made.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and whether that has any effect on Mr. Martin's knowledge or any other witness that might testify during the depositions. It is a waste of time and it's argumentative in the rawest form, and I think we need to move on. MR. PLEBAN: Are you done? Because because I have to say that there will be polygraph evidence during the course of this trial. MR. CHASSAING: You can try what you like. MR. PLEBAN: Do you know that I'm not permitted because I'll give you some cases that support my position. Do you have cases that once again, you open your mouth, do you have kind of like the attorney/client privilege that you wasted all of our time with on Wednesday at 200 bucks an hour. MR. CHASSAING: Privilege issues.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	on? MR. PLEBAN: I'm going to make my record. I'm going to make my record. MR. CHASSAING: Don't ask me to come back for more of this deposition. MR. PLEBAN: I'm not going to ask for anything. MR. CHASSAING: If you want to go after a theatrical stunt like that MR. PLEBAN: That's about the fifth time you told me about theatrics. It's the fifth time you've done it. You made the statements, but you don't give me a chance to respond. I'm going to respond. MR. CHASSAING: Go ahead. MR. PLEBAN: Once again, the reckless statement that you made. MR. CHASSAING: Reckless statement?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and whether that has any effect on Mr. Martin's knowledge or any other witness that might testify during the depositions. It is a waste of time and it's argumentative in the rawest form, and I think we need to move on. MR. PLEBAN: Are you done? Because because I have to say that there will be polygraph evidence during the course of this trial. MR. CHASSAING: You can try what you like. MR. PLEBAN: Do you know that I'm not permitted because I'll give you some cases that support my position. Do you have cases that once again, you open your mouth, do you have kind of like the attorney/client privilege that you wasted all of our time with on Wednesday at 200 bucks an hour. MR. CHASSAING: Privilege issues. MR. PLEBAN: We're talking about you wasted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	on? MR. PLEBAN: I'm going to make my record. I'm going to make my record. MR. CHASSAING: Don't ask me to come back for more of this deposition. MR. PLEBAN: I'm not going to ask for anything. MR. CHASSAING: If you want to go after a theatrical stunt like that MR. PLEBAN: That's about the fifth time you told me about theatrics. It's the fifth time you've done it. You made the statements, but you don't give me a chance to respond. I'm going to respond. MR. CHASSAING: Go ahead. MR. PLEBAN: Once again, the reckless statement that you made. MR. CHASSAING: Reckless statement? MR. PLEBAN: That my friend somehow did the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and whether that has any effect on Mr. Martin's knowledge or any other witness that might testify during the depositions. It is a waste of time and it's argumentative in the rawest form, and I think we need to move on. MR. PLEBAN: Are you done? Because because I have to say that there will be polygraph evidence during the course of this trial. MR. CHASSAING: You can try what you like. MR. PLEBAN: Do you know that I'm not permitted because I'll give you some cases that support my position. Do you have cases that once again, you open your mouth, do you have kind of like the attorney/client privilege that you wasted all of our time with on Wednesday at 200 bucks an hour. MR. CHASSAING: Privilege issues. MR. PLEBAN: We're talking about you wasted all of our time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	on? MR. PLEBAN: I'm going to make my record. I'm going to make my record. MR. CHASSAING: Don't ask me to come back for more of this deposition. MR. PLEBAN: I'm not going to ask for anything. MR. CHASSAING: If you want to go after a theatrical stunt like that MR. PLEBAN: That's about the fifth time you told me about theatrics. It's the fifth time you've done it. You made the statements, but you don't give me a chance to respond. I'm going to respond. MR. CHASSAING: Go ahead. MR. PLEBAN: Once again, the reckless statement that you made. MR. CHASSAING: Reckless statement? MR. PLEBAN: That my friend somehow did the polygraph. I don't even know the guy that did the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and whether that has any effect on Mr. Martin's knowledge or any other witness that might testify during the depositions. It is a waste of time and it's argumentative in the rawest form, and I think we need to move on. MR. PLEBAN: Are you done? Because because I have to say that there will be polygraph evidence during the course of this trial. MR. CHASSAING: You can try what you like. MR. PLEBAN: Do you know that I'm not permitted because I'll give you some cases that support my position. Do you have cases that once again, you open your mouth, do you have kind of like the attorney/client privilege that you wasted all of our time with on Wednesday at 200 bucks an hour. MR. CHASSAING: Privilege issues. MR. PLEBAN: We're talking about you wasted all of our time. MR. CHASSAING: I'm not saying anything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	on? MR. PLEBAN: I'm going to make my record. I'm going to make my record. MR. CHASSAING: Don't ask me to come back for more of this deposition. MR. PLEBAN: I'm not going to ask for anything. MR. CHASSAING: If you want to go after a theatrical stunt like that MR. PLEBAN: That's about the fifth time you told me about theatrics. It's the fifth time you've done it. You made the statements, but you don't give me a chance to respond. I'm going to respond. MR. CHASSAING: Go ahead. MR. PLEBAN: Once again, the reckless statement that you made. MR. CHASSAING: Reckless statement? MR. PLEBAN: That my friend somehow did the polygraph. I don't even know the guy that did the polygraph.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and whether that has any effect on Mr. Martin's knowledge or any other witness that might testify during the depositions. It is a waste of time and it's argumentative in the rawest form, and I think we need to move on. MR. PLEBAN: Are you done? Because because I have to say that there will be polygraph evidence during the course of this trial. MR. CHASSAING: You can try what you like. MR. PLEBAN: Do you know that I'm not permitted because I'll give you some cases that support my position. Do you have cases that once again, you open your mouth, do you have kind of like the attorney/client privilege that you wasted all of our time with on Wednesday at 200 bucks an hour. MR. CHASSAING: Privilege issues. MR. PLEBAN: We're talking about you wasted all of our time. MR. CHASSAING: I'm not saying anything further. I just need a ruling.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	on? MR. PLEBAN: I'm going to make my record. I'm going to make my record. MR. CHASSAING: Don't ask me to come back for more of this deposition. MR. PLEBAN: I'm not going to ask for anything. MR. CHASSAING: If you want to go after a theatrical stunt like that MR. PLEBAN: That's about the fifth time you told me about theatrics. It's the fifth time you've done it. You made the statements, but you don't give me a chance to respond. I'm going to respond. MR. CHASSAING: Go ahead. MR. PLEBAN: Once again, the reckless statement that you made. MR. CHASSAING: Reckless statement? MR. PLEBAN: That my friend somehow did the polygraph. I don't even know the guy that did the polygraph. MR. CHASSAING: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and whether that has any effect on Mr. Martin's knowledge or any other witness that might testify during the depositions. It is a waste of time and it's argumentative in the rawest form, and I think we need to move on. MR. PLEBAN: Are you done? Because because I have to say that there will be polygraph evidence during the course of this trial. MR. CHASSAING: You can try what you like. MR. PLEBAN: Do you know that I'm not permitted because I'll give you some cases that support my position. Do you have cases that once again, you open your mouth, do you have kind of like the attorney/client privilege that you wasted all of our time with on Wednesday at 200 bucks an hour. MR. CHASSAING: Privilege issues. MR. PLEBAN: We're talking about you wasted all of our time. MR. CHASSAING: I'm not saying anything further. I just need a ruling. MR. PLEBAN: Let me finish my record. You
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	on? MR. PLEBAN: I'm going to make my record. I'm going to make my record. MR. CHASSAING: Don't ask me to come back for more of this deposition. MR. PLEBAN: I'm not going to ask for anything. MR. CHASSAING: If you want to go after a theatrical stunt like that MR. PLEBAN: That's about the fifth time you told me about theatrics. It's the fifth time you've done it. You made the statements, but you don't give me a chance to respond. I'm going to respond. MR. CHASSAING: Go ahead. MR. PLEBAN: Once again, the reckless statement that you made. MR. CHASSAING: Reckless statement? MR. PLEBAN: That my friend somehow did the polygraph. I don't even know the guy that did the polygraph. MR. CHASSAING: Okay. MR. PLEBAN: You're frowning?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and whether that has any effect on Mr. Martin's knowledge or any other witness that might testify during the depositions. It is a waste of time and it's argumentative in the rawest form, and I think we need to move on. MR. PLEBAN: Are you done? Because because I have to say that there will be polygraph evidence during the course of this trial. MR. CHASSAING: You can try what you like. MR. PLEBAN: Do you know that I'm not permitted because I'll give you some cases that support my position. Do you have cases that once again, you open your mouth, do you have kind of like the attorney/client privilege that you wasted all of our time with on Wednesday at 200 bucks an hour. MR. CHASSAING: Privilege issues. MR. PLEBAN: We're talking about you wasted all of our time. MR. CHASSAING: I'm not saying anything further. I just need a ruling. MR. PLEBAN: Let me finish my record. You wasted all of our time on Wednesday making frivolous
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	on? MR. PLEBAN: I'm going to make my record. I'm going to make my record. MR. CHASSAING: Don't ask me to come back for more of this deposition. MR. PLEBAN: I'm not going to ask for anything. MR. CHASSAING: If you want to go after a theatrical stunt like that MR. PLEBAN: That's about the fifth time you told me about theatrics. It's the fifth time you've done it. You made the statements, but you don't give me a chance to respond. I'm going to respond. MR. CHASSAING: Go ahead. MR. PLEBAN: Once again, the reckless statement that you made. MR. CHASSAING: Reckless statement? MR. PLEBAN: That my friend somehow did the polygraph. I don't even know the guy that did the polygraph. MR. CHASSAING: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and whether that has any effect on Mr. Martin's knowledge or any other witness that might testify during the depositions. It is a waste of time and it's argumentative in the rawest form, and I think we need to move on. MR. PLEBAN: Are you done? Because because I have to say that there will be polygraph evidence during the course of this trial. MR. CHASSAING: You can try what you like. MR. PLEBAN: Do you know that I'm not permitted because I'll give you some cases that support my position. Do you have cases that once again, you open your mouth, do you have kind of like the attorney/client privilege that you wasted all of our time with on Wednesday at 200 bucks an hour. MR. CHASSAING: Privilege issues. MR. PLEBAN: We're talking about you wasted all of our time. MR. CHASSAING: I'm not saying anything further. I just need a ruling. MR. PLEBAN: Let me finish my record. You
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	on? MR. PLEBAN: I'm going to make my record. I'm going to make my record. MR. CHASSAING: Don't ask me to come back for more of this deposition. MR. PLEBAN: I'm not going to ask for anything. MR. CHASSAING: If you want to go after a theatrical stunt like that MR. PLEBAN: That's about the fifth time you told me about theatrics. It's the fifth time you've done it. You made the statements, but you don't give me a chance to respond. I'm going to respond. MR. CHASSAING: Go ahead. MR. PLEBAN: Once again, the reckless statement that you made. MR. CHASSAING: Reckless statement? MR. PLEBAN: That my friend somehow did the polygraph. I don't even know the guy that did the polygraph. MR. CHASSAING: Okay. MR. PLEBAN: You're frowning? MR. CHASSAING: Make your record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and whether that has any effect on Mr. Martin's knowledge or any other witness that might testify during the depositions. It is a waste of time and it's argumentative in the rawest form, and I think we need to move on. MR. PLEBAN: Are you done? Because because I have to say that there will be polygraph evidence during the course of this trial. MR. CHASSAING: You can try what you like. MR. PLEBAN: Do you know that I'm not permitted because I'll give you some cases that support my position. Do you have cases that once again, you open your mouth, do you have kind of like the attorney/client privilege that you wasted all of our time with on Wednesday at 200 bucks an hour. MR. CHASSAING: Privilege issues. MR. PLEBAN: We're talking about you wasted all of our time. MR. CHASSAING: I'm not saying anything further. I just need a ruling. MR. PLEBAN: Let me finish my record. You wasted all of our time on Wednesday making frivolous objections to an attorney/client privilege that you

Page 162 Page 164 I'm not here -- I'm here as the council -- as the 1 bucks or 250 bucks, whatever it was. I don't know. 1 2 It certainly wasted my time. 2 attorney for council members who will be witnesses, 3 3 MR. CHASSAING: Are you implying that I made and as part of that whole deal, I don't think I'm here frivolous objections in order to earn fees and charge 4 today in my role as a hearing officer. I think the 4 5 them to the City, is that what you're saying? 5 hearing officer role is on motions that are properly 6 MR. PLEBAN: I'm telling you. before the hearing officer. 6 7 MR. CHASSAING: Are you saving that? 7 I'll just ask Chet to move it along if you 8 MR. PLEBAN: You made frivolous objections 8 can ask a couple questions on this because you know 9 and you know they're frivolous. That's what I'm 9 where it's going and then we'll argue the telling you. 10 admissibility or -- of any lie detector evidence at 10 MR. CHASSAING: You said I did that to earn 11 11 the hearing. 12 money? 12 MR. PLEBAN: I hear that we wasted the last 13 MR. PLEBAN: As a consequence. 13 ten minutes arguing about this issue so we could have MR. CHASSAING: To run up the City's bills, 14 had this question asked and answered. 14 is that what you're saying, Mr. Pleban? Have the guts 15 MR. CHASSAING: Are you available tomorrow 15 to say that if that's what you mean. to go to Circuit Court with me so I can get a 16 16 MR. PLEBAN: Listen to what you're saying. 17 commercial master appointed in this case as it takes 17 MR. CHASSAING: You're quibbling. 18 18 place in the future? 19 MR. PLEBAN: Making a frivolous objection 19 MR. PLEBAN: I'm planning to be here. 20 and you knew that you made a frivolous objection and 20 MR. CHASSAING: I'm going to invite you to 21 you got paid for it. Is there something about that 21 go to Circuit Court with me to find a judge to put 22 you don't understand? 22 together a petition and seek a special master to curb 23 MR. CHASSAING: To run up the bills, is that 23 your questions. 24 what you're saying? You don't want to talk about 24 MR. PLEBAN: So you're not going to be here 25 that. 25 tomorrow? We're canceling the depositions? What are Page 163 Page 165 1 1 MR. PLEBAN: Well, did you know it was we doing? 2 frivolous? Did you know it was frivolous? Let me ask 2 MR. CHASSAING: We'll discuss it when we 3 3 you this. Did you not know that your own client finish the day. 4 waived the privilege? Did you not know that? Did you 4 MR. PLEBAN: Let's discuss it now. not know that? 5 5 MR. CHASSAING: I intend to go to Circuit 6 6 MR. CHASSAING: Mr. Maupin, can I have a Court and call for a special master. 7 7 MR. PLEBAN: I heard that. ruling? 8 8 MR. MAUPIN: I don't think I'm here as a MR. CHASSAING: I'm going to find out and 9 9 special master on this deposition, and I don't think see who can see us at 8:30. 10 that is the -- I'm the hearing examiner for evidence 10 MR. PLEBAN: Are you planning not to be that comes before the council, not in a deposition. I 11 11 here? think it's a different role, but I will say that if 12 12 MR. CHASSAING: It depends if I can talk to 13 Mr. Pleban expects to attempt to introduce evidence of 13 a judge about a special master, Chet. MR. PLEBAN: You need to give us notice. I 14 a lie detector at the hearing, that's set for the 14 27th, I, as a hearing examiner, certainly appreciate 15 need to see what pleading you're going to file. 15 MR. CHASSAING: I'll fax it over to you. 16 authority to that extent prior to the hearing so that 16 17 we can move forward in some respect with the ruling at 17 I'll email it to you. 18 the appropriate time. 18 MR. PLEBAN: Stop the arrogance. MR. PLEBAN: Right. I intend to provide it. 19 MR. CHASSAING: Me stop the arrogance? 19 20 Now can we move on with my questions? 20 MR. PLEBAN: Stop the nonsense. Stop the 21 MR. CHASSAING: Do I understand at this time 21 nonsense. I'm unimpressed. 22 you're not going to require this examination to move 22 MR. CHASSAING: Madam reporter, go back to 23 23 on to another topic? the last question before our exchange began, please. 24 24 (The reporter read the requested MR. PLEBAN: I am. 25 25 MR. MAUPIN: I'm going to let -- actually, material.)

THE WITNESS: Can I amend my answer so maybe we avoid the polygraph discussion?
BY MR. PLEBAN

Q Sir, you can amend at any time any answer that you've given here today as long as we're on the record before we complete this deposition. Feel free.

A Okay. To the best of my knowledge, Adam never asked me for any kind of legal review. The city manager suggested that possibility and that never happened, but I don't know if I received anything from Adam asking for guidance on any legal aspect of procedure.

Q Why did that never happen?

A I've never done it for anybody else. And he had spoken with Mayor Pirrello for several hours, as I understand it, and he had also spoken with Kate and Kevin and I wasn't aware that he had any specific questions. I did not know what to tell him in terms of whatever it is he was looking for.

Q Were you aware that he desired a legal orientation?

A No, I wouldn't put it that way.

Q What did Mr. Bookout tell you?

A That legal orientation or the -- keep calling this a legal orientation, that --

Page 168

Page 169

A What do you think about this idea, question?

Q And what did you tell Mr. Bookout?

A At that point, I basically -- I don't recall what I told him, but, obviously, we never met.

Q So you have no recollection at all what your response to Mr. Bookout was?

A No.

Q Did Mr. Bookout encourage or ask you about this meeting more than once?

A I can't say that he did. It might have --

Q Can you say that he didn't?

A No, I can't. I don't know -- I don't know if he -- he might have brought it up a couple of times. I know Adam kept saying, Where is my legal review, legal orientation, whatever you wanted to call it

Q How do you know he said that?

A Because he was emailing Kevin, and I would get a co of the email.

Q So -- so Adam was emailing Kevin Bookout asking for a legal orientation, you weren't aware of that, Kevin Bookout was asking you about a legal orientation and you don't give this young mayor any sort of legal orientation or whatever it is that you want to call it, is that my -- my understanding of all

Page 167

Page 166

Q I just want to know what Mr. Bookout told you, that's what I want to know.

A Mr. Bookout suggested we get together with Adam to, I don't know, talk about what, but he suggested that we get together with Adam.

Q So, wait a minute. Kevin Bookout comes to you and suggests that you get as the legal -- as the city attorney to get together with Adam Paul and you don't know what that's about?

A I don't recall specifically. It had to do with running meetings, I think.

Q So your answer -- how many times were you asked to meet with Adam Paul to talk about whatever it was that he wanted to talk about?

A I don't think I was asked by Adam once.

Q I didn't ask you that, Mr. Martin. I asked you how many times were you asked to meet with Adam Paul.

A I don't know.

O More than ten?

A No. I don't know, it could even be characterized as being asked, but --

Q What would you say to Mr. Bookout? What did you interpret that as, if not being asked to meet with Adam Paul?

this?

A Well, to be technical, I don't think Adam ever asked for a legal orientation.

Q Sir, you were aware that he was looking for that, either by talking to Kevin or talking to Adam or through emails?

A I'm aware that I think he was looking for somebody to blame.

Q Somebody to blame?

A Yes, and he would tell Kevin, Where is my, you know -- this is the second time that I've been denied my legal orientation, or whatever it was. I don't know what he described it as, but he seemed to be looking for somebody to blame rather than actually asking for information.

Q So is that why you refused to meet with him to straighten him out?

A Really don't. I mean, I -- I can't tell you except that I just didn't want to do that.

Q Okay. Now we're getting somewhere. And the reason that you didn't want to do that, Mr. Martin, because -- was because you were offended by some things that Adam said about you during the campaign; isn't that true?

A I am not aware of anything he said about me

3/20/2013 Paul Martin

Page 170 Page 172 1 during the campaign. 1 second question I stumbled on and, frankly, was 2 Q Did you ever make any statement to anyone 2 embarrassed about and --3 3 that the reason that you didn't give him any sort of O You were embarrassed about it? 4 legal orientation or whatever you want to call it was 4 A Yes. 5 because you were offended by some things that had been 5 Q Okay. 6 6 said about you during his campaign? Did you make that A And then the next day or two after that, it 7 7 statement to anyone? became just a little public issue. I was in a blog, I 8 A I don't know that I joined the two. I said 8 believe, in The Patch, and someone said something 9 I was offended by a statement that he made after the 9 about, Oh, he really gave to the lawyer or something 10 TIF commission meeting. 10 like that and Adam's response was, Yeah, I love to see 11 Q And to whom did you say that? 11 the lawyer squirm. O And that was something that you read in The 12 A Might have been Adam. 12 13 Q And what did you tell him in that regard? 13 Patch that the author attributed to Adam? 14 A Well, I think the conversation was in the 14 A It was what I assumed to be Adam's response 15 15 context of moving forward and building bridges, and I to that comment. 16 said, you know, trying to explain that he should try 16 Q Okay. And that's what you took offense to? 17 to build bridges with the council. I suggested that 17 A Yes. 18 apologies would be appropriate, and I said as an 18 Q You brought that up to Adam when? 19 example, I didn't -- I perhaps didn't say as an 19 A After he was elected. 20 example, but I said something to the effect, When you 20 Q Yeah, I know that, but how far after he was 21 did this, I was personally offended. 21 elected? 22 Q You did what? 22 A I don't recall. 23 A It's a very stupid matter in retrospect. 23 Q Did you bring that up to him before or after 24 24 you made the note about the May 2nd conversation? He --25 25 Q Well, this whole thing is a stupid matter in A I can't tell you that I recall. I think it Page 171 Page 173 1 was after. I think it might have been that night. I 1 my opinion, Mr. Martin, but go ahead. 2 2 MR. CHASSAING: I move to strike the think it might have been that night. 3 3 Q Which night are we talking about? comments from the record. THE WITNESS: He -- he --4 4 A May 2nd. 5 MR. CHASSAING: Was that a question? It 5 Q When you're having this telephone 6 wasn't a question. I'll move to strike that from the 6 conversation with him on May 2nd? 7 7 record. A There was a meeting that night. I think it 8 8 might have been at the meeting. Again, I think it THE WITNESS: He --MR. PLEBAN: Did you not know that was not a 9 9 might have been. I don't really recall. 10 question? Did you not know that was just a statement 10 Q I'm confused. Let me back up just a minute. that I made? 11 11 And maybe I misunderstood this, but this conversation 12 MR. MAUPIN: Gentlemen. Come on, the day's 12 you had about this so-called confidential memo. long. Go ahead and --13 13 A Uh-huh. 14 BY MR. PLEBAN 14 Q I thought I understood you to say that was 15 O Go ahead. 15 by phone? Did you have a telephone conversation? 16 A At the TIF commission meeting, he -- I 16 A Yes, yes. 17 believe he asked a couple of questions and --17 Q But you're saying that there was a meeting 18 Q This is before he's elected? 18 on May the 2nd? A Maybe. 19 19 A Yes. 20 Q Go ahead, go ahead. 20 Q And so this conversation that you had with A That's probably true. My timing's not 21 21 Adam Paul, was that before or after the meeting?

22

23

24

25

A Before.

during the TIF commission?

Q And then after the meeting, you had a

conversation with him about a comment that he had made

22

23

24

25

necessarily right on there. And he asked a couple of

questions so I stood up to answer. I think I

effectively answered one of the questions -- the

questions. No one from the City was answering those

Page 174 Page 176 1 A Perhaps. That's my best recollection, but 1 A I don't know. 2 2 I'm not certain. Q Can you do any better than that? 3 3 Q Well, did you put that into your notes MR. CHASSAING: Objection. That's 4 anyplace? 4 argumentative. You can answer if you want to. 5 A No. 5 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 6 6 Q Why not? BY MR. PLEBAN 7 7 A Because I didn't think that was anything Q Did you ask the city clerk whether she asked 8 8 that might be an impeachable offense. Ed O'Reilly where he got that information? 9 9 Q Were you surprised that Adam Paul won the A No, I did not. 10 election? 10 Q Item four: On a date unknown, but prior to 11 A No. 11 December 15th, Mayor Paul spoke at one of our 12 Q You thought he was going to win all along? 12 closed-session real estate discussions of the city 13 A I wasn't surprised. Did I think he was 13 council concerning the possible reuse of the Tri-Star going to win all along? I don't know that I can go 14 14 dealership property. Do you see that? 15 15 that far, but I wasn't surprised. A Yes. 16 Q Did you harbor any beliefs that Adam Paul 16 Q What's your evidence of that? 17 won the election that you weren't going to be retained 17 A Only that on December 15th, there was a 18 as a city attorney? 18 blog, a comment on The Patch blog about the possible 19 19 reuse of the Tri-Star dealership, and I assumed it was A No. 20 Q That never entered your mind? 20 an associate or a friend of Adam's. 21 21 Q So you accused this man of misfeasance or 22 22 Q Allegation number A3 says: On a date malfeasance on an assumption? 23 23 A Well, I did ask everyone else if they shared unknown, but prior to October 30th, 2012, Mayor Paul 24 24 disclosed the contents of a closed-session personnel that information and the answer was no so --25 25 discussion of the city council concerning the O Did vou ask Adam Paul? Page 175 Page 177 1 1 extension of city manager's severance package. What A No. 2 2 Q Why not? evidence do you have to support that? 3 3 A I don't know. A That came from Kate Demeter. We had a 4 council meeting at which that issue was discussed, and 4 Q Backing up to three, it says: On a date 5 the next day or two, Ed O'Reilly called Kate and said 5 unknown prior to October 30th, disclosure of closed 6 I understand that the council was considering 6 session issues. Did you bring that to the attention 7 7 extending the city manager's severance package. of Adam Paul? 8 8 Q Okay. Got any other evidence of that? A No, I did not. 9 A No. I asked everybody else if they did it 9 Q If he's -- whether he knew it was right or 10 10 and they said no. I did not ask Adam. wrong, if he's disclosing confidential information, 11 Q Before you put that allegation in here, did 11 why wouldn't you, as the city attorney, go to him 12 you contact Ed O'Reilly? 12 either in memo form, email form, or verbally and tell 13 13 A No. him that you can't do this? 14 14 Q You know who he is, right? A There isn't a good reason for it. 15 A Yes. 15 Q So you were content then with the belief 16 Q You know he's a former mayor? 16 that he was disclosing confidential information to let 17 17 A Yes. that continue? 18 18 Q And you know he's got friends and A I did not think that through at the time. 19 19 acquaintances in the city, don't you? Q Well, what's your answer today? You were 20 A Well, you mean in the City administration? 20 content then to let that continue? 21 No, I don't know that. I assume he has friends in the 21 A I did not think that through at the time so 22 22 I don't know if content is the correct word to use. 23 Q Well, if you were going to put this 23 Q What would you say? 24 allegation in and attribute that to this disclosure to 24 A Just what I said. I did not think that 25 Adam Paul, why wouldn't you contact Ed O'Reilly? 25 through at the time.

Description of the pest interest of the City of Ellisville? A Yes. O by you think that was something that was in the best interest of the City of Ellisville? MR CHASSAING: Which was what, what was in the best interest of the City of Ellisville? Name Chassaing that the pest interest of the City of Ellisville? Name Chassaing that the pest interest of the City of Ellisville? Name Chassaing that the pest interest of the City of Ellisville? Name Chassaing that the post interest of the City of Ellisville? Name Chassaing that the time, and I issues restating to the disclosure of confidential information from city half? A I didn't think about it at the time, and I issues restating to the disclosure of confidential information. A I didn't think about it at the time, and I issues restating to the disclosure of confidential information. A I didn't think about it at the time, and I issues restating to the disclosure of confidential information. A I didn't think about it at the time, and I issues restating to the disclosure of confidential information from city half? A Correct. A Correct. A Correct. A Correct. A Correct. A Correct. A I don't know how to answer that question. I think trooper today, I think it was a mistake. D Du you think it constitutes malfeasance or misfeasance or misfeasance or misfeasance or misfeasance. A I don't know how to answer that question. I think trooper today, I think it was a mistake. D Well, I didn't ask about a mistake. I asked D Well, I didn't ask about a mistake. I asked D Well, I didn't ask about a mistake. D Were people at Tri-Star involved in those conversations? A I don't know. MR PLEBAN MR PLEBAN A I don't know how to answer that question. I think trooper today. I think it was a mistake. D Were people at Tri-Star involved in those conversations? A I don't know. MR PLEBAN A I don't know how to answer that question. Page 179 Page 181 A I don't know. MR PLEBAN MR PLEBAN A I don't know how to answer that question. Page 189 MR PLEBAN A I don't know		Page 178		Page 180
or instruct him about that, yet you made a note of it on your computer, right? A Yes. Q Do you think that was something that was in the best interest of the City of Ellisville? MR. CHASSAING: Which was what, what was in the best interest of the City of Ellisville? Not going to Adam Paul to discuss with him issues relating to the disclosure of confidential information. A I didn't think about it at the time, and I think the answer would be no. Q The answer would be no, Think the answer would be no, The Actorect. Q And do you believe then that that failure constitutes malfeasance or misfeasance on your part? A Of —I think it was a mistake. Q Well, I didn't ask about a mistake. A I don't know how to answer that question. I to any you whether or not as you sit here today whether you consider that your behavior in that regard as malfeasance or misfeasance. A I think retrospect today, I think it was a mistake. Q Well, I didn't ask about a mistake. A I think retrospect today, I think it was a mistake. A I think retrospect or mot as you sit here today whether you consider that your behavior in that regard as malfeasance or misfeasance, misfeasance on one of the above? MR. PLEBAN A Humil Now. Q Were people at Tri-Star involved in those conversations? Page 179 Q You can't decide whether it's malfeasance, misfeasance or none of the above? MR. PLEBAN A I think I've given you the best answer that I can give you. Q Oy us can't decide whether it's malfeasance, misfeasance or none of the above? MR. PLEBAN MR. PLEBAN A No. Q Did you ever ask him if he posted that on The Patch blog; right? A I don't know one whether put whether or not the pland bitand information from city half from any source? A No. Q Did you ever ask him what he knew about tit? A From Tri-Star, whatever the corporate entity is that owns Tri-Star ushed about this? A Porchasing it? A Porchasing	1	O But yet you didn't go to him to caution him	1	they might have obtained confidential as you call
3 on your computer, right? 4 A Yes 5 Q Do you think that was something that was in the best interest of the City of Ellisville? 5 MR CHASSAING: Which was what, what was in the best interest? 6 Bry MR, PLEBAN 6 Q Not going to Adam Paul to discuss with him issues relating to the disclosure of confidential information from city hall? 7 A Mr. O'Reilly, perhaps. 9 Q Not going to Adam Paul to discuss with him issues relating to the disclosure of confidential information. 10 Q Not going to Adam Paul to discuss with him issues relating to the disclosure of confidential information. 11 didn't think about it at the time, and I think the answer would be no. 12 Q The answer would be no. 13 A I didn't himk about that that failure constitutes malfeasance or misfeasance or mi		- •		
4 Q. Are you aware of any individual out therewho he best interest of the City of Ellisville? 5 MR. CHASSAING: Which was what, what was in the best interest? 6 MR. CHASSAING: Which was what, what was in the best interest? 7 MR. CHASSAING: Which was what, what was in the best interest? 8 MR. CHASSAING: Which was what, what was in the best interest of the City of Ellisville? 9 BY MR. PLEBAN 10 O Not going to Adam Paul to discuss with him issues relating to the disclosure of confidential information. 11 information. 12 A I didn't think about it at the time, and I think the answer would be no, what, that it's not in the best interest of the City of Ellisville? 11 A Correct. 12 Q And do you believe then that that failure constitutes malfeasance or misfeasance on misfeasance or misfeasance or most of the above and think retrospect today, I think it was a mistake. 12 Q Do you think it constitutes malfeasance or misfeasance or misfeasance or misfeasance or misfeasance. 13 A I don't know bow to answer that question. I you whether or not as you sit here today whether you consider that your behavior in that regard as malfeasance or misfeasance. 14 A I think I've given you the best answer that can give you. 15 Q Vou can't decide whether it's malfeasance, misfeasance or misfeasance. 16 A I think I've given you the best answer that can give you. 17 Q Vou can't decide whether it's malfeasance, misfeasance or none of the above? 18 MR CHASSAING: Mish ke's answered the question a couple of times. 19 Q Month, two months, three months? 20 A T ald don't know. 21 A I don't know work on that regard as malfeasance or misfeasance. 22 misfeasance or none of the above? 23 MR CHASSAING: Mish ke's answered the question a couple of times. 24 A I think I've given you the best answer that can give you. 25 Q T me assuming you never asked Adam about this Tri-Star deal, never went to ask him if he posted that on the Patch blog, right? 26 A P were people at Tri-Star were involved in those discussions? 27 A G T and think			l	
6 the best interest of the City of Ellisville? 7 MR. CHASSAING: Which was what, what was in the best interest? 8 BY MR. PLEBAN 10 Q Not going to Adam Paul to discuss with him issues relating to the disclosure of confidential information. 11 issues relating to the disclosure of confidential information. 12 information. 13 A I didn't think about it at the time, and I think the answer would be no. 14 think the answer would be no. 15 Q The answer would be no. 16 in the best interest of the City of Ellisville? 17 A Correct. 18 Q And do you believe then that that failure constitutes malfeasance or misfeasance or misfeas		• •	4	O Are you aware of any individual out there
the best interest of the City of Ellisville? MR. CHASSAING: Which was what, what was in the best interest? Not going to Adam Paul to discuss with him issues relating to the disclosure of confidential information. A I doin't think about it at the time, and I think the answer would be no. O The answer would be no, what, that it's not in the best interest of the City of Ellisville? A Correct. O And do you believe then that that failure constitutes malfeasance or misfeasance on your part? A Correct. O And do you believe then that that failure constitutes malfeasance or misfeasance on your part? A I don't know how to answer that question. I think they so whether or not as you sit here today whether you consider that your behavior in that regard as malfeasance or misfeasance. A I think I've given you the best answer that I can give you. MR. CHASSAING: I think he's answered the question a couple of times. A I think I've given you never asked Adam about this Tri-Star deal, never went to ask him if he posted that on the Patch blog, right? A I don't know. Yeape 179 MR. PLEBAN: A I don't know. A I don't know. A I don't know. A I don't know. O So Tri-Star was involved in some discussions about relocation issues with the City of Ellisville, correct? A Yes. O So Tri-Star was involved in some discussions? A I don't know. O Now, back in December, how many employees were there in the City of Ellisville? A Tri-Star'still there now. O Os, hash in December, how many employees were there in the City of Ellisville? A Tri-Star'still there now. O Os, hash in December, how many employees were there in the City of Ellisville? A Tri-Star'still there now. O Os, hash in December, how many employees were there in the City of Ellisville? A Tri-Star'still there now. A Tri-Star'still there now. A I don't know. A I don't know. O Were people at Tri-Star involved in those conversations? A I don't know. Tri-Star. Kevin did, city manager. A Poll of think it ever said that Adam posted in those discussions? A Po	5	O Do you think that was something that was in	5	
the best interest? NR. CHASSAING: Which was what, what was in the set interest? No. Not going to Adam Paul to discuss with him issue relating to the disclosure of confidential information. A I didn't think about it at the time, and I think the answer would be no. O Phe answer would be no, think the answer would be no, what, that it's not in the best interest of the City of Ellisville? A Correct. A Of — I think it was a mistake. O Do you think it constitutes malfeasance or misfeasance on purp rat? A I don't know how to answer that question. I think crosspect today, I think it was a mistake. Q Well, I didn't ask about a mistake. I asked Page 179 Page 179 Page 179 Page 179 Page 179 A I didn't know how to answer that regard as malfeasance or misfeasance. A I think I've given you the best answer that I can give you. Q You can't decide whether it's malfeasance, misfeasance or none of the above? MR. CHASSAING: I think he's answered the question a couple of times. MR. PI-BRAN: Is that right, sir? THE WITNESS: Answered the question. MR. PI-BRAN: Is that right, sir? A I don't know. Q Vin assuming you never asked Adam about this on The Patch blog, right? A I don't know. Q I'm assuming you never asked Adam about this on The Patch blog, right? A I don't know. Q Did you ever ask anybody else, did you ever interview a single witness outside the city council and whether or not they had obtained information from city hall from any source? A No. A O Did you ever ask anybody else, did	6	- •	l	, ,
the best interest? BY MR PLEBAN Q Not going to Adam Paul to discuss with him issues relating to the disclosure of confidential information. A I didn't think bout it at the time, and I think the answer would be no, what, that it's not in the best interest of the City of Ellisville? A Correct. Q And do you believe then that that failure consider that would it constitutes malfeasance or misfeasance or more of the above the duting the mistake. A I think revergete today whether you consider that your behavior in that regard as malfeasance or misfeasance or misfeasance. A I think revergete today whether you consider that your behavior in that regard as malfeasance or misfeasance or misfeasance. A I don't know. A I	7		7	·
Page 179 Page 179 Page 179 Page 179 Page 179 Page 170 Page 171 Italian States on your part? A Idon't know. A Idon't know. Page 179 Page 179 Page 179 Page 179 Page 179 Page 179 Page 170	8		8	
10 Not going to Adam Paul to discuss with him 10 information. 12 information. 12 information. 12 information. 13 A I didn't think about it at the time, and I think the answer would be no. 14 think the answer would be no. 14 think the answer would be no. 14 Adam Paul disclosed these confidential communications, was Tri-Star still there in their building? A Tri-Star's still there in their buildi			9	- '
issues relating to the disclosure of confidential information. A I didn't think about it at the time, and I think the answer would be no. 4 A I didn't think about it at the time, and I think the answer would be no. what, that it's not in the best interest of the City of Ellisville? A Correct. A Correct. A Correct. A OfI think it was a mistake. D O Do you think it constitutes malfeasance or misfeasance on your part? A I don't know how to answer that question. I think retrospect today, I think it was a mistake. D Well, I didn't ask about a mistake. I can give you. Page 179 you whether or not as you sit here today whether you consider that your behavior in that regard as malfeasance or misfeasance. A I think I've given you the best answer that I can give you. MR. PLEBAN: Is that right, sir? TIT-Star deal, never went to ask him if he posted that on The Patch blog, right? MR. PLEBAN: Is that right, sir? TIT-Star deal, never went to ask him if he posted that on The Patch blog, right? A No. O Did you ever ask anybody else, did you ever interve a single witness outside the city ouncil about whether or not they had obtained information from city hall from any source? A A bout O Did you ever ask anybody else, did you ever interve a single witness outside the city ouncil about whether or not they had obtained information from city hall from any source? A About D O Did you ever ask anybody else, did you ever interved a new themses outside the city ouncil about whether or not they had obtained information from city hall from any source? A About A About D No No. A No. D O Did you ever ask anybody else, did you ever interview a single witness outside the city ouncil about whether or not they had obtained information from city hall from any source? A No. D O Did you ever ask anybody else, did you ever interview a single witness outside the city ouncil ab			1	- •
Information	11		11	O Now, back in December when you claim that
A I didn't think about it at the time, and I think the answer would be no. O The answer would be no, what, that it's not in the best interest of the City of Ellisville? A Correct. O And do you believe then that that failure constitutes malfeasance or misfeasance or misfeasance or or misfeasance o		9	12	
think the answer would be no. 15 Q The answer would be no, what, that it's not in the best interest of the City of Ellisville? 17 A Correct. 18 Q And do you believe then that that failure constitutes malfeasance or misfeasance on your part? 20 And do you believe then that that failure constitutes malfeasance or misfeasance or operative misfeasance or misfeasance or operative misfeasance on your part? 21 Q Do you think it constitutes malfeasance or misfeasance or misfeasance on your part? 22 misfeasance on your part? 23 A I don't know how to answer that question. I think retrospect today, I think it was a mistake. 24 Q Were people at Tri-Star involved in those conversations? 25 Q Well, I didn't ask about a mistake. I asked 26 Q Well, I didn't ask about a mistake. I asked 27 Page 179 28 A I didn't have any conversations with Tri-Star. Kevin did, city manager. 29 A I didn't have any conversations with Tri-Star. Kevin did, city manager. 30 Q So Tri-Star was involved in some discussions about relocation issues with the City of Ellisville, correct? 31 A I don't know. 32 A I didn't have any conversations with Tri-Star. Kevin did, city manager. 33 A I really don't know. 34 A Tri-Star. Kevin did, city manager. 45 A Yes. 46 Q You can't decide whether it's malfeasance, misfeasance or none of the above? 47 MR, PLEBAN. Is that right, sir? 48 Tri-Star deal, never went to ask him if he posted that on The Patch blog, right? 49 A No. 40 Purchasing it. 40 Purchasing it. 41 Q Purchasing it. 42 Q Purchasing it. 43 A I don't know. 44 A I don't know. 45 Tri-Star Mercedes? 46 A Yes. 47 A I don't know. 48 A I didn't have any conversations with Tri-Star Mercedes dealership property, what was that about, what were you doing? 48 A Tri-Star Mercedes? 49 A No. 40 Did you ever ask anybody else, did you ever interview a single witness outside the city council about whether or not they had obtained information from city hall from any source? 40 Do you know whether or not Tri-Star — the people at Tri-Star (13		13	*
in the best interest of the City of Ellisville? A Correct. Q And do you believe then that that failure constitutes malfeasance or misfeasance on your part? A Of — I think it was a mistake. Q Do you think it constitutes malfeasance or misfeasance on your part? A I don't know how to answer that question. I think retrospect today, I think it was a mistake. Q Well, I didn't ask about a mistake. I asked Page 179 you whether or not as you sit here today whether you consider that your behavior in that regard as malfeasance or misfeasance. A I think I've given you the best answer that I can give you. Q You can't decide whether it's malfeasance, misfeasance or none of the above? MR. CHASSAING: I think he's answered the question a couple of times. MR. PLEBAN: Is that right, sir? THE WITNESS: Answered the question. BY MR. PLEBAN: Is that right, sir? Tri-Star deal, never went to ask him if he posted that on The Patch blog, right? A I don't know. Q I'm assuming you never asked Adam about this on The Patch blog, right? A No. Q Did you ever ask inim what he knew about it? A No. Q Did you ever ask inim what he knew about it? A No. Q Did you ever ask inim what he knew about it? A A About — 10 Q Did you ever ask inim what he knew about it? A A About — 11 A I didn't have any conversations with Tri-Star. Kevin did, city manager. Q So Tri-Star was involved in some discussions about relocation issues with the City of Ellisville, correct? A Yes. Q How many people at Tri-Star were involved in those discussions? Q I massuming you never asked Adam about this Tri-Star deal, never went to ask him if he posted that on The Patch blog, right? A No. Q Did you ever ask inim what he knew about it? A No. Q Did you ever ask inim what he knew about it? A No. Q Did you ever ask inim what he knew about it? A No. Q Did you over ask anybody else, did you ever interview a single witness outside the city council about whether or not they had obtained information from city hall from any source? 24 A About — 25 Correct? A Yes. C Prom Tri-St	14		14	_
in the best interest of the City of Ellisville? A Correct. Q And do you believe then that that failure constitutes malfeasance or misfeasance on your part? A Of — I think it was a mistake. Q Do you think it constitutes malfeasance or misfeasance on your part? A I don't know how to answer that question. I think retrospect today, I think it was a mistake. Q Well, I didn't ask about a mistake. I asked Page 179 you whether or not as you sit here today whether you consider that your behavior in that regard as malfeasance or misfeasance. A I think I've given you the best answer that I can give you. Q You can't decide whether it's malfeasance, misfeasance or none of the above? MR. CHASSAING: I think he's answered the question a couple of times. MR. PLEBAN: Is that right, sir? THE WITNESS: Answered the question. BY MR. PLEBAN: Is that right, sir? Tri-Star deal, never went to ask him if he posted that on The Patch blog, right? A I don't know. Q I'm assuming you never asked Adam about this on The Patch blog, right? A No. Q Did you ever ask inim what he knew about it? A No. Q Did you ever ask inim what he knew about it? A No. Q Did you ever ask inim what he knew about it? A A About — 10 Q Did you ever ask inim what he knew about it? A A About — 11 A I didn't have any conversations with Tri-Star. Kevin did, city manager. Q So Tri-Star was involved in some discussions about relocation issues with the City of Ellisville, correct? A Yes. Q How many people at Tri-Star were involved in those discussions? Q I massuming you never asked Adam about this Tri-Star deal, never went to ask him if he posted that on The Patch blog, right? A No. Q Did you ever ask inim what he knew about it? A No. Q Did you ever ask inim what he knew about it? A No. Q Did you ever ask inim what he knew about it? A No. Q Did you over ask anybody else, did you ever interview a single witness outside the city council about whether or not they had obtained information from city hall from any source? 24 A About — 25 Correct? A Yes. C Prom Tri-St	15	O The answer would be no, what, that it's not	15	O Okay. And so there were discussions that
17	16		16	
18	17	· ·	17	
constitutes malfeasance or misfeasance or your part? A Of I think it was a mistake. Q Do you think it constitutes malfeasance or misfeasance or opour part? A I don't know how to answer that question. I think retrospect today, I think it was a mistake. Q Well, I didn't ask about a mistake. I asked Page 179 you whether or not as you sit here today whether you consider that your behavior in that regard as malfeasance or misfeasance. A I think I've given you the best answer that I can give you. MR. CHASAING: I think he's answered the question a couple of times. MR. PLEBAN: Is that right, sir? THE WITNESS: Answered the question. BY MR. PLEBAN WR. PLEBAN Q I'm assuming you never asked Adam about this Ti-Star deal, never went to ask him if he posted that on The Patch blog, right? A No. Q Did you ever ask anybody else, did you ever interview a single winess outside the city council about whether or not they had obtained information from city hall from any source? A About A About A Ahout a mistake. A I don't know. Q Month, two months, three months? A I den't know. Q Merty demonths, three months? A I didn't know. Tri-Star involved in those conversations with Tri-Star: Kevin did, city manager. Q So Tri-Star was involved in some discussions about relocation issues with the City of Ellisville, correct? A Yes. Q How many people at Tri-Star were involved in those discussions? A I don't know. Q How many people at Tri-Star were involved in those discussions? A I don't know. A I don't know. Q How many people at Tri-Star were involved in those discussions? A I don't know. A I don't know. A I didn't have any conversations with Tri-Star was involved in some discussions about relocation issues with the City of Ellisville, correct? A Yes. A I don't know. A I didn't have any conversations with Tri-Star was involved in those deal of the City of Ellisville, correct? A Yes. A I don't know. A I didn't have any conversations with Tri-Star was involved in those deal of the City of Ellisv	18		18	
A Of I think it was a mistake. Q Do you think it constitutes malfeasance or misfeasance on your part? A I don't know how to answer that question. I think retrospect today, I think it was a mistake. Q Well, I didn't ask about a mistake. I asked Take to think retrospect today, I think it was a mistake. Q Well, I didn't ask about a mistake. I asked Take to think retrospect today, I think it was a mistake. Q Well, I didn't ask about a mistake. I asked Take to think retrospect today, I think it was a mistake. Q Were people at Tri-Star involved in those conversations? Page 179 Page 181 Page 181 A I didn't have any conversations with Tri-Star kevin did, city manager. Q So Tri-Star was involved in some discussions about relocation issues with the City of Ellisville, correct? A Yes. Q How many people at Tri-Star were involved in those discussions? A I don't know. A I time retrospect today, I think it was a mistake. Q So Tri-Star involved in those conversations with Tri-Star was involved in some discussions about relocation issues with the City of Ellisville, correct? A Yes. Q How many people at Tri-Star were involved in those discussions? A I don't know. Q So Tri-Star involved in those conversations with Tri-Star was involved in some discussions about relocation issues with the City of Ellisville, correct? A Yes. Q How many people at Tri-Star Mercedes dealership property, what was that about, what were your doing? A I don't know. Q How many people at Tri-Star Mercedes dealership property, what was that about, what were your doing? A Purchasing it. A Purchasing it. A Yes. Q Purchasing it. A Yes. Q Prom whom—they owned it or somebody else own it? A No. Q Did you ever ask anybody else, did you ever interview a single winess outside the city council about whether or not they had obtained information from city hall from any source? A About — A A				
Q Do you think it constitutes malfeasance or misfeasance on your part?	20	· ·		~
misfeasance on your part? A I don't know how to answer that question. I think retrospect today, I think it was a mistake. Q Well, I didn't ask about a mistake. I asked Page 179 page 179 you whether or not as you sit here today whether you consider that your behavior in that regard as malfeasance or misfeasance. A I think I've given you the best answer that I can give you. A I think I've given you the best answer that I can give you. MR. CHASSAING: I think he's answered the question a couple of times. MR. CHASSAING: I think he's answered the question a couple of times. MR. PLEBAN: Is that right, sir? THE WITNESS: Answered the question. BY MR. PLEBAN Tri-Star deal, never went to ask him if he posted that on The Patch blog, right? A I don't think I ever said that Adam posted it. I said it was someone else. Q Did you ever ask anybody else, did you ever interview a single witness outside the city council about whether or not they had obtained information from city hall from any source? A About Macula A I didn't have any conversations with Tri-Star. Kevin did, city manager. A I didn't have any conversations with Tri-Star. Kevin did, city manager. A I don't have any conversations with Tri-Star was involved in some discussions about relocation issues with the City of Ellisville, correct? A Yes. Q How many people at Tri-Star were involved in this those discussions with Tri-Star was involved in some discussions about relocation issues with the City of Ellisville, correct? A Yes. Q How many people at Tri-Star was involved in some discussions about relocation issues with the City of Ellisville, correct? A Yes. Q How many people at Tri-Star were involved in those discussions? A I don't know. Q And this reuse of the Tri-Star Mercedes dealership property, what was that about, what were you doing? A Yes. Q Purchasing it. A Yes. Q From Tri-Star, whatever the corporate entity is that owns Tri-Star. Q Do you know whether or not Tri-Star - the people at Tri-Star talked about this? A No, I d	21		21	
A I don't know how to answer that question. I think retrospect today, I think it was a mistake. Page 179 Page 179 Page 179 Vou whether or not as you sit here today whether you consider that your behavior in that regard as malfeasance or misfeasance. A I think I've given you the best answer that I can give you. Q You can't decide whether it's malfeasance, misfeasance or none of the above? MR. CHASSAING: I think he's answered the question a couple of times. MR. PLEBAN: Is that right, sir? THE WITNESS: Answered the question. BY MR. PLEBAN Tri-Star deal, never went to ask him if he posted that on The Patch blog, right? A I don't know. Q I'm assuming you never asked Adam about this Tri-Star deal, never went to ask him if he posted that on The Patch blog, right? A No. Q Did you ever ask anybody else, did you ever interview a single witness outside the city council about whether or not they had obtained information from city hall from any source? A About A Dout and think retrospect today, I think it was a mistake. 24 A About Page 179 Page 181 A I didn't have any conversations with Tri-Star. Kevin did, city manager. Q S Tri-Star was involved in some discussions about relocation issues with the City of Ellisville, correct? A Yes. Q How many people at Tri-Star were involved in those discussions with the City of Ellisville, correct? A Yes. Q How many people at Tri-Star were involved in those discussions about relocation issues with the City of Ellisville, correct? A Yes. Q How many people at Tri-Star were involved in those discussions about relocation issues with the City of Ellisville, correct? A Yes. Q How many people at Tri-Star were involved in those discussions about relocation issues with the City of Ellisville, correct? A Yes. Q Purchasing it. Q Purchasing it. Q Purchasing it. Q Prom Tri-Star Mercedes? A Yes. Q From Tri-Star, whatever the corporate entity is that owns Tri-Star. Q Do you know whether or not Tri-Star the people at Tri-Star talked about this? A No, I don't	22		22	
think retrospect today, I think it was a mistake. Q Well, I didn't ask about a mistake. I asked Page 179 Page 179 vou whether or not as you sit here today whether you consider that your behavior in that regard as malfeasance or misfeasance. A I think I've given you the best answer that I can give you. Q Vou can't decide whether it's malfeasance, misfeasance or none of the above? MR. CHASSAING: I think he's answered the question a couple of times. MR. PLEBAN: Is that right, sir? THE WITNESS: Answered the question. BY MR. PLEBAN Q I'm assuming you never asked Adam about this Tri-Star deal, never went to ask him if he posted that on The Patch blog, right? A I don't think I ever said that Adam posted it. I said it was someone else. Q Did you ever ask him what he knew about it? A No. Q Did you ever ask anybody else, did you ever interview a single witness outside the city council about whether or not they had obtained information from city hall from any source? A About Page 179 A I didn't have any conversations with Tri-Star. Kevin did, city manager. Q So Tri-Star was involved in some discussions about relocation issues with the City of Ellisville, correct? A Yes. Q How many people at Tri-Star were involved in those discussions? A I doin't know. Q And this reuse of the Tri-Star Mercedes dealership property, what was that about, what were you doing? A Purchasing it. Q Purchasing it. Q From Tri-Star Mercedes? A Yes. Q From Tri-Star Mercedes? A From Tri-Star, whatever the corporate entity is that owns Tri-Star. Q Do you know whether or not Tri-Star — the propel at Tri-Star talked about this? A No, I don't.				
Page 179 vou whether or not as you sit here today whether you consider that your behavior in that regard as malfeasance or misfeasance. A I think I've given you the best answer that I can give you. MR. CHASSAING: I think he's answered the question a couple of times. MR. PLEBAN: Is that right, sir? A I don't know. Q And this reuse of the Tri-Star Mercedes dealership property, what was that about, what were you doing? A Purchasing it? A Yes. Q From Tri-Star Mercedes? A Yes. Q From Tri-Star, whatever the corporate entity is that owns Tri-Star. Q Do you know whether or not Tri-Star — the people at Tri-Star talked about this? A No, I don't.		•		•
you whether or not as you sit here today whether you consider that your behavior in that regard as malfeasance or misfeasance. A I think I've given you the best answer that I can give you. Q You can't decide whether it's malfeasance, misfeasance or none of the above? MR. CHASSAING: I think he's answered the question a couple of times. MR. PLEBAN: MR			25	
you whether or not as you sit here today whether you consider that your behavior in that regard as malfeasance or misfeasance. 4				
consider that your behavior in that regard as malfeasance or misfeasance. A I think I've given you the best answer that I can give you. Q You can't decide whether it's malfeasance, misfeasance or none of the above? MR. CHASSAING: I think he's answered the question a couple of times. MR. PLEBAN: Is that right, sir? THE WITNESS: Answered the question. BY MR. PLEBAN Q I'm assuming you never asked Adam about this on The Patch blog, right? A I don't think I ever said that Adam posted it. I said it was someone else. Q Did you ever ask anybody else, did you ever interview a single witness outside the city council about whether or not they had obtained information from city hall from any source? A I think I've given you the best answer that C O So Tri-Star was involved in some discussions about relocation issues with the City of Ellisville, correct? Q How many people at Tri-Star were involved in those discussions? A I don't know. Q And this reuse of the Tri-Star Mercedes dealership property, what was that about, what were you doing? A Purchasing it. Q From Tri-Star Mercedes? A Yes. Q From Tri-Star Mercedes? A Yes. Q From Tri-Star were involved in those discussions about those discussions. A Purchasing it. Q From Tri-Star Mercedes? A Yes. Q From Tri-Star Mercedes? A Yes. Q From Tri-Star were involved in those discussions? A Purchasing it. Q From Tri-Star Mercedes? A Yes. Q From Tri-Star Mercedes? A Yes. Q From Tri-Star was involved in some discussions about relocation issues with the City of Ellisville, correct? A Purchasing it. Q From Tri-Star Mercedes? A Yes. Q From Tri-Star Mercedes? A Yes. Q From Tri-Star, whatever the corporate entity is that owns Tri-Star. Q Do you know whether or not Tri-Star - the people at Tri-Star talked about this? A No, I don't.		Page 179		_
malfeasance or misfeasance. A I think I've given you the best answer that I can give you. Q You can't decide whether it's malfeasance, misfeasance or none of the above? MR. CHASSAING: I think he's answered the question a couple of times. MR. PLEBAN: Is that right, sir? MR. PLEBAN: Is that right, sir? BY MR. PLEBAN PLEBAN Q I'm assuming you never asked Adam about this Tri-Star deal, never went to ask him if he posted that on The Patch blog, right? A I don't think I ever said that Adam posted it. I said it was someone else. Q Did you ever ask anybody else, did you ever interview a single witness outside the city council about relocation issues with the City of Ellisville, correct? A Yes. Q How many people at Tri-Star were involved in those discussions? A I don't know. Q And this reuse of the Tri-Star Mercedes dealership property, what was that about, what were you doing? A Purchasing it. Q Purchasing it? A Yes. Q From Tri-Star Mercedes? A Yes. Q From Tri-Star Mercedes? A Yes. Q From whom they owned it or somebody else own it? A From Tri-Star, whatever the corporate entity is that owns Tri-Star, whatever the corporate entity is that owns Tri-Star. Q Do you know whether or not Tri-Star the people at Tri-Star were involved in those discussions? Q How many people at Tri-Star were involved in those discussions? A Yes. Q Purchasing it. Q From Tri-Star Mercedes? A Yes. Q From Tri-Star Mercedes? A From Tri-Star, whatever the corporate entity is that owns Tri-Star, whatever the corporate entity is that owns Tri-Star. Q Do you know whether or not Tri-Star the people at Tri-Star talked about this? A No, I don't.			1	
A I think I've given you the best answer that I can give you. Q You can't decide whether it's malfeasance, misfeasance or none of the above? MR. CHASSAING: I think he's answered the question a couple of times. MR. PLEBAN: Is that right, sir? THE WITNESS: Answered the question. BY MR. PLEBAN Q I'm assuming you never asked Adam about this Tri-Star deal, never went to ask him if he posted that on The Patch blog, right? A I don't think I ever said that Adam posted it. I said it was someone else. Q Did you ever ask anybody else, did you ever interview a single witness outside the city council about whether or not they had obtained information from city hall from any source? A About A I don't think I've given you the best answer that A Yes. A Yes. A Purchasing it. A Yes. Q Purchasing it? A Yes. Q From Tri-Star Mercedes? A Yes. Q From whom they owned it or somebody else own it? A From Tri-Star, whatever the corporate entity is that owns Tri-Star. Q Do you know whether or not Tri-Star the people at Tri-Star talked about this? A Yes. A Purchasing it. A Yes. A Yes. A Yes. A Yes. A Yes. A From Tri-Star Mercedes? A From Tri-Star, whatever the corporate entity is that owns Tri-Star, whatever the corporate entity is that owns Tri-Star. A Pour think I've given you interview a single witness outside the city council about whether or not they had obtained information from city hall from any source? A About			1	
1 can give you. 5 Correct?				-
O You can't decide whether it's malfeasance, misfeasance or none of the above? 7			l	
misfeasance or none of the above? MR. CHASSAING: I think he's answered the question a couple of times. MR. PLEBAN: Is that right, sir? THE WITNESS: Answered the question. BY MR. PLEBAN WITNESS: Answered the question. PY MR. PLEBAN WITNESS: Answered the question. PY MR. PLEBAN WITNESS: Answered the question. PY MR. PLEBAN WITNESS: Answered the question. WITNESS: Answered the Q And this reuse of the Tri-Star Mercedes dealership property, what was that about, what were you doing? A Purchasing it. Q Purchasing it? A Yes. Q From Tri-Star Mercedes? A Yes. Q From Tri-Star Mercedes? A Yes. Q From whom they owned it or somebody else own it? A From Tri-Star, whatever the corporate entity is that owns Tri-Star. Q Do you know whether or not Tri-Star the people at Tri-Star talked about this? A No, I don't.		= -	1	
MR. CHASSAING: I think he's answered the question a couple of times. MR. PLEBAN: Is that right, sir? THE WITNESS: Answered the question. BY MR. PLEBAN Q I'm assuming you never asked Adam about this Tri-Star deal, never went to ask him if he posted that on The Patch blog, right? A I don't think I ever said that Adam posted it. I said it was someone else. Q Did you ever ask him what he knew about it? A No. Q Did you ever ask anybody else, did you ever interview a single witness outside the city council about whether or not they had obtained information from city hall from any source? A I don't.			l	
question a couple of times. MR. PLEBAN: Is that right, sir? THE WITNESS: Answered the question. BY MR. PLEBAN Q I'm assuming you never asked Adam about this Tri-Star deal, never went to ask him if he posted that on The Patch blog, right? A I don't think I ever said that Adam posted it. I said it was someone else. Q Did you ever ask him what he knew about it? A No. Q Did you ever ask anybody else, did you ever interview a single witness outside the city council about whether or not they had obtained information A I don't know. Q And this reuse of the Tri-Star Mercedes dealership property, what was that about, what were you doing? A Purchasing it. Q Purchasing it? A Yes. Q From Tri-Star Mercedes? A Yes. Q From whom they owned it or somebody else own it? A From Tri-Star, whatever the corporate entity is that owns Tri-Star. Q Do you know whether or not Tri-Star the people at Tri-Star talked about this? A No, I don't.				
MR. PLEBAN: Is that right, sir? THE WITNESS: Answered the question. BY MR. PLEBAN Q I'm assuming you never asked Adam about this Tri-Star deal, never went to ask him if he posted that on The Patch blog, right? A I don't think I ever said that Adam posted it. I said it was someone else. Q Did you ever ask him what he knew about it? A No. Q Did you ever ask anybody else, did you ever interview a single witness outside the city council about whether or not they had obtained information Tri-Star deal, never went to ask him if he posted that data about this and the posted that data posted dealership property, what was that about, what were you doing? A Purchasing it. Q Purchasing it? A Yes. Q From Tri-Star Mercedes? A Yes. Q From whom they owned it or somebody else own it? A From Tri-Star, whatever the corporate entity is that owns Tri-Star. Q Do you know whether or not Tri-Star the people at Tri-Star talked about this? A No, I don't.			1	
THE WITNESS: Answered the question. BY MR. PLEBAN Q I'm assuming you never asked Adam about this Tri-Star deal, never went to ask him if he posted that on The Patch blog, right? A I don't think I ever said that Adam posted it. I said it was someone else. Q Did you ever ask him what he knew about it? A No. Q Did you ever ask anybody else, did you ever interview a single witness outside the city council about whether or not they had obtained information from city hall from any source? A About A dealership property, what was that about, what were you doing? A Purchasing it. Q Purchasing it? A Yes. Q From Tri-Star Mercedes? A Yes. Q From whom they owned it or somebody else own it? A From Tri-Star, whatever the corporate entity is that owns Tri-Star. Q Do you know whether or not Tri-Star the people at Tri-Star talked about this? A No, I don't.		<u> </u>		
BY MR. PLEBAN Q I'm assuming you never asked Adam about this Tri-Star deal, never went to ask him if he posted that on The Patch blog, right? A I don't think I ever said that Adam posted it. I said it was someone else. Q Did you ever ask him what he knew about it? A No. Q Did you ever ask anybody else, did you ever interview a single witness outside the city council about whether or not they had obtained information from city hall from any source? A About BY WR. PLEBAN 12 you doing? A Purchasing it. Q Purchasing it? A Yes. Q From Tri-Star Mercedes? A Yes. Q From whom they owned it or somebody else own it? A From Tri-Star, whatever the corporate entity is that owns Tri-Star. Q Do you know whether or not Tri-Star the people at Tri-Star talked about this? A No, I don't.		<u> </u>		-
13 Q I'm assuming you never asked Adam about this 14 Tri-Star deal, never went to ask him if he posted that 15 on The Patch blog, right? 16 A I don't think I ever said that Adam posted 17 it. I said it was someone else. 18 Q Did you ever ask him what he knew about it? 19 A No. 20 Q Did you ever ask anybody else, did you ever 21 interview a single witness outside the city council 22 about whether or not they had obtained information 23 from city hall from any source? 24 A About 13 A Purchasing it. 24 Q Purchasing it. 26 Q From Tri-Star Mercedes? 27 A Yes. 28 Q From whom they owned it or somebody else own it? 29 A From Tri-Star, whatever the corporate entity is that owns Tri-Star. 20 Q Do you know whether or not Tri-Star the people at Tri-Star talked about this? 29 A No, I don't.		•	l	
Tri-Star deal, never went to ask him if he posted that on The Patch blog, right? A I don't think I ever said that Adam posted it. I said it was someone else. Q Did you ever ask him what he knew about it? A No. Q Did you ever ask anybody else, did you ever interview a single witness outside the city council about whether or not they had obtained information from city hall from any source? A About 14 Q Purchasing it? A Yes. Q From Tri-Star Mercedes? A Yes. Q From whom they owned it or somebody else own it? A From Tri-Star, whatever the corporate entity is that owns Tri-Star. Q Do you know whether or not Tri-Star the people at Tri-Star talked about this? A No, I don't.				•
on The Patch blog, right? A I don't think I ever said that Adam posted it. I said it was someone else. Q Did you ever ask him what he knew about it? A No. Q Did you ever ask anybody else, did you ever interview a single witness outside the city council about whether or not they had obtained information from city hall from any source? A No, I don't. A Yes. Q From Tri-Star Mercedes? A Yes. Q From whom they owned it or somebody else own it? A From Tri-Star, whatever the corporate entity is that owns Tri-Star. Q Do you know whether or not Tri-Star the people at Tri-Star talked about this? A No, I don't.		= :		-
16 A I don't think I ever said that Adam posted 17 it. I said it was someone else. 18 Q Did you ever ask him what he knew about it? 19 A No. 20 Q Did you ever ask anybody else, did you ever 21 interview a single witness outside the city council 22 about whether or not they had obtained information 23 from city hall from any source? 24 A About 16 Q From Tri-Star Mercedes? 17 A Yes. 18 Q From whom they owned it or somebody else 19 own it? 20 A From Tri-Star, whatever the corporate entity 21 is that owns Tri-Star. 22 Q Do you know whether or not Tri-Star the 23 people at Tri-Star talked about this? 24 A No, I don't.		•		- 9
it. I said it was someone else. 17		O. O	l	
Q Did you ever ask him what he knew about it? A No. Q Did you ever ask anybody else, did you ever interview a single witness outside the city council about whether or not they had obtained information from city hall from any source? A About 18 Q From whom they owned it or somebody else own it? 20 A From Tri-Star, whatever the corporate entity is that owns Tri-Star. Q Do you know whether or not Tri-Star the people at Tri-Star talked about this? A No, I don't.		*	1	~
19 A No. 20 Q Did you ever ask anybody else, did you ever 21 interview a single witness outside the city council 22 about whether or not they had obtained information 23 from city hall from any source? 24 A About 19 own it? 20 A From Tri-Star, whatever the corporate entity 21 is that owns Tri-Star. 22 Q Do you know whether or not Tri-Star the 23 people at Tri-Star talked about this? 24 A No, I don't.			1	
Q Did you ever ask anybody else, did you ever interview a single witness outside the city council about whether or not they had obtained information from city hall from any source? A About A From Tri-Star, whatever the corporate entity is that owns Tri-Star. Q Do you know whether or not Tri-Star the people at Tri-Star talked about this? A No, I don't.		= -		-
interview a single witness outside the city council about whether or not they had obtained information from city hall from any source? A About is that owns Tri-Star. Q Do you know whether or not Tri-Star the people at Tri-Star talked about this? A No, I don't.			l	
22 about whether or not they had obtained information 23 from city hall from any source? 24 A About 25 Q Do you know whether or not Tri-Star the 26 people at Tri-Star talked about this? 27 A No, I don't.			l	
people at Tri-Star talked about this? A About 23 people at Tri-Star talked about this? A No, I don't.			1	
24 A About 24 A No, I don't.			l	
,,				
25 Q Lvet interview any withess at an about now 25 Q Let uiu you ever ask them:			1	
	20	Evel interview any witness at an about now		2 1ct uiu you ever ask tiiciii:

3/20/2013

Paul Martin Page 182 Page 184 1 1 BY MR. PLEBAN A No. 2 2 Q Why not? Q It's not in there that you can see at least? 3 3 A I didn't think to. A I don't see it. 4 O That's Exhibit -- what did I call that? 4 Q But you thought to put this allegation in to 5 accuse this man of violating confidential discussions, 5 A Eleven. 6 6 right? Q But we're -- you're going to produce that 7 7 tomorrow for us? A Yes. Q Without investigation; is that a fair 8 A Yes. 8 9 Q What are we talking about in terms of with 9 statement? 10 10 A Well, investigation was minimal, yes. respect to this allegation? What are we talking about 11 regarding legal advice? What legal advice? Q What investigation? You read a blog? 11 12 A Talking to the council and the blog. 12 A I think it had to do with the -- it had to 13 13 do with Charter Enforcement Commission proceedings and Q Oh, the individual members of the council? A Yes, yeah. 14 Adam's desire to speak with people to prepare for the 14 15 Charter Enforcement Commission's review. 15 Q Do you think that was reckless on your part to put that in there before you investigated it? 16 Q His desire to -- I'm not following this at 16 17 17 A I think that was a mistake. all. So this is February 13th now. This is after the Q I didn't ask you that. Did you think it was 18 18 Charter Review Commission and is this written legal 19 19 reckless to put that in there to investigate it? advice? 20 A Reckless is a judgment. I think it was a 20 A Yes. It was an email. 21 21 mistake. Q And it's written legal advice to whom? 22 22 A To the council. Q So in your judgment, it wasn't reckless, is 23 23 Q Including Adam? that what you're telling me? 24 A Yes. 24 A I'm telling you it's a mistake. I'm not 25 25 going to characterize it any particular way. And what was the nature of the legal advice Page 183 Page 185 1 Q You can't or you won't? 1 that you were giving? A I said I'm not. 2 2 A I think it was -- had to do with advising --3 Q So you won't, is that your answer so I'm 3 me advising the City staff and employees that until we 4 got to a proceeding with an involved actual discovery 4 clear for this record? 5 5 that they should not speak with Adam or answer his A I'm not going to do it. 6 (Discussion off the record.) 6 questions. 7 7 BY MR. PLEBAN Q So Adam was -- this is after Katie James had 8 8 Q Paragraph five. What did I say, A5? filed their complaint? 9 February 13th, 2013, Mayor Paul disclosed the city 9 A I believe so. 10 attorney's legal advice concerning the operations and 10 Q You weren't representing Adam's interest in 11 the procedure of City's Charter Enforcement decision. 11 that, were you? 12 Do you see that? 12 A No. I was representing the City's interest. 13 A Yes. 13 Q Which was adverse to Adam's at the time, 14 Q What's your proof of that? 14 correct? 15 A I sent out an email. The mayor received a 15 A Yes. 16 copy of the email. He responded to the copy on the 16 Q So why were you including Adam whose 17 response. There was a line that had obviously been 17 interests were adverse in your conversations with the 18 inserted by a third party and wasn't part of the 18 rest of the City?

A If I'm recalling the email correctly, I was

attorney/client context. Now, whether Adam was --

he insisted on having an answer.

attorney/client context?

Q So you weren't doing it in an

A Well, I think I was doing it in an

doing that to make him aware of the situation because

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mayor's response to me.

A No.

A I don't have a copy.

Q Is it in that package?

Q Can you show me the email?

MR. CHASSAING: Off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

Page 186 Page 188 1 Q Go ahead. I'm sorry. 1 A Two, three, four and five, yes, he is. 2 2 A Whether Adam was in an adversarial position Q I'm sorry, two, three, four and five, all 3 3 to the City at that time, I said that might be right. Would you agree with me, Mr. Martin, that that 4 4 occurring, and until -- we have to look at the email, issue could have been avoided altogether if you had a 5 but until the -- that developed a little farther, they 5 conversation with Adam Paul on May the 2nd, 2012? 6 6 shouldn't talk to him. I advised him that this A No, I can't agree with that. 7 7 O Why not? Charter Enforcement Commission proceeding was not an 8 8 A Because I think Mr. Paul knew what he was evidentiary process. It was simply a recommendation 9 9 based on complaint and any response that the mayor doing, and I don't think any kind of conversation 10 would have made any difference. 10 would like to file and that this might escalate to 11 11 something more, that they should not speak. Q Well, let me put it another way then. You 12 Q So your -- let me see if I've got this. 12 certainly could have covered your own tail by sending 13 13 him memos telling him not to do that, correct? You're giving the -- well, you're giving, I guess, 14 A Yes. 14 everybody, the City staff and everybody else, right? 1.5 15 A I don't recall who was included on the And you chose not to do that, right? 16 16 email, but I think it was some members of City staff A I don't know if I chose not to do it, but I 17 17 and the charter enforcement issue. did not do it. 18 18 Q You were giving advise to all of the --O And whether or not he would have followed 19 19 whomever it was and the advice that you were giving your advice, you would have a document that you could 20 them was contrary to the interests of Adam Paul, 20 present to us today that would indicate, Hey, don't do 21 21 correct? this anymore. If you do this again, this could 22 22 happen, or that could happen, or the other could A It could have been, yes, could be. 23 23 happen? Q And yet you were including him in those -that email discussion? 24 24 A Right, yes. 25 25 A Yes. Q And then if he chose to follow you -- he Page 187 Page 189 Q Why? 1 1 chose to follow your advice, if he chose to disregard 2 A I wanted him -- to let him know what the 2 your advice, you're at least off the hook, right? 3 3 rationale was, and I wanted to let him know that this A I don't know that I was on the hook, but I 4 4 is the reason why people weren't going to be talking see what your point is and I have to say, yes, I could 5 5 to him. have done that. 6 6 MR. PLEBAN: Let's quit now. I'm ready to Q So you would have even told him directly, 7 7 go on to another area. even if he had been excluded from any meeting or where 8 8 MR. CHASSAING: That will take more than 15 that was discussed, correct, because you wanted to let 9 him know? 9 minutes? 10 MR. PLEBAN: Heck, yes. 10 A Uh-huh. 11 11 Q That's a yes? 12 12 A Yes. 13 13 Q Well, then how is it that he's violating --14 14 what confidences is he violating? 15 15 A He's still a part of the City. Still an 16 16 officer of the City at the time. 17 17 Q Holy smokes. You say here: As a result of 18 18 his actions -- the last part of allegation A: As a 19 19 result of his actions, he's unable to have frank 20 20 discussion -- counsel is unable to have frank 21 21 discussions concerning the topics. Do you see that? 22 22 A Yes, uh-huh. 23 2.3 Q And that is as a result of his actions 24 24 referenced in paragraphs two, three and four, I'm 25 25 assuming; is that right?

	Page 190	Page 192
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	COMES NOW THE WITNESS, PAUL MARTIN, and having read the foregoing transcript of the deposition taken on March 20, 2013, acknowledges by signature hereto that it is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony given on the date hereinabove mentioned. (PAUL MARTIN) Subscribed to before me this day of, 2013. Notary Public My commission expires: ADAM PAUL vs. THE CITY OF ELLISVILLE, MISSOURI, ET AL. Reporter: Jo Ann Sturm, RPR, CSR, CCR Date Taken: March 20, 2013	REPORTER CERTIFICATE I, JO ANN STURM, RPR, CSR, CCR, do hereby certify that PAUL MARTIN came before me who was by me first duly sworn to testify to the truth and nothing but the truth of all knowledge touching and concerning the matters in controversy in this cause; that the witness was thereupon carefully examined under oath and said examination was reduced to writing by me; and that this deposition is a true and correct record of the testimony given by the witness. If further certify that I am neither attorney nor counsel for nor related nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition is taken; further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto or financially interested in this action. Dated March 26, 2013. JO ANN STURM, RPR, CSR, CCR
	Page 191	Page 193
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET PAUL MARTIN In re: Paul vs. Ellisville, et al. CORRECTION PAGE LINE	1 2 3 4 5 Mr. J. Patrick Chassaing Curtis, Heinz, Garrett & O'Keefe, P.C. 6 130 South Bemiston, Suite 200 Clayton, Missouri 63105 7 8 In re: Paul vs. Ellisville 9 Dear Counsel: 10 Pursuant to your request and at your instructions, as well as agreement between counsel, I am forwarding to you the original signature page and errata sheet from the deposition of PAUL MARTIN taken in the 12 above-styled case. 13 Please have the witness read your copy of the transcript and make any changes on the errata sheet, not on the transcript. Please have the witness sign the signature page and errata sheet before a notary public. 14 please return the original signature page and errata sheet to counsel taking the deposition. 15 Sincerely,
21 22 23 24 25	PAUL MARTIN Subscribed to and before me this day of, 2013. NOTARY PUBLIC	19 20 Jo Ann Sturm, CSR, CCR, RPR 21 /jas 22 23 24 25

```
Page 194
              CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
           AND STATEMENT OF DEPOSITION COSTS
 2
        IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE COUNTY OF ST. LOUIS
 3
               STATE OF MISSOURI
 4
5
      ADAM PAUL,
 6
      Plaintiff,
                     ) Case 13SL-CC00699
) Div. 33
 8
 9
      THE CITY OF ELLISVILLE,
      MISSOURI, ET AL.,
10
      Defendants.
11
12
13
      I, Jo Ann Sturm, hereby certify that the original deposition of PAUL MARTIN, taken on March 20,
14
      2013, is in the custody of Pleban & Petruska, LLC,
15
      2010 South Big Bend Boulevard, St. Louis, Missouri
      63117.
16
18
      TAXED IN FAVOR OF PLAINTIFF
19
        Total: $881.50
20
        FOR: Pleban & Petruska, LLC, 2010 South Big Bend
      Boulevard, St. Louis, Missouri 63117
21
22
      TAXED IN FAVOR OF DEFENDANT
       Total: $341.25
FOR: Curtis, Heinz, Garrett & O'Keefe, P.C., 130
24
      South Bemiston, Suite 200, Clayton, Missouri 63105
                                                          Page 195
            Total: $341.25
  1
             FOR: Eckenrode-Maupin, 8000 Maryland, Suite
  2
          1300, St. Louis, Missouri 63105
  3
  4
         Upon delivery of transcript, the above charges had not
  5
         been paid. It is anticipated that all charges will be
  6
         paid in the normal course of business.
  7
  8
  9
         I have hereunto set my signature on
10
         March 26, 2013.
11
12
                    JO ANN STURM, RPR, CSR, CCR
13
                  REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTER
                  ILLINOIS CSR NUMBER: 084-002267
14
                    MISSOURI CCR NUMBER: 716
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
 23
 24
25
```